Effects of Lower Tire Pressure On

Frost Weakened Roads

by

Brian Robert Sweet

A study submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Civil Engineering

University of Washington

1994



TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables Chapter 1: Introduction Chapter 2: Literature Review 3 2.1 Introduction 3 2.2 Pavement Failure Properties 3 2.2.1. Pavement Failure Modes 2.2.1.1 Fatigue Failure 3 2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure 4 2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 2.3.1 Introduction 5 2.3.2 Tire Contact Pressure 6	
Chapter 2: Literature Review 2.1 Introduction 3 2.2 Pavement Failure Properties 3 2.2.1. Pavement Failure Modes 3 2.2.1.1 Fatigue Failure 3 2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure 4 2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 5 2.3.1 Introduction	
2.1 Introduction 3 2.2 Pavement Failure Properties 3 2.2.1. Pavement Failure Modes 3 2.2.1.1 Fatigue Failure 3 2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure 4 2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 5 2.3.1 Introduction. 5	
2.2 Pavement Failure Properties 3 2.2.1. Pavement Failure Modes 3 2.2.1.1 Fatigue Failure 3 2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure 4 2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 5 2.3.1 Introduction	
2.2.1.1 Fatigue Failure 2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure 4 2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 5 2.3.1 Introduction	
2.2.1.1 Fatigue Failure 2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure 4 2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 5 2.3.1 Introduction	
2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure 4 2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 5 2.3.1 Introduction 5	
2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements 4 2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response 5 2.3.1 Introduction 5	
2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response52.3.1 Introduction	
2.3.1 Introduction. 5	
2.3.2 Tire Contact Pressure	
2.5.2 The Contact Pressure	
2.3.3 Tire Pressure, Axle Loads and Axle Configuration 6	
2.3.3.1 Tire Pressure 6	
2.3.3.1(a) Pavement Thickness 6	
2.3.3.1(b) Stiffness of Base and Subgrade 7	
2.3.3.2 Axle Loads 7	
2.3.3.3 Axle Configuration 8	
2.3.3.4 Summary 8	
2.3.4 Federal Highway Administration Study 8	
2.3.5 Tire Type 10	
2.4 Results of Central Tire Inflation Studies 11	
2.4.1 Introduction	
2.4.2 Nevada Automotive Test Center Study 12	
2.4.3 Boise National Forest Field Operational Tests 15	
2.4.4 U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station Study 16	
CHAPTER 3: Development of Data and Methods of Analysis 20	
3.1 Objective 20	
3.2 Determination of Strains 20	
3.2.1 ELSYM5 20	
3.2.2 Systems Material Properties 21	
3.2.3 Loading Conditions 22	
3.2.4 Points of Evaluation 22	
3.3 Calculation of Loads to Failure 23	
Chapter 4: Results 24	lon For
4.1 Introduction 24	
4.2 / tgg: cgate danada i toada	
4.2.1 Effects of Reducing Tile Pressure	
	laatic x
4.2.3 Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Load 28	DIAMN 57
By Ale	yourse
D1.f3r1	Trion (
transparence and the second se	ability (wood
	Teil Engjor -

4.3 Asphalt Surfaced Roads	29
4.3.1 Rutting Failure	30
4.3.1.1. Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure	30
4.3.1.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Load on Rutting Failure	30
4.3.1.3 Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Load	34
4.3.2. Fatigue Failure	36
4.3.2.1. Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure and Tire Load on a	36
Moderate Strength Asphalt Concrete Pavement	
4.3.2.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load on a	39
Weak Asphalt Pavement	
4.4. Comparison of Field Studies and Computer Model	39
4.5 Conclusions and Recommendations	41
References	43
Appendix A: Strains Induced by a Single Axle Load	45
Appendix B: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Single Axle	65
Load	
Appendix C: Strains Induced by a Tandem Axle Load	93
Appendix D: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Tandem Axle	112
Load	
Appendix E: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure of	140
Aggregate Roads	
Appendix F: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure on	159
Aggregate Roads	
Appendix G: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting	178
Failure of Aggregate Roads	
Appendix H: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Fatigue and Rutting	185
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads	
Appendix I: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Asphalt	213
Concrete Roads	
Appendix J: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting	241
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads	
Appendix K: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt	251
Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]	
Appendix L: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue	261
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]	
Appendix M: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt	265
Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]	
Appendix N: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue	284
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi	

List of Tables

		Page
Table 1. Table 2.	Average Pavement Material Properties Results of ALF Tests	9 10
Table 3.	Pavement Test Sections Nevada Automotive Test Center Study	12
Table 4.	Material Required to Repair Roads After of 2,600 Miles of Testing.	13
Table 5. Table 6.	Cost/Mile During Nevada Test Center Study Pavement Test Sections Used in Waterways Experiment Station Study	14 16
Table 7. Table 8.	Summary of Number of Passes at Time of Failure Layer Thicknesses and Moduli of Surface Layers Evaluated	18 21
Table 9. Table 10.	Data Analysis Groups Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Aggregate Surfaced Roads	24 26
Table 11.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Aggregate Surfaced Roads	27
Table 12.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load Individually and Combined - Aggregate Surfaced Roads	29
Table 13.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	31
Table 14.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load Only - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	33
Table 15.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	35
Table 16.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	37
Table 17.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Asphalt Surface Roads	38

Table 18. Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

39

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

Every year, thousands of miles of roads are closed or severely restricted to heavy traffic due to structural weakness during spring thaw. Spring thaw, as the period is known, varies in length depending on the severity of the winter. As thawing occurs, pavements become weak due to the high moisture content in the underlying base course and subgrade. In extreme cases, the base course and subgrade can become completely saturated and so weak that less than a hundred passes of an 18,000 pound axle will cause the pavement to fail. Most pavements with high traffic volumes in areas where roads are subject to freezing are designed to resist the effects of spring thaw. For example some agencies construct pavements where the depth of the pavement structure built of non-frost susceptible materials (such as a crushed stone base) is at least half the expected depth of freeze. This type of construction can be expensive when it is considered that the depth of freeze can be over five (5) feet. Other methods used to resist the weakening caused by spring thaw usually increase construction costs.

To reduce damage during spring thaw, some road departments place load restrictions on vehicles. These load restrictions are often as much as a 60 percent reduction of normal loads (1). Even with these load restrictions, large sums of money are still spent each year repairing damage caused during spring thaw.

Although the load restrictions do reduce pavement damage and save pavement maintenance and repair costs, these restrictions cause considerable economic impacts. Load restrictions are placed mostly on low volume roads which have not been designed nor constructed to resist the effects of spring thaw. These load restrictions can prevent transportation of goods by heavy vehicles, typically tractor-semi trailers. In many cases, companies may have to completely stop some or all of their operations during this period. This results in economic losses to employees, companies, state and local governments. Examples of industries that are affected include:

- Logging companies
- Dairy processors
- Heavy transportation companies operating in rural areas.

Essentially any type of business that relies on the use of heavy trucks in its operation can be adversely effected when load restrictions are placed on roads due to weakened pavement.

In this paper the results of a theoretical investigation of the effects of lower tire pressure on roads in a severely weakened condition, such as is found during spring thaw. With the recent technological development of Central Tire Inflation

(CTI) in the trucking industry, trucks may be able to operate on roads subject to load restrictions. CTI would allow trucks to operate at lower tire pressures on load restricted roads and then easily increase tire pressure from inside the truck cab when the truck transfers to a road not subject to load restrictions.

The second chapter reviews the results of previous studies about the effects of tire pressure, axle loads, and tire type on pavement structures. These studies found that tire pressure only had benefits on thin flexible pavements (less than four inches of asphalt concrete) or aggregate surfaced roads which are typical for low volume roads. These studies also found that axle loads played the largest role in reducing stress and strains in flexible pavements. Also in Chapter 2 is a review of the methods and results of three "AASHO type" closed loop road tests and a field test conducted by the Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service on CTI applications.

In Chapter 3, the failure criterion used in this study are discussed. A computer software program designed to calculate strains in multi-layer systems called ELYSM5 was used to determine strains at the bottom of the asphalt layer and top of subgrade. The strains were used to calculate load repetitions to failure for fatigue and rutting using formulas developed by the Asphalt Institute. Strains and load repetitions to failure were determined for multiple pavement sections, subject to various loads, tire pressures, axle configurations and pavement strengths.

Chapter 4 is used to examine the effects of reduced tire load and tire pressure on the increases in the number of load repetitions to failure for rutting and fatigue. By comparing the overall effects on each pavement section, the amount of influence tire pressure and tire load reduction have in relation to pavement thickness will be seen. Also the general effects of tire pressure reduction and tire load reduction by themselves and together are evaluated. Finally recommendations on how the results of this study can be used are discussed.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Introduction

The number of references on the effects of tire pressure on pavement performance is limited. The study of the effects of tire pressure on pavement performance is a recent item of interest as very few articles were over 10 years old. The most common pavement material investigated quantitatively was asphalt concrete. How well asphalt concrete pavement performed was found to be a function of several parameters. These parameters were tire pressure, axle loads, axle configurations, tire types and pavement material properties. No information specifically addressing the effects of lower tire pressure on severely weak pavements was found.

The results of two "AASHO type" road tests and one field study conducted by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service on the effects of Central Tire Inflation (CTI) provided excellent information about the effect of lower tire pressure on weaker road surfaces such as thin asphalt concrete, crushed aggregate and native soil. However none of the studies took measurements of actual strains.

2.2. Pavement Failure Properties

2.2.1. Pavement Failure Modes

To properly analyze the effects of lower tire pressures on weakened pavements, such as is found during spring thaw, an understanding of the failure mechanisms for asphalt concrete and aggregate roads is needed. Field testing has shown that asphalt concrete pavements fail in one of two different modes; fatigue, which shows as excessive alligator cracking; and rutting which shows as permanent vertical deformations along the wheel path (2). In one study by Marshek on asphalt concrete pavements, the tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete and the compressive strain at the top of the subgrade were studied because they were known to be critical to predicting fatigue and rutting failure respectively (3). All of the Forest Service studies used rutting as the primary failure criteria for aggregate surfaced roads, although, the phenomena known as "washboarding" was used also when it was severe enough to significantly restrict travel speeds.

2.2.1.1. Fatigue Failure

As mentioned earlier, fatigue failure is primarily a result of excess tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete. No matter whether laboratory testing or theoretical analysis was used, the idea of relating tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt layer to the number of load repetitions to failure was adopted by

most researchers. Therefore, if an asphalt concrete pavement is to resist fatigue damage, the tensile strains in the pavement structure must be kept low (4).

The amount of fatigue cracking and hence pavement life was found to be a function of several factors. These factors included tire pressure, the stiffness of the asphalt concrete and base layer (4). The influence of these factors is discussed later in this Chapter.

2.2.1.2. Rutting Failure

Like tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete layer, there is a correlation between the vertical compressive strain and the number of load repetitions to failure for pavement rutting (2). Although it is nearly universally accepted that the tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete surface is directly linked to fatigue failure, researchers are not in total agreement at which point vertical compressive strains are more indicative of rutting failure. Most studies have found that the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade to be the best indicator of rutting failure. Marshek found that 70 to 95 percent of the vertical compressive strain is found in the subgrade layer and therefore, the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade is of most interest in predicting rutting failure. It was their conclusion that these vertical compressive strains, particularly those in the subgrade, are responsible for rutting failure (3).

Other field and research studies have concluded that the rutting in the crushed aggregate base course contributes significantly to overall rutting of flexible pavements. For example, the Probabilistic Distress Models for Asphalt Pavements (PDMAP) study concluded vertical compressive stress of the asphalt concrete/base layer interface was a significant variable in the development of surface rutting. The PDMAP study concluded that the most significant correlation's were obtained with vertical deflection at the surface of the pavement, followed by vertical compressive stress at the interface with asphalt concrete and base layer. However this study did not measure the vertical compressive strains at the top of the subgrade and therefore the study did not compare the correlation of vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade to the development of surface rutting. (13)

2.2.2. Causes of Weakened Pavements

All pavements eventually fail, no matter how they are constructed. The rate at which they fail is a function of several factors which include material properties, pavement structural thickness, the environment in which they are built and traffic loading. When designing a pavement section, the designer will usually consider several specific factors which include:

- Expected pavement life (20 years for most roads and up to 40 years for major highways).
- Expected number of Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESAL) during the design life.
- Material properties. The most important is the stiffness of each material layer.

After the pavement is constructed, it is anticipated that with proper maintenance the pavement will perform for the expected life span. Sometimes the pavement fails much earlier than expected. If the cause of the early failure can not be attributed to an unexpected increase in traffic loading or improper construction, then it is usually can be attributed to weakening of the pavement structure. This usually means a decrease in the strength or stiffness of one or all of the materials used to build the pavement.

One of the most common causes of weakening of a pavement section is excess moisture content in the base course or subgrade. As the moisture content of these materials exceeds its optimum, the material looses density and becomes weaker. In the case of paved roads, the most common reason for excess moisture content is the thawing of the pavement section in the spring. During this time water that was drawn to the upper layers of the pavement during freezing and the formation of ice lenses, can not drain through the frozen layers below it.

The severity of the weakening can be quite dramatic. One study done in eastern Washington used a falling weight deflectometer to measure insitu pavement resilient modulus. Base course values ranged from 80,000 to 22,000 psi in the summer and 30,000 to 13,000 during spring thaw. Subgrade resilient modulus values were from 20,000 to 8,500 psi during the summer and 15,000 to 5,000 during spring thaw (1). These low moduli are typical of those that occur during spring thaw and can result in either the placement of load restrictions by road agencies or the premature failure of the road structure if load restrictions are not placed.

2.3. Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response

2.3.1. Introduction:

How well an asphalt concrete pavement performs is directly related to how it responds to the factors that effect it. The essential factors are tire contact pressures, tire inflation pressures, axle loads, axle configuration, tire type, and pavement material properties. The two key elements included in each study reviewed were tire pressure and axle load. With the exception of axle load, all the essential factors sometimes did or did not influence asphalt pavement performance with regard to either fatigue or rutting failure depending on the

values of the other variables. The interaction of these factors is addressed in the following paragraphs.

2.3.2. Tire Contact Pressure:

Tire contact pressure is the actual pressure measured where the tire contacts the pavement surface. Three assumptions are usually made about tire contact pressure in most pavement response studies: it is uniform, it acts on the circular area, and is equal to the tire inflation pressure. This simplified theoretical analysis is believed to be of sufficient accuracy for design work. However, premature failure of some pavements designed using criteria developed from studies using these assumptions could be caused by an underestimation of the strains and stresses due to truck tire loading in those studies (5).

The correlation between inflation pressure and actual contact pressure is one area of tire performance that is not well understood. Due to the many different types of tires and their construction, a reliable model has not been developed to predict actual tire contact pressure. Analytical studies of truck tires show that the contact pressure can be two times the inflation pressure where the tire contacts the road surface. A study by Roberts found that for inflation pressures of 75 and 125 psi, resulted in peak contact pressures of about 150 and 220 psi respectively (5). The scope of the study was expanded when it was found that the basic assumption that tire/pavement contact pressure is equal to the tire inflation pressure was in error. Roberts did find that at a constant tire load, the tire contact pressure becomes more uniform at lower tire pressures(5). Marshek, in another study, used experimentally obtained tire contact pressure distributions as input to an computer analysis program (6).

2.3.3. Tire Pressure, Axle Loads and Axle Configuration

2.3.3.1. Tire Pressure

How much tire pressure effects pavement performance with regards to fatigue and rutting failure depends generally on two pavement properties: pavement thickness and stiffness of the base and subgrade layers.

2.3.3.1(a). Pavement thickness

In the studies reviewed, asphalt pavement thickness ranged from 1 to 10 inches. With regard to fatigue failure, when the asphalt concrete pavement thickness is in excess of 4 in. the effects of tire pressure on tensile strains were found to be relatively minor. Roberts found that for asphalt concrete pavement thickness 4 in. or greater, the effect of tire inflation pressure on tensile strain was less than 10 percent (5), while Sebaaly reached the same conclusion noting that "the effect of inflation pressure was as low as 1 percent for asphalt layers with

thicknesses of 4,6, and 8 in." Sebaaly also found that the effect of tire inflation pressure was greatest for asphalt concrete pavement 2 in. thick (4).

2.3.3.1(b). Stiffness of Base and Subgrade

The stiffness of the base course has been found to have an effect on the amount of influence tire inflation pressure had on strains. Roberts showed that increasing tire inflation pressure from 75 to 125 psi produced a range of 20 to 30 percent increase in the tensile strain for a 1-inch surface. This was supported by an analytical study's results which found an approximate 35 percent increase in tensile strain by increasing the inflation pressure from 75 to 110 psi. for the same thickness (3). The reason for the range of a 20 to 30 percent increase in tensile strains in the Roberts study was determined to be a function of the base course stiffness. The stiffest base course (elastic modulus equal to 60,000 psi) caused a 30 percent increase in tensile strain at the bottom of asphalt while the least stiff (elastic modulus equal to 20,000 psi) caused the lower increase of 20 percent. However, the range of base course stiffness used in the study (elastic modulus equal to 20,000 to 60,000 psi) were relatively stiff compared to values found during spring thaw (1),(4). No studies analyzing the effects of weak bases and tire inflation pressure on pavement strains were found.

The effect of tire pressure on rutting failure, which is a function of compressive strain is minimal in the cases studied. Marshek reported that increasing tire inflation pressure from 75 to 110 psi in asphalt pavements 2 to 4 inches thick, produced only a small increase in the compressive strains at the top of subgrade for the cases modeled. Therefore, they concluded that tire inflation pressure was an insignificant factor in causing subgrade rutting (6). However, this study only examined the effects of a single tire load, thereby omitting the effects of multiple tire loads.

2.3.3.2. Axle Loads

In the studies reviewed, axle load was found to be directly related to both fatigue and rutting failure. Marshek found that increasing axle loads directly resulted in increases in both horizontal tensile strain and horizontal shear strain in the asphalt surface course. In that study, Marshek concluded that of all the factors studied related to fatigue failure, axle load was the primary factor causing fatigue failure (3).

Axle load was found in several studies to be directly related to vertical compressive strain. Sebaaly observed that the effect of the axle load on the compressive strains in the subgrade was relatively uniform for all asphalt concrete surface thicknesses. Any increase in the axle load increased the maximum compressive strain by a proportional amount, regardless of asphalt concrete thickness. Sebaaly noted that a 20 percent increase in axle load

produced approximately a 20 percent increase in the critical subgrade compressive strain for the 2 to 10 in. thickness of asphalt concrete used in the study (3).

2.3.3.3. Axle Configuration

Axle configuration was found to play a minor role in pavement performance. Only a study by Sebaaly addressed this factor (2). The study found that tandem axles produce lower tensile strains but higher compressive stresses (vertical compressive strains not reported) than single axles under the same per-axle load. For example a load of 17,600 lbs. on a single axle (total load 17,600 lbs) produced a horizontal tensile strain of 145 micro strains at the bottom of the asphalt layer, while a load of 17,200 lbs/axle on a tandem axle (total load 34,400 lbs) produced only 133 micro strains. Compress stress for the same loading conditions were found to be 4.2 psi for the single axle and 6.9 for the tandem axle. The reason for lower tensile strains under a tandem axle than single axle is explained by the pavement tensile strain response. When the pavement structure is subject to a tandem-axle load, the axle on top of the point of interest produces horizontal tensile strains while the axle 50 in. away produces horizontal compressive strain. Therefore, a portion of the tensile strain is canceled by the compressive strain. In the case of the single-axle configuration, the point of interest is only subjected to tensile strain, and no canceling effect occurs. Therefore, if we compare tandem-axles with single-axles on the basis of similar per-axle load level, the passage of one tandem axle produces less fatique than the passage of two single axles. Because tandem axles do not have any canceling effects under compression, they produce higher compressive strains than single axles on an equal per axle load (2).

2.3.3.4. Summary

In summary, tire pressure only played a significant role in pavement fatigue performance if the asphalt concrete thicknesses were less than four inches. Axle configuration's effect on tensile strain was minor, but was evident regardless of asphalt thickness. Changes in tire pressure were found to have little or no effect on pavement compressive strains regardless of the pavement thickness. The predominant factor found affecting pavement performance with regard to both fatigue and rutting failure was axle load.

2.3.4. Federal Highway Administration Study (7)

In 1987, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) conducted a study at its Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center in McLean, Virginia. Using the Accelerated Loading Facility test machine the FHWA investigated the effects of tire pressure on flexible pavements. The first part of the two part study

measured actual surface deflections and strains for different combinations of loads and tire pressure using inplace monitoring equipment. The second part evaluated the extent of rutting and fatigue cracking on two pavement test sections using the same load but different tire pressures after 100,000, 200,000, 300,000, 400,000 500,000 and 600,000 passes of a simulated load.

Both parts of the study used the same two pavement sections. Lane 1 consisted of a 2 in. asphalt concrete wearing course, a 3 in. asphalt concrete binder and 5 in. of crushed aggregate base over native subgrade. Lane 2 used a 2 in. asphalt wearing course, a 5 in. asphalt concrete binder and 12 in. of crushed aggregate base.

Part one of the study used insitu strain gauges to measure actual pavement surface strains and the strains at the bottom of the asphalt. Strains at the base-subgrade interface were not measured. Total loads of 9,400, 14,100 and 19,000 lb. were applied on dual tires at tire pressures of 76 psi, 108 psi, and 140 psi. Two different tire types, bias-ply and radial, were used in the study. Table 1 lists pavement material properties.

Table 1
Average Pavement Material Properties

	Pavement	Composite *	Pavement	Composite *
	Thickness	Moduli	Thickness	Moduli
Layer	Test 2-2	Test 2-2	Test 2-3	Test 2-3
Asphalt				
Concrete	6.8 inches	41,500 psi	7.3 inches	49,400 psi
Base	11.2 inches	12,000 psi	11.8 inches	15,400 psi
Subgrade	-	7,000 psi	-	8,400 psi

^{*}Composite Moduli is the effective stiffness found for the entire pavement structure taken as a whole, not the stiffness for that particular layer alone.

From the data in part one, it was concluded that the effects of tire pressure on the tensile strain was very small. The range of increased tensile strain measurements for a constant tire load and increased tire pressures was from 2 to 10 percent. Note that the asphalt thicknesses in the first part of the study were 5 and 7 inches, therefore the conclusions reached about the effects of tire pressure were consistent with the findings of other studies, in that tire pressure does not significantly effect tensile strains on asphalt concrete pavement over 4 in. thick.

The second conclusion reached from the first part of the study was that axle load played a significant role in the magnitude of the tensile strains. In this study, increasing the load from 9,400 lb. to 19,000 lb. resulted in an increase of 200 to 400 percent in the measured tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete.

The study did not reach any conclusion about the effect of tire type (bias-ply verses radial) due to differences in pavement temperatures at the times the tests were conducted. The temperature was from 6° to 10°F higher during the bias-ply tests than the radial tire tests. This temperature difference was found in the laboratory to result in a 100,000 psi decrease in the resilient modulus for the asphalt layer making any comparisons suspect.

The second part of the study simulated actual traffic using the Accelerated Loading Facility at the FHWA's Pavement Testing Facility (PTF). Each part of phase two of the test was conducted during different times of the year. Radial Tires were tested in part one from January to June, and bias ply tires were tested from July to December. This part of the study was designed to measure the effects of tire pressure on fatigue cracking and rutting. Table 2 was taken from Figures 8 and 9 of the study.

Table 2
Results of ALF Tests

	Rut Depth	Total		Rut Depth	Total
Number of	(in.) Test	Cracking	Number of	(in.) Test	Cracking
Passes	2-2	Test 2-2	Passes	2-3	Test 2-3
75,000	0.23	0	90,000	0.02	0
125,000	0.38	0	150,000	0.06	0
425,000	0.65	110	280,000	0.18	10
550,000	0.90	340	400,000	0.45	15

Note: Test 2-2 tire pressure = 140 psi Test 2-3 tire pressure = 100 psi

The results tend to indicate that lower tire pressure does increase pavement life for both fatigue and rutting. Unfortunately variables such as asphalt concrete and base thickness, and asphalt temperature during the testing of the two sections were varied enough that their influence on the results could not be ignored. It was recommended that in future tests, the loads be alternated every two weeks to factor out the environmental effects.

2.3.5. Tire Type

Although not included in analytical studies, the type of tire used does play a small role in pavement performance. One study by Sebaaly compared the effects of four different types of tires on pavement response (2). In the study, insitu measurements of horizontal tensile strains were taken when the pavement was subjected to identical axle loads of 17,600 lb. on single axle, 21,600 lb. on a single axle, 17,400 lb./axle on a tandem axle and 14,700 lb./axle on a tandem axle. The tensile strain was measured for each tire type which included dual

tires, 11R22.5 inflated to 105 psi and 120 psi, 245/75R22.5 dual tires at 120 psi, 385/65R22.5 single tire at 120 psi, and 425/65R22.5 single tire at 120 psi. Passes were made at a speed of 40 mph. Sebaaly converted the strain measurements made to Load Equivalency Factors (LEF). Comparing the changes in the LEF Sebaaly, concluded that:

- Tire type has a significant effect on the Load Equivalency Factor of an axle load and configuration.
- Single wide-base tires have LEFs 1.5 to 1.7 times higher than dual tires for any given pavement thickness for both fatigue and rutting.
- The effect of tire type on the LEF was uniform throughout the range of asphalt thicknesses used in the study.

2.4. Results of Central Tire Inflation Studies

2.4.1. Introduction:

The U.S. Army found that lowering tire pressures on low speed, unpaved roads had several potential benefits (8):

- Reduced road maintenance requirements
- Reduced road surfacing requirements.
- Reduced drive fatigue and injury.
- Reduced vehicle operation costs
- Increased vehicle mobility

Although preliminary studies convinced the Army to equip their 5-ton trucks (gross vehicle weight) with CTI systems for mobility purposes, no sufficient quantification of the other benefits had been accomplished.

The first four potential benefits identified by the U.S. Army were of particular interest to the Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service. The Forest Service conducted a proof-of-concept study. Observations made during the proof-of-concept study done on aggregate surfaced roads indicated that high-pressure tires caused faster road surface deterioration than low pressure tires including washboarding. In fact, the low-pressure tires caused no perceptible road damage and made significant improvements in the condition of the road (9). Based on the results of the proof-of-concept study, the Forest Service had two closed loop course studies done, one at the Nevada Automotive Test Center and the other at the U.S. Army's Waterways Experiment Station. In conjunction with the studies, the Forest Service also conducted field tests in Boise, Idaho, Alabama and Oklahoma.

2.4.2. Nevada Automotive Test Center Study (10)

This study was requested by the Department of Agriculture, Forest Service to quantify the effect of lower tire pressure on tire and truck performance. The study also provided much information on roadway performance. The test was conducted over a closed loop track with several types of road surfaces. Track sections included paved highway, unpaved washboarded roads, logging roads with potholes and severe rock sections. Table 3 lists the various sections used.

Table 3
Pavement Test Sections
Nevada Automotive Test Center Study

Section	Description
1	Flat "S" curve, 90 foot radius (gravel)
2	Outslope "S" curve, 90 foot radius (gravel)
3	Potholes - 25 @ 4 foot spacing per lane
4	Curve 200 foot radius (gravel)
5	Rocks - 25 @ 4 foot spacing per lane
6	Round Aggregate, 4-inch radius
7	Washboard (gravel)
8	Double penetration chip seal (straight)
9	Double penetration chip seal (curved)
10	Type II asphalt concrete (curve, 200 foot radius)
11	Type II asphalt concrete (straight)
12	Severe rock course. 4-6 in. height, 2.25 square inch contact area

The track was driven over by two logging trucks which were able to simulate actual off road driving conditions. Each truck operated in its own lane and tire inflation pressure was determined by sidewall deflection rather than actual tire pressure. Low pressure tires had 20-22% sidewall deflection, while high pressure tires had 10-12% sidewall deflection (corresponding tire pressures were listed in the report). The truck with low tire pressure was driven 2,681 miles and the truck with high tire pressure was driven 2,676 miles.

From the proof-of-concept study, it appeared that the larger tire foot print achieved with lower tire pressure would result in reduced road construction, surfacing and maintenance costs. This hypothesis was confirmed by this study. After completion of over 2600 vehicle miles in each lane, the road maintenance material requirements were significantly lower in the low pressure lane as shown in Table 4 below. Material requirements were not broken out by test section.

Table 4

Material Required to Repair Roads After of 2,600 Miles of Testing.

High Pressure Tire Lanes	Low Pressure Tire Lanes
Approximately nine yards Class B, Type II aggregate	None
Approximately 2,200 square feet of double penetration chip seal	Approximately 75 square feet of double penetration chip seal
Approximately 1,800 square feet of 2" AC lift	Approximately 30 square feet of 2" AC lift
Approximately 28,000 gallons of water to restore grade and compaction in rutted areas	Approximately 8,000 gallons of water to restore grade and compaction in rutted areas

In addition to materials, significant differences in labor and equipment repair requirements were noted in the unpaved sections. The low tire pressure test lanes required six hours of grading and four hours of watering to return to their original conditions while, the high tire pressure lanes required 14 hours of loader and ripper time, 14 man-hours of grading and 14 man hours of watering to be returned to original condition.

The closed loop test had four paved sections: two chip sealed and two 2 in. asphalt concrete. After completion of the originally planned number of passes, neither lane required repair or maintenance in the paved sections, although the high pressure lane showed signs of rutting and fatigue cracking in sections 8 and 9. Sections 10 and 11 showed no signs of distress in either lane. During the original phase of the test, the moisture content of the base course was measure at between 4 and 6 percent. After completion of initial testing it was decided to evaluate the effect of higher moisture contents in the paved sections. By filling the ditches along the side of the test track, the moisture content the subgrade and base course of the chip sealed sections was raised between 7 and 8 percent. The effects in these sections (sections 8 and 9), became quickly apparent. Surface and base failure was evident after just 15 passes of a loaded truck in sections 8 and 9 of the high pressure tire lane. After 45 passes of a loaded truck, section 9 (curved section) failed in the low pressure lane. Section 8 (straight section) of the low pressure lane did not fail after 169 passes of a loaded truck when the additional testing was terminated.

The other paved sections (10 and 11) had the base moisture content elevated between 12 and 14% using the same methods as was used in sections 8 and 9. The high tire pressure lane developed linear cracking after just 35 passes of a

loaded truck and was considered to have failed after 55 passes. After 81 passes, testing was stopped in the high tire pressure lane. In the low tire pressure lanes, sections 10 and 11 remained undamaged until section 11 (straight section) reached failure after 121 passes. Section 10 (curved section) had not failed after 169 laps when the additional test was terminated.

One test section was constructed with washboarding already in place. In the closed loop test, the high pressure lane experienced a worsening of the washboarding, resulting in potholing and reduction in speed. No changes were noted in the low pressure lane. Because this section was constructed as a washboard course, maintenance was not required.

One potentially significant benefit found in addition to the effects on road surfaces and conditions, was the lower operating costs of the trucks operating at lower tire pressure. The trucks used in the study were identical except for miles driven. Both the high pressure and low pressure trucks were 1972 Kenworth, Model W925. The low pressure truck had 183,000 miles of use, the high pressure truck had 191,000 miles of use. Costs for fuel, tire wear, damaged parts and related wear were closely monitored. Cost of operation for the low tire pressure truck was \$1.11/mile while cost of operation for the high tire pressure truck was \$4.92/mile. Table 5 shows the break down of the operation costs.

Table 5.
Cost/Mile During Nevada Test Center Study

	Low Pressure Tire 2,681 Miles Driven 20-22% Tire Deflection	High Pressure Tire 2,676 Miles Driven 10-12% Tire Deflection
Fuel (1)	\$0.18	\$0.18
Tire Wear (2)	\$0.46	\$0.59
Damaged Parts (3)	\$0.16	\$2.28
Related Labor Cost (4)	\$0.31	\$1.87
Total Cost/Mile	\$1.11	\$4.92

- (1) Based on \$1.00/gal.
- (2) Based on \$400/tire mounted.
- (3) Based on cost of replacement parts.
- (4) Base on \$45.00/hour.

Based on these lower operating costs, the estimated cost of \$10,000 for a CTI system could be recovered by the lower operating cost in as little 2,700 miles of operation, and that does not include cost savings from reduced road maintenance.

The study concluded that lower tire pressures provided significantly longer pavement life than the higher tire pressures for the pavement sections tested, reduced road maintenance and repair requirements, and increased driver comfort.

2.4.3. Boise National Forest Field Operational Tests (8)

In conjunction with the testing being done at the Nevada Automotive Test Center and U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station, the Forest Service also conducted a field test in the Boise National Forest. This test entailed the removal of 1.7 million board feet (MMBF) of timber over 5 miles of aggregate surfaced road and 6 miles of native surfaced road using different tire pressures. Tire pressures between 25 and 54 psi were used for hauling 1.0 MMBF and the remaining 0.7 MMBF was hauled using 100 psi tire pressure. The test was done between September and November 1986. Although the test was to determine the potential benefits of Central Tire Inflation (CTI), none of the trucks used were equipped with the system, therefore inflation pressures were adjusted manually. Driving axle tires were set for 41 psi when loaded and 25 psi when empty. Trailer and steering axle tires were kept at a constant pressures of 38 and 54 psi respectively. All tires were kept at a constant 100 psi. for the high pressure portion of the test.

The first phase of the test using lower tire pressures was conducted from September 12 - 26, 1986. During this phase, the lower tire pressure appeared to set the road up so hard and smooth that during the following high pressure runs, only areas of excessive subsurface water did the road surface break down. During the first low pressure phase the roads became saturated and so slick that the operation was temporarily shut down after a rain storm. At this point the Forest Service was preparing to repair the ruts in the road prior to starting the high pressure phase of the test. However, based upon the suggestion of the logging contractor and truck drivers, 2 days of haul were run using lowered tire pressure in lieu of grading the road surface. As the road dried out, the lower tire pressures smoothed the road surface and grader maintenance was not required.

In summary, the results of the test showed positive benefits to using lower tire pressure. Some of the particular benefits noted were:

- Road maintenance was reduced.
- •Rutting was reduced. Rutting developed only in wet spots and was only 3 to 4 inches deep compared to previous years when ruts up to 16 inches deep were reported.
- •Lower tire pressures helped repair damaged roads as the road surface dried out.
- •The haul season was extended by use of lowered tire pressures. In wet conditions, traction improved and road damage was decreased. Several

days of hauling were allowed that would not have been possible with high tire pressures.

•On steep grades, truck traction was improved by the use of lowered tire pressure.

Although this test was qualitative only and that additional field operational tests are necessary to evaluate the benefits of lowered tire pressures over a wider range of soil, climate, and road design conditions, the positive implications of operating at lower tire pressure are worth noting.

2.4.4. U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station Study (11)

In addition to the Nevada Automotive Test Center study, the Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, conducted another study to quantify the effect of lower tire pressure on road surface deterioration and pavement thickness requirements.

A closed loop two lane track was constructed. A total of 15 test sections, one native soil (a lean clay), five aggregate (3 to 9 in.), and nine asphalt concrete sections with varying combinations of asphalt thicknesses (2, 4, 5 and 6 in.) and base course (0, 4, 6, and 8 in.). Table 6 summarizes the pavement sections and average material properties.

Table 6
Pavement Test Sections Used in Waterways Experiment Station Study

		Surface	Base	Base	Average	Insitu Dry
		Thickness	Course	Moisture	Aggregate	Density
	Surface	(in.)	Thickness	Content	CBR	AASHTO
Section	Material		(in.)	(Agg.)	Value	T-180
1	Aggregate	3	N/A	1.4	35	135.4
2	Aggregate	3	N/A	1.7	22	136.0
3	Native Soil	N/A	N/A	17.3	N/A	111.6
4	Asphalt	2	4	3.5	23	142.6
5	Asphalt	2	6	3.4	28	142.3
6	Asphalt	2	8	3.4	41	142.3
7	Asphalt	4	0	N/A	N/A	114.6
8	Asphalt	4	8	2.3	34	138.6
9	Asphalt	4	6	2.4	44	138.8
10	Asphalt	4	4	2.3	39	138.6
11	Asphalt	6	0	N/A	N/A	113.8
12	Asphalt	5	0	N/A	N/A	110.4
13	Aggregate	3	N/A	2.8	35	139.6
14	Aggregate	6	N/A	2.8	32	139.6
15	Aggregate	9	N/A	3.3	32	141.9

Passes were made over each section until failure. Paved sections were considered failed when there was:

- •A surface rut of 2 in. or more at least 20 ft-long
- •Surface cracking existed to the extent that the pavement was no longer waterproof;
- •Severe shoving resulting in 2-in deep ruts or severe cracking of the AC surface existed.

The aggregate sections were considered failed when any of the following conditions existed in a 20-ft-long section of a wheel path:

- •Three inch ruts in test sections 1,2 and 13; or
- •Four-inch ruts in test sections 14 and 15; or
- Washboarding of 3 in. deep or more.

Shoving was also a major type of distress observed during the test. Shoving occurred in the out side wheel path of a horizontal curve and was visually detected by either the outward movement of the total thickness of asphalt concrete or by the outward movement of the asphalt concrete layer in relation to the underlying layer.

Two trucks were driven over the lanes in both the loaded and unloaded condition. The high tire pressure truck was operated at typical highway pressure of 100 psi in all tires. The low-pressure truck operated at a constant tire deflection (21 percent), which required tire pressures of approximately 25 and 39 psi for the unloaded and loaded conditions, respectively. Axle loads for the high pressure tire truck were 9,590 lb. for the front axle, and an average of 16,750 lb./axle for the other 4 axles. The low pressure tire truck had a front axle load of 9,530 lb. and an average of 16,960 lb./axle for the remaining axles.

The first day of driving began after several days of rain and apparently due to the high moisture content sections 1 to 3 showed rutting after one pass. The benefits of lower tire pressure on aggregate surface roads were seen from the results of section 2 when the trucks operated empty to determine the effects of lower tire pressure on washboarding (washboarding is caused by tires bouncing which occurs mostly when trucks are empty). Washboarding was noticeable in section 2 in the high pressure lane after about 50 passes. The corrugation was 2.5 in. deep and the truck had to reduced speed to maneuver safely over Section 2. After 112 passes, severe washboarding was measured throughout the high-pressure lane of section 2. No washboarding was observed in the low pressure lane. The high-pressure traffic caused severe distress in Section 2, and required grading after a combined total of 172, 541, 624, and 1130 loaded

and unloaded passes. Grading was never required in the low pressure lane of section 2.

The benefits of lower tire pressure on asphalt concrete roads were also evident. In all cases when an asphalt concrete section was judged as failed in the high pressure lane, only hairline cracking and minor rutting were detected in a low pressure lane. Only four failures occurred in the low pressure lane, two in section 4 and one each in sections 5 and 6. The failures in sections 5 and 6 were judged failed after 2,076 passes and those in section 4 after 3,324 and 3,845 passes. Severe cracking and rutting were the mode of each of these failures. Table 7 summarizes the number of load repetitions to failure in the study.

Table 7.
Summary of Number of Passes at Time of Failure

Test Section	Tire Pressure	Number of Passes to Failure
1	High	58
1	Low	66
2	High	58
2	Low	66
3	High	58
3	Low	66
4	High	158
4	Low	3324
5	High	1,414
5	Low	2,076
6	High	1,104
6	Low	2,076
10	High	2,210
13	High	883
13	Low	1,077
14	High	883
14	Low	1,077
15	High	883
15	Low	1,077

No failure information provided for sections 7, 8, 9, 11, or 12.

Based on the results of the study, the following findings and recommendations were noted:

- •The failures and distresses in the high-pressure lane of the asphalt concrete sections were more pronounced than those in the low-pressure lane
- •Where pavement failures occurred in both lanes of the same asphalt concrete section, the ratio of the number of passes to failure of the low-pressure lane to high-pressure lane ranged between 1.5 to 1 and 21 to 1.
- •Considerable maintenance was required on aggregate surfaced grades after high-pressure unloaded traffic because of severe washboarding. This type of distress is not a factor under low-pressure traffic.
- •There was no appreciable difference in the amount of shoving of aggregate surface horizontal curves because of different tire pressures.
- •Considerable savings should be realized from operating at lower tire pressure from lower repair and maintenance of roads, the reduction of truck and tire wear and from the extension of haul seasons.

CHAPTER 3: DEVELOPMENT OF DATA AND METHODS OF ANALYSIS

3.1. Objective

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of operating heavy vehicles at lower tire pressures on roads in severely weakened condition. In order to analyze the effects of lower tire pressure on pavement performance, comparison of the number of load repetitions to failure for each pavement type, material condition, tire load and tire pressure was determined. The failure criteria used was developed by the Asphalt Institute for fatigue cracking and rutting. The formula for fatigue failure defines failure as fatigue cracking over 10 percent of the wheel path area, while rutting failure is defined as 0.5 inch depressions in the wheel paths (13). These formulas required determination of two specific strain criteria. For fatigue failure, horizontal tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt layer was determined and the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade was determined for the rutting failure. The formulas used are shown below:

Fatigue Failure:

 $\log N_f = 15.947 - 3.291 \log (\varepsilon_f/10^{-6}) - 0.854 \log (E/10^{-3})$

N_f = Load repetitions to Failure

ε_t = Horizontal Tensile Strain at Bottom of Asphalt Concrete

E = Elastic Modulus of the Asphalt Concrete

Rutting Failure

 $N_f = 1.077 \times 10^{18} (10^{-6}/\epsilon_V)^{4.4843}$

N_f = Load repetitions to Failure

 ε_V = Vertical Compressive Strain at Top of Subgrade

3.2. Determination of Strains

3.2.1. ELSYM5

Determination of horizontal tensile strain at the bottom of asphalt concrete and vertical compressive strains at the top of subgrade in both asphalt and aggregate roads were determined using the ELSYM5 computer software program developed by the Federal Highway Administration. ELSYM5 uses elastic layer theory to calculate the stresses and strains at specified points in

multi-layer pavement systems. Input variables were material properties, loading condition, and points of evaluation.

3.2.2. System Material Properties

Two road surfaces were modeled in this study, asphalt concrete and aggregate surfaced roads. Asphalt concrete roads consisted of a layer of asphalt surface course over an aggregate base over a semi-infinite subgrade. Aggregate surfaced roads were a layer of aggregate over a semi-infinite subgrade. In each system, material properties were varied under each loading condition. By varying the layer thickness and using two different elastic moduli for the asphalt concrete, a total of nine different road systems were evaluated. Table 8 lists each of the systems evaluated:

Table 8.

Layer Thicknesses and Moduli of Surface Layers Evaluated

_	Surface	Base Course
Surface Material	Thickness	Thickness
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =150,000 psi)	1 inch	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =150,000 psi)	2 inches	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =150,000 psi)	3 inches	8 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =1,000,000 psi)*	1 inch	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =1,000,000 psi)*	2 inches	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =1,000,000 psi)*	3 inches	8 inches
Crushed Aggregate	4 inches	N/A
Crushed Aggregate	8 inches	N/A
Crushed Aggregate	12 inches	N/A

^{*} Evaluated with tandem axle configuration only

The values for the Elastic Modulus (E) for the crushed aggregate and subgrade were assigned different values. These values were chosen to evaluate road response under varying conditions - weak to strong. The elastic modulus for asphalt concrete (E_{ac}) was held constant for each asphalt concrete system. The only material properties that were varied in each system were the values assigned to the elastic modulus. The elastic modulus of the crushed aggregate (E_{b}) was assigned values of 1,000, 5,000, 10,000, 20,000 or 30,000 psi. The elastic modulus of the subgrade (E_{sg}) was assigned values of 2,500, 5,000 or 10,000 psi . Each loading condition was evaluated for each possible combination of E_{b} and E_{sg} .

The asphalt concrete layer thicknesses were selected in order to model thinner asphalt pavement sections found in rural roads that are generally subject to load restrictions during spring thaw. The asphalt concrete elastic moduli were selected to model a cracked asphalt concrete (E_{ac} =150,000 psi) and a

moderately strong asphalt concrete (E_{ac} =1,000,000 psi). The elastic moduli for the crushed aggregate and subgrade were selected to simulate those that have been found in the field during spring thaw. (1)

Poisson's Ratios were held constant throughout the study. Values used were 0.35 for asphalt concrete, 0.40 for crushed aggregate and 0.45 for subgrade.

3.2.3. Loading Conditions

The strains at the bottom of asphalt and top of subgrade were determined for each road system combination and loading condition to calculate the number of load repetitions to failure. Loading conditions evaluated included two axle configurations, three tire loads, and three tire pressures.

The two axle configurations were a dual tired single axle and a dual tired tandem axle. These are the most common configurations found on tractor- semi trailer vehicles.

Tire loads used were 4,250, 3,750, and 3,250 lb. per tire. The 4,250 lb. per tire load was chosen as it is the maximum per tire load allowed for dual tandem axle configurations (34,000 lb. maximum). Tire loads of 3,750 and 3,250 lb. per tire represent 88 percent and 76 percent of maximum tire loads respectively for dual tandem axles. These loads were used as they were above the commonly used value of 60 percent of maximum load allowed during periods of load restrictions(1). The same tire loads were used for the single axle configuration in order to permit easy comparison of axle configuration.

Tire pressures of 40, 70 and 100 psi were used. To simulate loading conditions found on most operating trucks, 100 psi was selected (6). Selection of 40 psi as the lowest pressure was done to approximate the average low pressures found in the studies reviewed. For a median value, 70 psi was selected. Although other studies have shown that tire contact pressure and tire inflation pressure are not always equal, tire contact pressure and tire inflation pressure are assumed to be equal for this study. Since the ratio between tire contact pressure and tire inflation pressure varies depending on tire type, this assumption eliminated tire type as variable in this study.

3.2.4. Points of Evaluation

Evaluation points were determined depending on failure criteria and axle configuration. In asphalt concrete pavements, the failure criteria were fatigue and rutting, therefore strains at the bottom of the asphalt concrete and top of subgrade were used to determine the load repetitions to failure for fatigue and rutting respectively. In the aggregate surfaced roads, rutting failure was the only

criteria of interest, therefore the strain at the top of subgrade was the only one determined for the aggregate road structure.

Strains directly under a tire, between the dual tires and between the axles were calculated for the dual tandem axle configuration. For the single axle configuration, the strains under and between the tires were determined.

Only the largest strain found for each loading condition was used in determining the load repetitions to failure. Other strains were not considered. Strain values found for single axle and tandem axle are found in Appendix A and Appendix B respectively.

3.3. Calculation of Load repetitions to Failure

For each pavement and loading condition, the strains at the selected points of interest were calculated using the ELSYM5 program. Using the strain calculated by ELSYM5 and the Asphalt Institute failure formulas, the number of load repetitions to failure for each combination of pavement and loading condition was determined. These are shown in Appendices C and D for single and tandem axles respectively.

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1. Introduction

The results obtained were analyzed by comparing average increases in load repetitions to failure and average percentage increases in load repetitions to failures obtained by reducing tire pressure and tire load separately and combined. Averages were used because of the large number of different pavement sections and loading conditions examined. By using average increases in load repetitions to failure the trends of reducing tire pressure and tire load can be more easily examined and discussed. Although some results show large percentage increases in load repetitions to failure, the reader must be careful to realize that while the percentage increase may extremely large, the actual number of load repetitions to failures may be few, in some less than 100. This is especially true when the increases for load repetitions to failure of very weak soils and thin road surfaces are reviewed. Because of this, it is very important that if the results of this study are used to aide the decision whether to require lower tire loads or tire pressures on weakened roads, that the reader ensure that actual field conditions are considered in conjunction with the results of this study.

For simplicity, the results of the data analysis are divided into four different groups shown on Table 9 below. Each group is used to examine the effect of reducing tire pressure and tire load for a specific pavement type and failure criteria. The effects of reducing tire pressure and tire load on fatigue failure for each asphalt concrete stiffness are examined separately.

Table 9
Data Analysis Groups

Group	Pavement Type	Material Properties	Failure Criteria
1	Aggregate Surface	All	Rutting
2	Asphalt Concrete	All	Rutting
3	Asphalt Concrete	E _{ac} = 1,000,000 psi	Fatigue
4	Asphalt Concrete	E _{ac} = 150,000 psi	Fatigue

In the aggregate surfaced roads only rutting failure is considered. The results indicate that in most cases, rutting failure will also be the failure that governs the asphalt concrete pavement structure as the number of load repetitions to rutting failure are usually far less than the number of load repetitions to fatigue failure. The results show that reducing either tire pressure or tire load will result in increases in load repetitions to failure for both rutting and fatigue, and that if both tire load and tire pressure are reduced together, the resulting increase in load repetitions to failure for both rutting and fatigue is greater than the sum of the two individually.

4.2. Aggregate Surface Roads.

4.2.1. Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure.

Using the load repetitions to failure calculated for each loading condition, the effects of reduced tire pressure were determined. For each tire load, the load repetitions to failure for tires inflated to 100 psi was used as the base against which increases or decreases were measured. Table 10 summarizes the average percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure when the tire pressure is reduced from 100 psi to 70 psi and 100 psi to 40 psi respectively. Appendix E contains data used to calculate the averages for single and tandem axles.

The data in Table 10 shows that reducing tire pressure results in an increase in load repetitions to failure and that the larger the pressure reduction the larger the increase in load repetitions to failure, i.e. reducing the pressure from 100 psi to 40 psi will provide 3 to 10 times the increase in load repetitions to failure that are obtained by reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 70 psi.

Table 10 also shows that as the aggregate layer gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure decreases. This means that as aggregate roads get thicker, the effects of reducing tire pressure becomes less effective in reducing the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade.

It must be cautioned that reduced tire pressure will not always allow usage of aggregate roads in a weakened condition. In some cases, the number of load repetitions to failure even operating at reduced tire pressure could be reached in a very short time. Note for example that the average increases in load repetitions to failure for a 4 inch aggregate road were always less than 100. Even with minimal heavy vehicle traffic, it would not take long to reach 100 load repetitions. However, in thicker aggregate surface roads, the effects of reducing tire pressure may be sufficient by itself to allow trucks to operate without load reductions. This would greatly improve profitability for heavy truck operators.

Table 10.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Aggregate Surfaced Roads

Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure				
	Tire Pressure Reduction		Tire Pressure Reduction From	
	From 100 psi to 70 psi		100 psi to 40 psi	
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in
	Load	Load	Load	Load
Road Structure	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to	repetitions to
and Tire Load	to Failure	to Failure	Failure	Failure
Single Axle				
4 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	45	158%	448	1,756%
3,750 lb./tire	38	179%	417	2,204%
4,250 lb./tire	34	199%	403	2,685%
8 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	613	40%	2,978	203%
3,750 lb./tire	417	46%	2,105	243%
4,250 lb./tire	300	52%	1,569	285%
12 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	3,527	15%	10,155	49%
3,750 lb./tire	2,180	16%	5,542	51%
4,250 lb./tire	1,342	17%	3,230	47%
Tandem Axle				
4 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	46	162%	452	1,758%
3,750 lb./tire	39	180%	421	2,211%
4,250 lb./tire	26	141%	287	2,293%
8 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	636	40%	3,086	205%
3,750 lb./tire	433	46%	2,182	246%
4,250 lb./tire	158	47%	809	267%
12 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	3,787	15%	10,940	50%
3,750 lb./tire	2,330	16%	5,973	52%
4,250 lb./tire	636	15%	1761	55%

4.2.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Load.

Table 11 summarizes the average percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure when the tire load is reduced from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire

and from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire respectively. Appendix F contains data used to calculate the averages for single and tandem axles respectively.

Table 11.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Aggregate Surfaced Roads

Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure					
Road Structure and Tire	Tire Load Re	duction From	Tire Load Reduction		
Pressure	4250 to 37	750 lb./tire	From 4250 to 3250 lb./tire		
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage	
]	Increase in	Increase in	Increase	Increase in	
	Load	Load	in Load	Load	
	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to	
Single Axle	to Failure	to Failure	to Failure	Failure	
4 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	43	5%	115	34%	
70 psi	14	19%	38	64%	
100 psi	7	31%	20	83%	
8 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	883	40%	2,420	111%	
70 psi	464	54%	1,325	148%	
100 psi	347	52%	1,011	159%	
12 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	7,741	72%	23,298	208%	
70 psi	6,269	66%	18,558	196%	
100 psi	5,430	67%	16,373	201%	
Tandem Axle					
4 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	143	101%	181	132%	
70 psi	20	84%	36	154%	
100 psi	8	78%	16	136%	
8 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	2,027	183%	3,614	327%	
70 psi	911	174%	1,794	341%	
100 psi	635	173%	1,316	360%	
12 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	13,837	200%	30,416	441%	
70 psi	11,197	188%	24,255	417%	
100 psi	9,546	198%	21,145	439%	

Table 11 shows decreasing the tire load results in increases in load repetitions to rutting failure. However, the effects of larger decreases in load are more linear than the effects of larger tire pressure reductions. For example,

decreasing the tire pressure from 100 psi to 40 psi resulted in 3 to 10 times the increase in load repetitions to failure obtained by reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 70 psi, whereas decreasing the tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire resulted in only 1 to 3 times the increase load repetitions to failure obtained by decreasing the tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire. This indicates that vertical compressive strain is a fairly linear function of tire load, that is each pound of tire load reduction results in an equal reduction in vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade.

The effect of the aggregate thickness on increase in load repetitions to failure is opposite that of tire pressure reduction. As the aggregate gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure increases, thus indicating that as aggregate gets thicker, tire load is more critical to the development of vertical compressive strain than tire pressure.

4.2.3. Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Load and Pressure:

In order to measure the combined effects of reducing tire load and tire pressure, a base load was selected. The base load was 4250 lb./tire on a tire inflated to 100 psi. Holding tire pressure constant, the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to failure were determined for reducing tire load to 3250 lb./tire. Holding load constant, the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to failure were determined for reducing tire pressure to 40 psi. Then the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to failure for reducing both tire load and pressure were determined by comparing the load repetitions to failure for a load of 4250 lb./tire at 100 psi and a load of 3250 lb./tire at 40 psi. It was noted that the increase in load repetitions to failure of the combined effects of tire pressure and load reduction was greater than the sum of the separate increase due to tire pressure and tire load reduction. A final calculation called the Synergistic Factor was determined by dividing the increase in load repetitions to failure of the combined effects by the sum of the individual increases realized by reducing tire pressure or tire load alone. This synergistic factor shows that when both tire pressure and tire load are reduced simultaneously, much larger increases in load repetitions to failure can be achieved. Table 12 summarizes the results for each aggregate thickness and is listed in appendix G.

Based on the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure, the individual effects of tire load reduction verses tire pressure reduction in Table 11 shows that reducing tire pressure becomes less influential on vertical compressive strain as the aggregate thickness increases while reducing tire load becomes more influential.

When the combined effects of tire pressure and tire load reduction are reviewed, again as aggregate layer gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure gets less, but the actual number of load repetitions to failure increases. This emphasizes the importance of taking into consideration the road structure rather than the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure when determining whether to allow either full loads at reduced tire pressure, require lighter loads at normal tire pressure, or requiring both lighter loads and reduced tire pressure.

Table 12
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Failure
by Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load Individually and Combined Aggregate Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failu			
Road Structure	Reducing Tire Load From		Reducing Tire Pressure	
	4,250 to 3,250 lb./tire		From 100 psi to 40 psi	
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
Single Axle	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
4 Inch Aggregate	18	83%	447	1,465%
8 Inch Aggregate	948	149%	1,471	260%
12 Inch Aggregate	15,349	188%	3,028	38%
Tandem Axle				
4 Inch Aggregate	16	185%	286	2,040%
8 Inch Aggregate	1,316	360%	790	235%
12 Inch Aggregate	21,145	439%	1,678	57%
	Reducing Tire Load and			
	Tire Pressure			
	Average	Percentage	Synergistic	
Single Axle	Increase	Increase	Factor*	
4 Inch Aggregate	550	1,710%	1.18	
8 Inch Aggregate	3,470	508%	1.43	
12 Inch Aggregate	24,870	260%	1.35	
Tandem Axle				
4 Inch Aggregate	467	3,977%	1.55	
8 Inch Aggregate	4,403	1,232%	2.09	
12 Inch Aggregate	32,094	683%	1.41	

^{*}See text for description

4.3. Asphalt Surfaced Roads:

The effect of reducing tire pressure and tire load on increases in load repetitions to failure for both rutting and fatigue were reviewed. During the analysis of the data it was noted that the values for load repetitions to rutting failure and the

strains obtained were found to be within a reasonable range for both asphalt stiffnesses evaluated. However, when the load repetitions to failure were determined for the asphalt concrete section with elastic modulus of 150,000 psi (attempting to simulate a cracked pavement) the results obtained were extremely large and are not considered reasonable. Therefore, discussion of the results for the asphalt section is broken into three parts. Part 1 addresses rutting failure, Part 2 addresses fatigue failure for the asphalt sections with an elastic modulus of 1,000,000 psi, and Part 3 discusses fatigue failure for the asphalt section with the lower elastic modulus of 150,000 psi.

4.3.1. Rutting Failure.

4.3.1.1. Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure.

The results obtained for average increase in load repetitions to rutting failure show the same trends as those found in the aggregate surface road which were:

- Reducing tire pressure results in increases in load repetitions to rutting failure
- The larger the pressure reduction, the larger the increase in load repetitions to failure.
- Reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 40 psi provides 3 to 5 times the increase in load repetitions to rutting failure obtained by only reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 70 psi.
- As the asphalt gets thicker and stiffer, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure decreases meaning that reducing tire pressure becomes less effective reducing the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade.

The results of the effects of reducing tire pressure are summarized in Table 13. Values in Table 13 are taken from the data and calculations in appendix H.

4.3.1.2 Effects of Reducing Tire Load on Rutting Failure

Using identical methods to analyze the effect of reduced tire load on rutting failure of an asphalt concrete road as was used to analyze the effect of reduced tire load on an aggregate road, the values shown in Table 14 indicated similar trends as was found for the aggregate road. Those trends were:

- Decreasing tire load results in increases in load repetitions to rutting failure.
- Decreasing tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire results in 2 to 3 times the average increase in load repetitions to rutting failure obtained by reducing tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire.

• As the asphalt concrete gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure becomes smaller.

Table 13.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure			
Road Structure and				
Tire Load	From 100 psi to 70 psi		Tire Pressure Reduction	
THE LOAU	Average Percentage		From 100 psi to 40 psi Average Percentage	
	Increase in	Increase in	Average Increase in	Increase in
Single Axle	Load	Load	Load	Load
E(ac) = 150,000 psi	repetitions to	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to
L(ac) = 100,000 psi	Failure	to Failure	to Failure	Failure
1 Inch Asphalt	1 andre	toralidie	to railure	1 allule
3,250 lb./tire	515	37%	2,568	180%
3,750 lb./tire	352	43%		236%
			2,258	
4,250 lb./tire	256	48%	1,387	250%
2 Inch Asphalt	4.050	400/	<i>E</i> 704	700/
3,250 lb./tire	1,350	19%	5,764	78%
3,750 lb./tire	884	21%	3,883	89%
4,250 lb./tire	607	24%	2,659	95%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,594	5%	11,697	17%
3,750 lb./tire	1,962	5%	7,119	19%
4,250 lb./tire	1,197	6%	4,675	22%
Tandem Axle				
E(ac) = 150,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	529	37%	2,650	182%
3,750 lb./tire	362	43%	1,894	217%
4,250 lb./tire	263	48%	1,433	253%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	1,406	19%	6,004	79%
3,750 lb./tire	910	22%	3,995	90%
4,250 lb./tire	630	24%	2,787	96%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,904	5%	13,515	19%
3,750 lb./tire	1,931	5%	6,909	19%
4,250 lb./tire	1,295	6%	5,080	23%

Tandem Axle E(ac)=1,000,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	1,085	20%	4,551	88%
3,750 lb./tire	667	24%	3,028	105%
4,250 lb./tire	468	27%	2,173	118%
2 Inch Asphalt				,
3,250 lb./tire	6,436	6%	21,001	19%
3,750 lb./tire	4,282	6%	14,286	19%
4,250 lb./tire	2,455	7%	8,275	23%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	46,990	3%	173,737	13%
3,750 lb./tire	29,379	4%	107,229	15%
4,250 lb./tire	19,059	5%	70,908	17%

Table 14.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load Only - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure			
Road Structure and		duction From	Tire Load Reduction From	
Tire Pressure		750 lb./tire	4,250 to 3,250 lb./tire	
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in
Single Axle	Load	Load	Load	Load
E(ac)=150,000 psi	repetitions to	repetitions to	repetitions	repetitions to
	Failure	Failure	to Failure	Failure
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	1,139	48%	1,958	113%
3,750 lb./tire	382	49%	1,090	144%
4,250 lb./tire	286	57%	795	166%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,032	61%	8,711	173%
3,750 lb./tire	2,265	62%	6,737	183%
4,250 lb./tire	1,857	65%	5,605	195%
3 Inch Asphalt			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3,250 lb./tire	16,840	68%	51,013	207%
3,750 lb./tire	15,161	72%	46,387	219%
4,250 lb./tire	14,420	73%	44,015	222%
Tandem Axle			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
E(ac)=150,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	734	41%	2,010	113%
3,750 lb./tire	372	51%	1,058	146%
4,250 lb./tire	273	54%	793	161%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,114	61%	8,950	172%
3,750 lb./tire	2,186	61%	6,509	182%
4,250 lb./tire	1,906	65%	5,703	195%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	17,898	68%	55,073	212%
3,750 lb./tire	16,137	72%	49,283	220%
4,250 lb./tire	15,062	70%	46,437	218%
Tandem Axle			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi		;		
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	2,267	55%	6,567	158%
3,750 lb./tire	1,612	60%	4,806	178%
4,250 lb./tire	1,412	64%	4,189	195%

2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	41,024	91%	87,497	209%
3,750 lb./tire	36,839	95%	78,749	217%
4,250 lb./tire	35,018	96%	74,775	219%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	365,986	70%	1,118,350	212%
3,750 lb./tire	339,984	72%	1,043,452	222%
4,250 lb./tire	329,865	75%	1,015,721	228%

See Appendix I for data used to derive figures in Table 14.

4.3.1.3 Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Load and Pressure

Table 15 below summarizes the results of comparing the effects of reducing tire pressure and tire load each individually and combined. When results of the asphalt thicknesses are compared, note that the percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure for tire load reduction increases as the asphalt becomes thicker. This indicates that the influence of tire load increases as the asphalt becomes thicker. This is further supported by the smaller percentage increase in load repetitions to failure by reducing tire pressure as the asphalt gets thicker. It can also be seen that as asphalt gets thicker, the synergistic factor decreases. This is explained by the fact that tire load, which effects vertical compressive strain in a linear manner, becomes more influential as the asphalt gets thicker.

It is also noted that axle configuration has little influence on load repetitions to rutting failure. In fact the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure are nearly identical. There results indicated that axle configuration has little influence on load repetitions to rutting failure for asphalt concrete roads.

When comparisons are made between tire load and tire pressure reduction, the results summarized in Table 15 confirm the results of other studies which concluded that the effects of tire pressure reduction lessened as asphalt layer became thicker.

Table 15
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure			
Road Structure	Reducing Tir	e Load From	Reducing Tire Pressure	
	4,250 to 3,	250 lb./tire	From 100 psi to 40 psi	
Single Axle	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
E(ac)=150,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
1 Inch Asphalt,	795	166%	1,405	254%
2 Inch Asphalt,	5,605	195%	2,659	95%
3 Inch Asphalt,	44,015	222%	4,699	22%
Tandem Axle				
E(ac)=150,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt,	793	161%	1,432	249%
2 Inch Asphalt,	5,703	195%	2,787	96%
3 Inch Asphalt,	46,437	218%	4,880	21%
Tandem Axle				
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt,	4,189	189%	2,173	118%
2 Inch Asphalt,	75,755	219%	8,281	23%
3 Inch Asphalt,	1,015,721	228%	71,108	18%
	Reducing Ti	re Load and		
	Tire Pr	essure		
Single Axle	Average	Percentage	Synergistic	
E(ac)=150,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Factor	
1 Inch Asphalt	3,363	646%	1.54	
2 Inch Asphalt,	11,370	420%	1.44	
3 Inch Asphalt,	55,712	276%	1.13	
Tandem Axle				
E(ac)=150,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt,	3,443	635%	1.55	
2 Inch Asphalt,	11,737	423%	1.44	
3 Inch Asphalt,	59,952	277%	1.17	
Tandem Axle				
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt,	8,740	448%	1.42	
2 Inch Asphalt	95,778	279%	1.15	
3 Inch Asphalt,	1,189,458	269%	1.10	

See Appendix J for complete data tables.

4.3.2 Fatigue Failure

In nearly all the asphalt pavement sections and loading conditions examined, fatigue failure was not the governing failure criteria in an asphalt concrete pavement. This is due to rutting failure being mostly a direct function of the stiffness of the base and subgrade, and fatigue failure being strongly dependent on the stiffness of the asphalt. Since the strengths used for the base and subgrade were relatively much weaker than those used for the asphalt pavements, rutting was generally the governing criteria. However the effects of reducing tire pressure and tire load on fatigue failure indicate positive benefits of reducing tire pressure and are worth discussion.

The results of the fatigue failure analysis has been broken down into two parts. Each part addresses a different asphalt stiffness. The first section analyzes the results of asphalt pavement sections with an elastic modulus of 1,000,000 psi. The second discusses asphalt pavements sections with an elastic modulus of 150,000 psi. The value of 150,000 psi was chosen to simulate an asphalt pavement section that already had moderate fatigue cracking.

4.3.2.1 Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue Failure on a Moderate Strength Asphalt Concrete Pavement.

Effects of tire pressure and tire load reduction on an asphalt concrete pavement with an elastic modulus of 1,000,000 psi were examined for tandem axle loading only. The effects of reduced tire pressure are summarized in Table 15. As would be expected, the average increase in load repetitions to failure increases as asphalt thickness increases, however the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure decreases with increased asphalt thickness. This confirms other study findings that the influence of reduced tire pressure on pavement life lessens as asphalt pavement sections thicken. Unlike rutting however, at 3 inch thickness, reducing tire pressure significantly increases average load repetitions to fatigue failure. For example, load repetitions to fatigue failure is increased 105 percent for a tandem axle loaded at 3,750 lb./tire when the tire pressure is reduced from 100 psi to 40 psi (Table 16). For the same axle configuration and tire load, the average increase in load repetitions to rutting failure is only increased 15 percent for the same tire pressure reduction. (Table 13). This demonstrates that tire pressure plays a larger role in tensile strain at the bottom of asphalt than it does in vertical compressive strain at the top of subgrade and that if fatigue failure governs over rutting, then tire pressure reduction will significantly extend the asphalt pavement life.

Table 16.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure			
Road Structure and	Tire Pressur	e Reduction	Tire Pressure Reduction	
Tire Load	From 100 p	si to 70 psi	From 100	psi to 40 psi
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in
Tandem Axle	Load	Load	Load	Load
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	repetitions to	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to
	Failure	to Failure	to Failure	Failure
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	40,262	127%	380,039	995%
3,750 lb./tire	40,290	136%	276,538	820%
4,250 lb./tire	40,976	145%	197,667	649%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	44,255	56%	181,265	205%
3,750 lb./tire	38,563	56%	126,693	168%
4,250 lb./tire	28,968	60%	84,714	161%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	122,921	41%	394,588	120%
3,750 lb./tire	92,535	42%	246,945	105%
4,250 lb./tire	71,561	42%	171,650	97%

Data taken from data and calculations in Appendix H

Table 17 summarizes the effects of tire load on fatigue failure on an asphalt road, however before discussing the results, an explanation of the results listed for the 1-inch thick pavement is needed.

The average increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure for the 1 inch asphalt, listed in Table 17, are much lower than expected. When the strains calculated by ELSYM5 were reviewed it was noted that for the 1 inch asphalt, that as the tire load decreased, the strain at the bottom of the asphalt increased for both the 100 psi and 70 psi. The strain values determined by ELSYM5 were thoroughly checked by entering the same loading conditions into another strain calculating software program, Everstrs (developed for the Washington State Department of Transportation) and found to be accurate. Why strain values increase when tire load is decreased is not clear and goes beyond the scope of this study. However the reasons for this may warrant further study. Exact pavement stiffnesses and loading conditions where this phenomena occurs can be examined in appendix K which lists results for each individual loading condition.

Table 17 shows that reducing tire load increases the average number of load repetitions to failure. Decreasing tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire resulted in 2 to 3 times the increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure obtained by reducing tire load 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire. It should be noted that the percentage increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure due to tire load reduction was only about half the percentage increase found for increases in load repetitions to rutting failure due to tire load reduction. This small percentage increase in load repetitions to failure indicates that tire load has less influence on tensile strain than it does on vertical compressive strain.

Table 17.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Asphalt Surface Roads

	Leaves Indianal and Miner to Entire Entire			
	Increase In Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure			
Road Structure and	Tire Load Re	eduction From	Tire Load Red	uction From
Tire Pressure	4,250 to 3	,750 lb./tire	4,250 to 3,2	50 lb./tire
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentag
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	e Increase
Tandem Axle	Load	Load	Load	in Load
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	repetitions	repetitions to	repetitions to	repetitions
	to Failure	Failure	Failure	to Failure
1 Inch Asphalt				
40 psi	79,947	35%	185,181	83%
70 psi	390	10%	2,095	26%
100 psi	1,076	14%	2,809	35%
2 Inch Asphalt				
40 psi	60,393	58%	123,253	101%
70 psi	28,008	51%	41,959	71%
100 psi	18,413	54%	26,701	74%
3 Inch Asphalt				
40 psi	123,065	39%	345,929	109%
70 psi	68,745	35%	174,351	87%
100 psi	47,765	34%	122,985	88%

Taken from tables in Appendix L

Table 18 compares the overall effect of tire pressure and tire load reduction on load repetitions to fatigue failure individually and combined. Table 18 supports the previous findings that tire pressure is more influential than tire load on fatigue failure. As was the case for rutting failure, Table 18 shows that the combined effects of tire pressure and tire load reduction together, will double the average increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure than either one alone.

Table 18.

Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure			
Road Structure	Reducing Tir	e Load From	Reducing Tire Pressure	
	4,250 to 3,	250 lb./tire	From 100 p	si to 40 psi
Tandem Axle	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
1 Inch Asphalt,	2,809	35%	197,667	649%
2 Inch Asphalt,	26,701	74%	84,713	161%
3 Inch Asphalt,	122,985	88%	171,644	97%
	Reducing Ti	Reducing Tire Load and		
	Tire Pr	essure		
Tandem Axle	Average	Percentage	Synergistic	
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Factor	
1 Inch Asphalt,	382,848	1,262%	1.83	
2 Inch Asphalt,	207,967	423%	1.77	
3 Inch Asphalt,	517,573	311%	1.67	

4.3.2.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load on a Weak Asphalt Pavement

In this study, asphalt pavement with an elastic modulus of 150,000 psi. was used to model a cracked pavement. From the load repetitions to fatigue failure calculated and shown in appendix H, it appears that the Asphalt Institute's formula for fatigue failure does not accurately predict load repetitions to failure for a cracked pavement, although their rutting failure formula does appear to accurately predict rutting failure. Therefore, if the pavement section under evaluation is considered to have failed due to fatigue, then that pavement could best be thought of as an aggregate road with a very stiff surface layer of aggregate.

While the average increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure shown in Appendices H, M and N are not realistic in terms of magnitude, they do reflect the same positive trends of tire pressure and tire load reduction on fatigue failure found in Section 4.3.3.1

4.4 Comparison of Field Studies and Computer Model

Most of the field studies reviewed did not contain the information needed to compare the results of the field study with results obtained from ELSYM5 and the Asphalt Institute's failure criteria. Only the U.S. Army Waterways Experiment

Station Study (11) contained enough information that could permit a comparison between the two.

Using section 5 for a comparison, input values were determined from the information given in the study. Pavement section thicknesses of 3.5 inches of asphalt and 4.5 inches of base were used in the low pressure lane, while 2.3 inches of asphalt and 6 inches of base were used in for the high pressure lane.

The study did not give any values for elastic modulus, but did provide California Bearing Ratios (CBR) for the base and subgrade. These values were converted to elastic modulus using Figures 5.34 and 5.35 of reference 12. The low pressure lane had CBRs of 33 and 5 for the base and subgrade. These converted to elastic moduli of 20,000 and 5,000 psi respectively. The high pressure land had CBRs of 20 and 5 which converted to elastic moduli of 15,000 psi and 5,000 psi respectively for the base and subgrade. The only information provided about the asphalt concrete was that it was to meet Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development criteria. From the gradation chart in the report, it appears that the asphalt mix used in the study has a similar gradation as Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT)class A mix design. WSDOT class a mix design uses a elastic modulus of 500,000 psi for design purposes (12), therefore this value was used in the comparison.

Tire loading was determined by dividing the total loaded weight of the logging truck by 18. This gave tire loads of 4,295 lbs/tire for the low pressure lane and 4,250 lbs/tire for the high pressure lane.

In the field study, failure was due to rutting and occurred after 2,076 logging truck passes in the low pressure lane and 1,414 passes in the high pressure lane. The logging trucks used in the study consisted of two tandem axles and one singe axle, or roughly equivalent to 2-1/2 tandem axle loads per pass. Therefore tandem axle load repetitions to failure would be 5,190 for the low pressure lane and 3,535 for the high pressure lane.

Using the strains at the top of the subgrade determined by ELSYM5 and the Asphalt Institute's load repetitions to rutting failure formula, tandem axle load repetitions to failure for the low pressure lane were estimated to be 119,739 and 2,860 for the high pressure lane. The load repetitions to failure observed in the high pressure lane (3,535) and predicted by ELSYM5 and the failure formula (2,860) are relatively close. However, the comparison of the low tire pressure values, 119,739 predicted and 5,190 observed do not compare favorably. This does not necessarily mean that ELYSM5 should not be used to predict strains in pavement sections. It may indicate that the Asphalt Institutes formula for rutting failure may not be applicable for use with low tire pressures, but further field study is required to determine this. There are many other possible reasons for

the differences, including selection of the values used as input into ELSYM5 or conversion of CBRs to elastic moduli.

4.5 Conclusions and Recommendations

This preliminary study indicates that reducing tire pressure will reduce pavement strains and extend pavement life. This is true for both fatigue and rutting failure. The extent of the increases depends greatly on the pavement material properties. In some instances, the pavement may be so weak that no amount of tire pressure or load reduction would lower strains to an acceptable level. In other instances, reducing tire pressure alone or in combination with reduced tire load would reduce strains to acceptable levels. The pavement section stiffness is critical to making the determination whether to allow any heavy vehicles with or without reduced tire pressure or tire load or both. Therefore it is important to have a reasonable idea of the strength of the pavement before making a decision whether or not to allow heavy vehicle traffic and what loading modifications are required.

As was discussed earlier, the effect of reducing tire pressure is larger on thinner pavement sections and larger for fatigue failure (tensile strain) than rutting failure (vertical compressive strain). While the study indicates that reduced tire pressure will increase load repetitions to failure, the increased number of load repetitions to failure must be considered before deciding to allow heavy vehicles (tractor semi-trailers) on weakened roads. For example reducing tire pressure may increase the load repetitions to failure by 200 percent, but the actual load repetitions to failure may only be 500. Another possible scenario is that reducing tire pressure would result in a 40% increase in load repetitions to failure, but represents an increase of 500,000 load repetitions to failure. These examples emphasize the need to consider the actual number of load repetitions to failure when considering whether reduced tire pressure alone or in combination with reduced tire load would allow the operation of heavy vehicles on weakened roads.

While this study does indicate positive results from reduced tire pressure on increasing the load repetitions to failure, additional studies are warranted. Some items that should be investigated include:

- Development of guidelines to relate pavement stiffness to the pavement freezing index and thawing index. These guidelines would greatly aid road agencies to place vehicle restrictions (tire load and pressure) without having to go to the expense of conducting field tests.
- Measurement of actual in-place strains on various pavement types, pavement conditions, tire types, axle configurations, and loading conditions.

In summary, reducing tire pressure will reduce strains in pavements. Whether the reduced strains are within acceptable levels must be determined by the agency responsible for the road. It is recommended that road agencies follow the following steps when deciding on what combination of tire pressure and tire load is acceptable.

- Determine the horizontal tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt and vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade associated with the acceptable number of load repetitions to failure for the road under review.
- Determine the pavement material properties when it is in a severely weakened condition, preferably by doing a field test.
- Using the pavement stiffness determined in step 2, determine what combination of tire load and/or tire pressure will result in strains less than those determined in step 1.

Using the above procedures in combination with local knowledge, road agencies can establish under what conditions heavy vehicles could possibly operate during periods weakened pavements such as spring thaw.

REFERENCES

- Lary, J. A., Mahoney, J. P., Sharma J., "Evaluation of Frost Related Effects on Pavements", Washington State Transportation Center Report, May 1984.
- 2. Sebaaly, P., Tabatabaee, N., "Effect of Tire Parameters on Pavement Damage and Load-Equivalency Factors." <u>Journal of Transportation</u> Engineering, Vol. 118, No. 6, November/December 1992
- 3. Marshek, K., Chen, H., Connell, B., Saraf, C., "Effect of Truck Tire Inflation Pressure and Axle Load on Flexible and Rigid Pavement Performance.", Transportation Research Record (1070) TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- Sebaaly, P., Tabatabaee, N., "Effect of Tire Pressure and Type on Response of Flexible Pavement", <u>Transportation Research Record (1227)</u>. TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- 5. Roberts, F. L., Tielking, J. T., Middleton D., Lytton R. L., and Tseng K. H., "The Effects of Tire Pressures on Flexible Pavements" Report No. 372-1F, Texas Transportation Institute, College Station Texas, 1986
- 6. Marshek, K.M., Hudson, W.R., Chenn, H.H., Saraf, C. L. and Connell, R. B. "Effect of Truck Tire Inflation Pressure and Axle Load on Pavement Performance.", Report No. 386-2F, Center for Transportation Research. The University of Texas at Austin. Austin Texas 1985.
- 7. Bonaquist, R., Surdahl, R., Mogawer, W., "Effect of Tire Pressure on Flexible Pavement Response and Performance." <u>Transportation Research Record</u>, (1227), TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- 8. Taylor, D.J., "National Central Tire Inflation Program -- Boise National Forest Field Operational Tests" U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Equipment Development Center, San Dimas California, 1987.
- Stuart III, E., Gililland, E., Della-Moretta, L. "The use of Central Tire Inflation Systems on Low-Volume Roads" <u>Transportation Research Record 1106</u>, TRB Washington, D. C. (1987)
- 10. Nevada Automotive Test Center, "Central Tire Inflation", Final Report, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, San Dimas Equipment Development Center, 1987

- 11. Grau R. W., Della-Moretta L. B., "Effects of Variable Tire Pressure on Road Surfacing" <u>Transportation Research Record 1291</u>, TRB Washington, D.C. (1991)
- 12. Washington State Department of Transportation, "Pavement Guide" (Draft), September 1993.
- 13. Finn, F.N. et. al., "The Use of Distress Prediction Subsystems for the Design of Pavement Structures," <u>Proceedings</u>, 4th International Conference on the Structural design of Asphalt Pavements, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1977.

Appendix A: Strains Induced by a Single Axle Load

TABLE A.1 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,250 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009728	0.016140	0.020790
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004972	0.008420	0.010950
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002509	0.004300	0.005626
		E(BASE) =5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008072	0.012150	0.014960
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004522	0.007160	0.009024
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002400	0.003951	0.005067
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006742	0.009567	0.011460
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004036		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.004512
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	E(BASE) = 2		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005280	0.007051	0.008205
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003371	0.004784	0.005729
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI		<u> </u>	0.003739
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSi			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004464		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002943	0.004029	0.004745
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001831	0.002665	0.003229

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.2 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,750 LBS

SG) = 2,500 PSI	E(BASE) = 1 40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
SG) = 2,500 PSI		1/UPSI I	
	0.009654	1	
SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004904		
SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002466	0.004383	0.005868
	E(BASE) = 5,	000 PSI	
RE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008254	0.012750	0.015950
SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004553	0.007430	0.009543
SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002392	0.004065	0.005324
	E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
RE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007023	0.010170	0.012350
SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004127	0.006375	0.007977
SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002276	0.003715	0.004771
	E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
RE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005605	0.007600	0.008937
SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003511	0.005086	0.006174
SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002064	0.003188	0.003989
E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
RE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004787	0.006258	0.007229
SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003100	0.004319	0.005145
SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001893	0.002818	0.003465
	RE PRESSURE GG) = 2,500 PSI GG) = 5,000 PSI GG) = 10,000 PSI RE PRESSURE GG) = 2,500 PSI GG) = 10,000 PSI RE PRESSURE GG) = 2,500 PSI GG) = 2,500 PSI GG) = 10,000 PSI RE PRESSURE GG) = 2,500 PSI GG) = 10,000 PSI RE PRESSURE GG) = 5,000 PSI RE PRESSURE GG) = 10,000 PSI RE PRESSURE	E(BASE) = 5, RE PRESSURE	E(BASE) = 5,000 PSI RE PRESSURE

NOTE: E(BASE):

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.3 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=4,250 LBS

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009526	0.016840	0.022580
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004812		0.011810
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002413	0.004422	0.006043
		E(BASE) =5,	000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008374	0.013230	0.016790
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004552	0.007625	0.009960
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002366	0.004138	0.005522
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007249	0.010690	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004187	0.006614	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.004980
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005888	0.008087	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003624	0.005343	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.004198
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005078		
ĺ	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003233	0.004571	t .
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001939	0.002944	0.003667

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.4 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,250 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006687	0.008223	0.009011
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003527	0.004376	0.004813
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001814	0.002262	0.002492
		E(BASE) =5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004859	0.005734	0.006178
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002908	0.003506	0.003810
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001630	0.001996	0.002184
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003779	0.004353	0.004642
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002429	0.002867	0.003089
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001905
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002753	0.003097	0.003269
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001890	0.002177	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001544
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002230		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001581		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGRE	CATE EL ACT		•

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.5 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,750 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007212	0.009072	0.010050
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003792	0.004819	0.005363
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001947	0.002488	0.002776
		E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005315	0.006381	0.006936
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003158	0.003884	0.004264
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001760	0.002204	0.002439
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004168	0.004869	0.005231
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002658	0.003191	0.003468
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.002132
		E(BASE) = 2		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003061	0.003482	0.003697
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002084	0.002435	0.002616
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001329	0.001595	0.001734
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002490		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001752		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi			
NOTE:	F(BASE) = AGGRE	VATE EL ACT	IC MODILILIE	·

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.6
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=4,250 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007664	0.009840	0.011030
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004018	0.005219	0.005875
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002059	0.002692	0.003038
		E(BASE) =5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005728	0.006983	0.007654
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003379	0.004231	0.004691
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.002676
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004527	0.005355	0.005794
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002864	0.003491	0.003827
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.002345
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003350	0.003849	0.004110
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002264		
:	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001914
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002747		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001912		
NOTE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001258	0.001508	0.001640

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.7 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,250

		E(BASE) = 1		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004278	0.004688	0.004875
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002264	0.002491	0.002594
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	0.001166	0.001286	0.001341
		E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003110	0.003274	0.003380
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001855	0.002003	0.002075
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	0.001041	0.001139	0.001183
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002403	0.002472	0.002541
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001555	0.001637	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	0.000928		0.001038
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001719	0.001753	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001201	0.001236	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000778	0.000818	0.000845
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001371	0.001396	0.001405
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.000996	0.001017	0.001039
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000675	0.000701	0.000722
NOTE:	E(BACE) - ACCDE	OATE EL AO	TIO MODILILI	^

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.8
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,750 LBS

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004828		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002530		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001524
		E(BASE) = 5	000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003557	0.003719	0.003855
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002121	0.002271	0.002364
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001180	0.001289	0.001347
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002750	0.002821	0.002902
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001778	0.001859	0.001927
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001060	0.001135	0.001182
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001969	0.002015	0.002034
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001375	0.001410	0.001451
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000889	0.000930	0.000964
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001571	0.001605	0.001618
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001141	0.001168	0.001188
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000773	0.000797	0.000824
NOTE:	E(DAOE) - ACCDE		IC MODULUI	

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.9
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=4,250 LBS

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005417	0.005898	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002839		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			
		E(BASE) =5	000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003994	0.004150	0.004320
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002529	0.002529	0.002645
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001324	0.001434	0.001505
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003090	0.003183	0.003257
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001997	0.002075	0.002160
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001190	0.001265	0.001323
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002214	0.002274	0.002297
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001545	0.001592	0.001628
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000998	0.001037	0.001080
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001767	0.001812	0.001829
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001282	0.001319	0.001334
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000868	0.000895	0.000924
NOTE:	E(RASE) = AGGRE(SATE EL ACT	IC MODULL	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.10
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002618	0.004136	0.005276
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002454	0.004127	0.005092
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002361	0.003858	0.004988
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005251	0.005975	0.006317
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002730	0.003132	0.003322
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001387	0.001600	0.001701
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000826	0.001602	0.002288
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000775	0.001543	0.002226
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000738	0.001500	0.002180
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004842	0.005635	0.006026
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002916	0.003464	0.003735
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001631	0.001970	0.002138
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000332	0.000796	0.001259
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000347	0.000809	0.001271
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000356	0.000815	0.001276
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003890	0.004503	0.004811
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002543	0.003017	0.003256
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001534	0.001861	0.002027
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000029	0.000274	0.000546
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000071	0.000317	0.000589
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000117	0.000347	0.000619
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002879	0.003291	0.003500
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002014	0.002362	0.002540
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001313	0.001581	0.001719
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000089	0.000275
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000142	0.000327
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000026	0.000182	0.000367
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002362	0.002673	0.002833
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001702	0.001976	0.002116
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTIC	0.001154	0.001376	0.001492

E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.11
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 1INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002754	0.004286	0.005543
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002545	0.004084	0.005333
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002427	0.003969	0.005215
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005802	0.006706	0.007143
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003008	0.003510	0.003752
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001525	0.001791	0.001919
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000900	0.001580	0.002301
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000838	0.001514	0.002231
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000793	0.001466	0.002179
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005317	0.006291	0.006784
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003180	0.003851	0.004193
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001768	0.002182	0.002394
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000382	0.000748	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000401	0.000763	0.001233
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000412	0.000770	0.001239
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004283	0.005032	0.005418
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002776	0.003353	0.003653
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001662	0.002059	0.002267
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000014		0.000490
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000085	0.000271	0.000538
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000141	0.000306	0.000574
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003186	0.003686	0.003948
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002210	0.002631	0.002853
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001248		0.001924
			=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION		0.000220
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000102	0.000280
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000026	0.000148	0.000326
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002623	0.003001	0.003199
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001874	0.002205	0.002381
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTIC	0.001259	0.001528	0.001671

E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.12 STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002998		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002767		
01 7.01 117.21	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002637		
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.006307		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003260		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001650		
			SE)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000992		0.002299
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000924	0.001477	0.002222
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000875	0.001424	0.002163
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005749	0.006906	0.007506
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003414	0.004210	0.004625
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001887	0.002377	0.002635
			SE)=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000426		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000448	0.000718	0.001191
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000461	0.000726	0.001198
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004644		0.005997
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002986		0.004029
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001774	0.002241	0.002492
			SE)=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000021	0.000174	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000100		0.000489
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000163		0.000529
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003472		0.004377
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002388		0.003151
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001529	0.001909	0.002120
			SE)=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION	•	0.000168
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000066	0.000236
OTD AIN	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000035	0.000118	0.000288
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002868	0.003312	0.003552
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	0.002033	0.002421	0.002633
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = FLASTIC	0.001353	0.001668	0.001840

E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.13 STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE

		E(BASI	E)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001952	0.002430	0.002805
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001787	0.002275	0.002648
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001690	0.002184	0.002556
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003308	0.003404	0.003441
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001725	0.001777	0.001798
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000876	0.000904	0.000914
			E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001044	0.001461	0.001768
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000930	0.001345	0.001650
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000850	0.001260	0.001563
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003422	0.003676	0.003811
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002037	0.002238	0.002332
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001136	0.001262	0.001320
)=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000675	0.001010	0.001268
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000616	0.000948	0.001205
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000567	0.000896	0.001152
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002935	0.003201	0.003325
ВОТТОМ OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001917	0.002123	0.002220
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001155	0.001298	0.001366
)=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000349	0.000588	0.000786
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000337	0.000575	0.000772
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000324	0.000561	0.000758
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002290	0.002499	0.002599
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001608	0.001785	0.001870
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001051	0.001188	0.001254
		E(BASE)		
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000194	0.000378	0.000539
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000201	0.000386	0.000546
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000206	0.000390	0.000551
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001912	0.002084	0.002166
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001388	0.001539	0.001612
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000947	0.001070	0.001130
NOTE:	E(BASE) = ELASTIC	MODITIUS	DE AGGREGA	ATE

E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.14
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002183	0.002636	0.003060
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001996	0.002458	0.002880
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001885	0.002354	0.002774
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003777	0.003905	0.003955
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001968	0.002039	0.002066
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000999	0.001036	0.001051
		E(BASI	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001167	0.001553	0.001894
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001028	0.001420	0.001758
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000926	0.001324	0.001659
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003915	0.004168	0.004340
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002299	0.002531	0.002651
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001266	0.001424	0.001498
		E(BASE))=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000746	0.001057	0.001339
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000672	0.000986	0.001267
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000610	0.000927	0.001206
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003324	0.003624	0.003784
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002139	0.002396	0.002520
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001282	0.001461	0.001547
)=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000380		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000365		0.000795
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000348		
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002569		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001794	0.002014	0.002122
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001166	0.001335	0.001419
)=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000208		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000216		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000222	0.000387	0.000556
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002147		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001550		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001050	0.001202	
NOTE:	E(BASE) = ELASTIC	MODULUS	OF AGGREG	ATE

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.15
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002395	0.002825	0.003297
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002185	0.002625	0.003093
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002062	0.002508	0.002974
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004234	0.004401	0.004465
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002205	0.002296	0.002332
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001119	0.001167	0.001186
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001278	0.001634	0.002006
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001122	0.001485	0.001854
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000895	0.001377	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004398	0.004643	ł I
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002581	0.002812	0.002960
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001405	0.001578	0.001671
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000817		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000735		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000666	0.000951	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003740	0.004033	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002368		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001401	0.001615	0.001721
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000416		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000399	·	9
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000381	<u> </u>	0.000793
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002894		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001969		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001272		0.001577
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000227		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000237		P 1
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000243		
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002417		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001703		
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTION	0.001146		

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.16
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE

<u> </u>	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001440		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001346		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001290	0.001495	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002113	0.002163	0.002183
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001126	0.001154	0.001166
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000580	0.000595	0.000601
			E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000882		a .
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000804	0.001012	0.001173
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000745	0.000957	0.001116
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002364	0.002423	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001454	0.001494	
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000824	0.000848	0.000858
			:)=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000615		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000570	0.000764	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000530	0.000727	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002029	0.002077	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001353		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000826		0.000859
)=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000366		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000353		0.000634
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000340	0.000501	0.000620
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001559	0.001592	1
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001118	0.001144	1
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000740		0.000766
)=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000245		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000244	0.000380	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000242	0.000377	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001288	0.001312	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000955	0.000975	
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000661	0.000676	

E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.17 STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001620	0.001807	0.002017
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001512	0.001704	0.001913
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001448	0.001642	0.001850
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002418	0.002484	0.002511
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001288	0.001325	0.001340
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000663	0.000683	0.000691
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000989	0.001180	0.001364
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000899	0.001096	0.001278
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000832	0.001032	0.001213
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002704	0.002780	0.002813
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001661	0.001714	0.001735
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000940	0.000973	0.000986
		<u> </u>	=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000689	0.000868	0.001029
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000637	0.000818	0.000979
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000592	0.000776	0.000936
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002322	0.002385	0.002410
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001546	0.001594	0.001612
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000944	0.000975	0.000988
		<u> </u>	=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000406		0.000690
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000390	0.000542	0.000675
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000373	0.000526	0.000659
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001786	0.001829	0.001846
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001278	0.001313	0.001327
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000846	0.000872	0.000881
			=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000266	0.000395	0.000508
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000265	0.000394	0.000507
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000262	0.000392	0.000505
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001476	0.001509	0.001521
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001093	0.001121	0.001131
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000755	0.000776	0.000788

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

TABLE A.18
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 3 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001788	0.001966	0.002202
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001667	0.001849	0.002084
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001595	0.001780	0.002014
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002717	0.002802	0.002836
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001446	0.001494	0.001514
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000745	0.000770	0.000781
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001087	0.001269	0.001472
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000987	0.001172	0.001375
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000912	0.001101	0.001302
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003036	0.003137	0.003177
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001863	0.001932	0.001959
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001054	0.001096	0.001113
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000756		0.001101
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000697	0.000867	0.001044
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000647	0.000819	0.000996
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002609	0.002690	0.002722
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001736	0.001797	0.001821
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001058	0.001099	0.001115
	E(BASE)=20,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000444	0.000583	0.000728
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000426	0.000566	0.000710
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000408	0.000548	0.000692
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002008	0.002064	0.002086
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001436	0.001482	0.001499
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000950	0.000983	0.000996
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000290	0.000407	0.000529
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000289	0.000406	0.000528
OTDAIN	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000286	0.000403	0.000526
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001661	0.001704	0.001720
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001229	0.001265	0.001278
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTIC	0.000848	0.000876	0.000886

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE
E(SG) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF SUBGRADE

Appendix B: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Single Axle Load

TABLE B.1
STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE

	E/B + 65°	4 000 BOL		
	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.011480	0.019260	0.025260
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.005765	0.009896	0.013130
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002881	0.005010	0.006694
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008354	0.013200	0.016770
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004543	0.007614	0.009947
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002858	0.004746	0.006195
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007228	0.010660	0.013100
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004177	0.006602	0.008384
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002271	0.003807	0.004974
	E(BASE)=20,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007657	0.010090	0.011740
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004587	0.006466	0.007777
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002593	0.003905	0.004853
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005060	0.008542	0.009745
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003222	0.004561	0.005492
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001934	0.002938	0.003661
NOTE:	F(BASE) = AGGREG	ATE ELAST	IC MODILI	US

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.2 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP OF SUBGRADE	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009640	0.016550	0.021780
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004897	0.008595	0.011440
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002463	0.004378	0.005862
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008237	0.012730	0.015940
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004545	0.007420	0.009532
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002388	0.004060	0.005318
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007005	0.010150	0.012330
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004118	0.006365	0.007969
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002272		0.004766
	E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP OF SUBGRADE	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005587	0.007582	0.008919
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003502	0.005076	0.006164
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002059	0.003183	0.003985
STRAIN TOP OF SUBGRADE	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004770	0.006240	0.007212
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003091	0.004309	0.005136
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001888	0.002813	0.003460
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULLIS			

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.3
STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
Ī	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009714	0.016120	0.020770
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004965	0.008410	0.010940
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002506	0.004296	0.005612
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008056	0.012130	0.014940
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSi	0.004515	0.007151	0.009015
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002400	0.003946	0.005062
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006726	0.009553	0.011440
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004028	0.006066	0.007470
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002258		
	E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005265	0.007036	0.008190
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003363	0.004776	0.005721
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002014	0.003033	0.003735
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004448	0.005476	0.006583
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002935	0.004022	0.004737
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001827	0.002660	0.003225

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.4 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE

		E(BASE) =	1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006657	0.008193	0.008981
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003512	0.004361	0.004798
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001806	0.002254	0.002485
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004828	0.005704	0.006147
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002893	0.003491	0.003795
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001622	0.001989	0.002177
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003746	0.004321	0.004609
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002414	0.002852	0.003074
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001446	0.001745	0.001898
	E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002718	0.003062	0.003233
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001873	0.002160	0.002305
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001207	0.001426	0.001537
			30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002200	0.002443	0.002565
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001564		0.001886
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001050	0.001223	0.001310
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS			

TABLE B.5
STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007177	0.009038	0.010020
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003775	0.004802	0.005346
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001938	0.002479	0.002767
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005280	0.006346	0.006901
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003141	0.003866	0.004247
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001752	0.002196	0.002430
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004130	0.004831	0.005193
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002640	0.003173	0.003450
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001570	0.001933	0.002124
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003020	0.003441	0.003657
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002065	0.002416	0.002597
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001320	0.001586	0.001725
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002450	0.002753	0.002907
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001732	0.001995	0.002130
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001153	0.001364	0.001474
NOTE:	E(RASE) = ACCRE	SATE ELAG	TIO MODIL	HIC

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATI

TABLE B.6
STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD
TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LB./TIRE

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008540	0.010770	0.011970
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004445	0.005666	0.006328
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002267	0.002909	0.003253
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006602	0.007911	0.008607
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003826	0.004705	0.005177
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002094	0.002627	0.002914
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005337	0.006211	0.006671
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003301	0.003956	0.004304
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001913	0.002353	0.002589
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004068	0.004587	0.004864
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002668	0.003105	0.003335
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001650	0.001978	0.002152
		_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002700		0.003956
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002288		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001469	0.001731	0.001869
NOTE:	E(RASE) = AGGREC	CATE EL AC	TIC MODIL	1115

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.7 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 DCI
			70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005961		The state of the s
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003107		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001586	0.001730	0.001818
		E(BASE) = 5	5,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004511	0.004653	0.004811
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002651	0.002780	0.002898
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001460	0.001558	0.001630
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003563	0.003667	0.003710
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002256	0.002326	0.002406
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001325	0.001390	0.001449
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002040	0.002183	0.002227
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001782	0.001833	0.001855
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001128	0.001163	
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001750	0.002208	0.002227
OF SUBGRADE		0.001503		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000991	0.001021	0.001042
NOTE:	E(BASE) - ACCRE			

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.8 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
				Line Dai	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004783	0.005261	0.005502	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002508	0.002795	0.002928	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001291	0.001443	0.001513	
		E(BASE) = 5,0	00 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003507	0.003670	0.003806	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002097	0.002247	0.002341	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001336	
		E(BASE) = 10	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002703	0.002774	0.002856	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001753	0.001835	0.001903	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi			0.001170	
		E(BASE) = 20	000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001937	0.001983	0.002002	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001352	0.001387	0.001428	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000877	0.000918	0.000952	
		E(BASE) = 30	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001550	0.001588	0.001600	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001121	0.001149	0.001168	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000760	0.000785	0.000812	
NOTE:	E(RASE) = ACCRECATE ELASTIC MODULLIS				

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.9
STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRAGE FOR 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD,
TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004185			
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002245	8	8	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI				
		E(BASE) =5			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003067	0.003232	0.003338	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001835	0.001983	0.002055	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001031	0.001129	0.001174	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002363	0.002432	0.002501	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001533	0.001616	0.001669	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001028	
		E(BASE) = 2			
		A STREET LAND	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001692			
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001180			
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.000834	
		E(BASE) = 3			
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001360		0.001390	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.000979		0.001022	
NOTE:	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000665		0.000711	

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.10 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI		
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002614				
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002450				
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002358	0.003847	0.004986		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005232	0.005956	0.006298		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002722	0.003124	0.003315		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001384	0.001597	0.001697		
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI		
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000830	0.001599	0.002286		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000777	0.001539			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000739	0.001495	0.002175		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004808	0.005601	0.005993		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002902	0.003450	0.003721		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		0.001963	0.002132		
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI		
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000342	0.000800	0.001263		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000346	0.000809	0.001271		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000360	0.000812	0.001273		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003852	0.004466	1		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002526	0.003000			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001527	0.001854	0.002019		
			20,000 PSI			
OTD 4 11 DOTTO 4	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI		
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000024	0.000263	0.000535		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000077	0.000310	0.000582		
OTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000122	0.000343	0.000615		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002842	0.003253	0.003463		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001996	0.002344	0.002522		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001305	0.001573	0.001711		
:	TIRE PRESSURE		30,000 PSI	400 DC!		
CTDAIN BOTTON	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			100 PSI		
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000096	1			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000069		0.000320		
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000022	0.000177	0.000363		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002328	1	0.002798		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001684				
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGRE					

TABLE B.11
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002749	0.004274	0.005539
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002542	0.004073	0.005331
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002424	0.003959	0.005212
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005780	0.006685	0.007121
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002999	0.003501	0.003743
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001522	0.001787	0.001915
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000906	0.001578	0.002298
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000842	0.001511	0.002226
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000795	0.001461	0.002172
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005278	0.006253	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003163	0.003835	0.004177
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		0.002175	0.002387
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000395	0.000754	0.001224
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000408	0.000764	0.001233
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000417	0.000769	0.001236
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004239	0.004989	0.005375
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002757	0.003334	0.003634
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001654	0.002051	0.002258
			20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000036	0.000210	0.000477
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000097	0.000254	
OTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000148	0.000302	0.000567
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003143	0.003643	0.003904
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002189		0.002833
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001418	0.001743	0.001914
	TIRE PRESSURE		30,000 PSI	100 PSI
CTDAIN DOTTON				
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000109		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000079 0.000045		
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002583	i .	0.003159
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001853 0.001249		0.002360 0.001662
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGRE			

TABLE B.12
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

TIRE PRESSURE 40PSi 70 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.002993 0.004386 0.005747 E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.002764 0.004162 0.005514 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.002635 0.004036 0.005381 STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.006282 0.007373 0.007911 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.003250 0.003855 0.004153 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001646 0.001966 0.002124 E(BASE)=5,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.000999 0.001550 0.002295 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.000999 0.001476 0.002215 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.000988 0.001421 0.002156 STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.003395 0.004191 0.004607 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.003395 0.004191 0.004607 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001879 0.002369 0.002627 E(BASE)=10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001879 0.002369 0.002627 E(BASE)=10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.0004441 0.000708 0.001179		1	E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		
OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI D,0002635 0.002635 0.004036 0.005381 STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI D,0002635 0.004036 0.005381 0.007373 0.007373 0.007373 0.007373 0.007373 0.004153 0.002295 0.002491 0.0		TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI	
E(SG) =10,000 PSI	STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002993	0.004386	0.005747	
STRAIN TOP E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 0.000999	OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002764	0.004162	0.005514	
OF SUBGRADE		E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002635	0.004036	0.005381	
E(SG) =10,000 PSI	STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.006282	0.007373	0.007911	
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100	OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003250		8	
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI 50 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000999 0.001550 0.002295 0.000999 0.001550 0.002295 0.000999 0.001476 0.002215 0.000999 0.001476 0.002215 0.000878 0.001421 0.002156 0.000878 0.001421 0.002156 0.005705 0.006862 0.007463 0.005705 0.006862 0.007463 0.005705 0.006862 0.007463 0.001491 0.004607 0.001879 0.002369 0.002627 0.001879 0.002369 0.002627 0.001879 0.002369 0.002627 0.001879 0.000491 0.000708 0.001179 0.001879 0.000708 0.001179 0.001179 0.001179 0.001179 0.000457 0.000726 0.001179 0.000457 0.000726 0.001190 0.000457 0.000726 0.001190 0.000457 0.000726 0.001190 0.000457 0.000457 0.000457 0.001494 0.005480 0.005480 0.005480 0.005480 0.005480 0.005480 0.005480 0.005480 0.00264 0.003644 0.004007 0.001190 0.001764 0.002231 0.002482 0.002604 0.00231 0.002482 0.002604 0.00231 0.002482 0.002609 0.000480 0.000457 0.000524 0.000525 0.0005254		E(SG) =10,000 PSI			0.002124	
STRAIN BOTTOM OF ASPHALT E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI D.000878 0.001476 0.002215 0.000878 0.001421 0.002156 STRAIN TOP OF SUBGRADE E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI D.001879 0.004191 0.002369 0.004607 0.002369 0.004607 0.002369 0.004607 0.002369 0.004607 0.002369 0.004607 0.002369 0.002627 0.002627 E(BASE)=10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI D.000457 0.000741 0.000728 0.001179 0.000721 0.001179 0.001190 STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI D.0001764 0.003644 0.002231 0.004594 0.003444 0.004007 0.001194 STRAIN BOTTOM OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.000114 0.000220 0.000114 0.000220 0.000420 0.000120 0.000160 0.000420 0.000120 0.000420 0.000420 0.000120 0.000420 0.000120 0.000420 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000120 0.000285 0.000260 0.000286 0.000286 0.000286 0.000286 0.000289 0.000280 0.000280 0.000280 0.000280 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.000289 0.		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.000878 0.001421 0.002156 0.000878 0.001421 0.002156 STRAIN TOP OF SUBGRADE E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI		TIRE PRESSURE				
E(SG) =10,000 PSI	STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000999	0.001550	0.002295	
STRAIN TOP E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.005705 0.006862 0.007463 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.003395 0.004191 0.004607 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001879 0.002369 0.002627 STRAIN BOTTOM TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI STRAIN TOP E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000441 0.000708 0.001179 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000457 0.000721 0.001190 STRAIN TOP E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.004594 0.005480 0.005948 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.002964 0.003644 0.004007 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001764 0.002231 0.002482 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI E(BASE)=20,000 PSI 0.000494 0.0002482 STRAIN BOTTOM E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000114 0.0002261 0.000420 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000114 0.0002267 0.000420 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000171 0.000267 0.000420 <tr< td=""><td>OF ASPHALT</td><td>E(SG) =5,000 PSI</td><td>0.000929</td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000929			
OF SUBGRADE E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.003395 0.004191 0.004607 0.002369 0.002627 STRAIN BOTTOM OF ASPHALT TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI D(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI D(SG) = 1					0.002156	
E(SG) =10,000 PSI	STRAIN TOP				0.007463	
STRAIN BOTTOM E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000441 0.000708 0.001179	OF SUBGRADE				0.004607	
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000441 0.000708 0.001179 0.001490 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.000466 0.000726 0.001194 0.005948 0.005948 0.005948 0.004669 0.003644 0.004007 0.004076 0.004694 0.003644 0.004007 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001764 0.002231 0.002482 0.003644 0.004007 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001764 0.002231 0.002482 0.003644 0.004007 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.0001764 0.002231 0.002482 0.003644 0.004007 E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.000091 0.000160 0.000420 0.004007 E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.0000114 0.000220 0.000480 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.000171 0.000267 0.000524 0.00524 0.003644 0.00220 0.00480 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001519 0.001898 0.002105 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001519 0.001898 0.002105 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.000087 0.000120 0.000121 0.000121 0.000222 0.000222 0.000222 0.000222 0.000222 0.000222 0.000222 0.000222 0.00000222 0.00000222 0.00000222 0.00000222 0.00000222 0.000000222 0.000000222 0.000000222 0.00000		E(SG) =10,000 PSI			0.002627	
STRAIN BOTTOM E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.000441 0.000708 0.001179 OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.000457 0.000721 0.001190 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.000466 0.000726 0.001194 STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.004594 0.005480 0.005948 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.002964 0.003644 0.004007 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001764 0.002231 0.002482 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI STRAIN BOTTOM E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.000114 0.000220 0.000480 E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.000114 0.000220 0.000480 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.000171 0.000267 0.000524 STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.002364 0.002859 0.003127 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001519 0.001898 0.002105 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI T		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI			40PSI			
E(SG) =10,000 PSI	STRAIN BOTTOM		0.000441	0.000708		
STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =20,000 PSI	OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000457		0.001190	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000466	0.000726	0.001194	
$E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(BASE) = 20,000 \ PSI \\ \hline TIRE \ PRESSURE \\ E(SG) = 2,500 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 5,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 2,500 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 2,500 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 2,500 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 2,500 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 2,500 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,000 \ PSI \\ \hline E(SG) = 10,0$	STRAIN TOP	, ,				
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI	OF SUBGRADE	, ,				
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100		E(SG) =10,000 PSI			0.002482	
STRAIN BOTTOM E(SG) =2,500 PSI D.000091 0.000160 0.000420 0.000420 0.000420 OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI D.000114 0.000220 0.000480 0.000171 0.000220 0.000480 0.000171 0.000267 0.000524 STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI D.0003423 0.004012 0.004328 0.002364 0.002859 0.003127 0.001519 0.001519 0.001898 0.002105 0.001519 0.001898 0.002105 0.001519 0.001898 0.002105 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI D.000151 D.000151 D.000151 D.000151 D.000151 D.000151 D.0000151 D.00000151 D.0000151 D.00000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.0000000151 D.000000151 D.000000151 D.0000000151 D.0000000151 D.0000000151 D.00000000151 D.000000000151 D.000000000000000000000000000000000000						
OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI D(SG) =10,000 PSI D(SG) =10,000 PSI D(SG) =2,500 PSI D(SG) =2,500 PSI D(SG) =10,000 PSI						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OF ASPHALT					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1 ` ' '				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OF SUBGRADE					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E(SG) =10,000 PSI			0.002105	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
OF ASPHALT E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI DF 0.000087 0.000088 0.000226 0.000112 0.000282 STRAIN TOP OF SUBGRADE DF SUBGRADE E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI DF 0.002823 0.003267 0.003506 0.002398 0.002609 0.002398 0.002609 0.001342 0.001657 0.001829						
E(SG) =10,000 PSI	l .					
STRAIN TOP E(SG) =2,500 PSI 0.002823 0.003267 0.003506 OF SUBGRADE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.002009 0.002398 0.002609 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001342 0.001657 0.001829	OF ASPHALT					
OF SUBGRADE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 0.002009 0.002398 0.002609 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001342 0.001657 0.001829						
E(SG) =10,000 PSI 0.001342 0.001657 0.001829						
	OF SUBGRADE					

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.13
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001088	0.001376	0.001593
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000989	0.001282	0.001498
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000930	0.001227	0.001442
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003437	0.003538	0.003577
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001784	0.001839	0.001860
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000903		0.000947
)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000617	0.000871	0.001056
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000540	t	0.000972
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000482	0.000728	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003626	0.003970	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002172	0.002409	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001206	0.001353	0.001422
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000428		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000379		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000337	0.000540	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003172	0.003493	0.003645
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002068		0.002433
·	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001241	0.001412	0.001494
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000253		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000232		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000210	0.000364	0.000492
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002475	0.002730	0.002853
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001743		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001141	0.001307	0.001388
			=30,000 PSI	400 001
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000166		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000157		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000146		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002051		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001497		0.001771
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001026	0.001177 IC MODULU	0.001251

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.14
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001214	0.001490	0.001736	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001101	0.001383	0.001627	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001034	0.001320	0.001562	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003923	0.004059	0.004111	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002035	0.002109	0.002138	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001030		0.001083	
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000688	0.000925	0.001130	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000590		0.001034	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000518		0.000963	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004110		0.004697	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002420			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001338	0.001523	0.001611	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000473			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000411	0.000608		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000358		0.000729	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003546		0.004141	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002299		0.002757	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001372		0.001689	
			=20,000 PSI	100 001	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000279			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000253			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000225			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002767	•		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001936			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001259		0.001567	
		<u> </u>	=30,000 PSI	400 001	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000185			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000173			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000160			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002294		1	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001664			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGRE(0.001133			

TABLE B.15
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001332	0.001592	0.001869
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001206	0.001472	0.001746
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001131	0.001401	0.001673
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004396	0.004574	0.004641
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002280	0.002376	0.002413
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001153	0.001204	0.001223
			E(BASE)=5,	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000753	T .	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000644		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000563		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004617	0.004996	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002680		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001461	0.001685	
			E(BASE)=10	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000518		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000449		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000390		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003956	0.004380	0.004622
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002514	0.002886	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001493	0.001749	0.001876
	TIDE DESCRIPT	L40 501	E(BASE)=20	·
STDAIN BOTTON	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000307	0.000429	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000277	0.000400	
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000247	0.000370	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003057	0.003423	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002117	0.002437	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001367	0.001613	0.001738
	TIDE PRECOURE	40 DOI	E(BASE)=30	
CTDAIN DOTTOM		40 PSI		100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000203		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000191	0.000282	
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000176		0.000385
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002533		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001820		
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREG	0.001230	0.001451	0.001565

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.16
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001942	0.002421	0.002795
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001781	0.002270	0.002642
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001685	0.002069	0.002470
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003290	0.003386	0.003423
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001719	0.001772	0.001792
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000874	0.000902	0.000912
			E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001037		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000927		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000848		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003385		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002022		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001130		0.001314
)=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000671		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000615		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000567		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002894		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001899		0.002202
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001147	0.001290	0.001358
)=20,000 PSI	
OTD A IN LOCATION	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000351	0.000589	0.000787
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000339		
OTDAINITOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000325		0.000758
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002253	0.002462	0.002562
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001588	0.001765	0.001850
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001042	0.001179	0.001245
	TIDE SPECOLIDE		=30,000 PSI	
OTDAIN DOTTON				100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000201	0.000384	0.000545
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000206	0.000389	0.000549
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000208	0.000391	0.000552
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001881	0.002052	0.002135
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001368	0.001519	0.001592
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREG	0.000937	0.001060	0.001120

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.17 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002172		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001989	1	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001880		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003756		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001961		
İ	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000997		
			E)=5,000 PSI	0.001010
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001159	0.001543	0.001885
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001024	0.001415	0.001754
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000923	0.001319	0.001656
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003872	0.004125	0.004297
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) ≈5,000 PSI	0.002282	0.002514	0.002634
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001259	0.001417	0.001491
)=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000742	0.001051	0.001333
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000671	0.000983	0.001263
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000610	0.000925	0.001203
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003277	0.003577	0.003737
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002118	0.002375	0.002499
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001274	0.001452	0.001538
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000383		0.000811
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000367	0.000584	0.000795
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000350	0.000568	0.000778
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002526	0.002786	0.002913
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001771	0.001991	0.002098
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001155	0.001325	0.001409
			=30,000 PSI	
0.T.D		40PSI		100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000216	0.000380	0.000549
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000222	0.000386	0.000554
OTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000225	0.000389	0.000557
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002111	0.002323	0.002428
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001527	0.001713	0.001806
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREG	0.001039	0.001191	0.001267

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.18
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002381	0.002812	0.003284	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002176	0.002617	0.003085	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002055	0.002502	0.002967	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004210	0.004377	0.004442	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002197	1	0.002325	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001116		0.001183	
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001268	f ·		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001118			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001006			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004349			
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002562	8		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001397	0.001571	0.001663	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000812	i		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000733			
OTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000666			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003686		0.004177	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002344	· ·		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001390		0.001711	
	TIDE DEFOUNDE)=20,000 PSI	1400 500	
CTDAIN BOTTON	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000419			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000402			
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000383		0.000793	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002845		0.003255	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001942			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001260		0.001566	
	TIDE DDESCURE)=30,000 PSI	100 DCI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000236			
OF ASPRALI	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000243 0.000246			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000246	0.000384	0.000559	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI			0.002713	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001677 0.001133	0.001899 0.001315	0.002013 0.001408	
NOTE:	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI				

TABLE B.19
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000570	0.000630	0.000693
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000526	0.000589	0.000651
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000498	0.000561	0.000623
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001621	0.001656	0.001670
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000879	0.000900	0.000908
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000458	0.000469	0.000474
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000442	0.000507	0.000566
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000387		0.000513
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000342		0.000470
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002105		0.002172
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001298	0.001331	0.001344
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000737	0.000758	0.000766
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000369	0.000434	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000323		0.000446
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000281	0.000351	0.000406
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002004	0.002048	0.002064
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001319	0.001351	0.001363
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000798	0.000820	0.000828
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000280	0.000344	0.000395
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000250	0.000315	0.000366
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000219	0.000286	0.000336
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001715	0.001748	0.001760
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001198		0.001237
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000778	0.000800	0.000824
			=30,000 PSI	
			70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000224		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000203		0.000313
070401707	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000181	0.000243	0.000291
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001493		0.001532
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001074	0.001097	0.001127
L	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000726	0.000759	0.000784
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREC	SATE ELAST	IC MODULU	S

TABLE B.20 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	
1	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000598	0.000651	0.000716
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000553	0.000607	0.000671
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000523	0.000578	0.000642
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001776	0.001820	0.001838
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000964		0.001001
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000502		0.000522
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000471		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000412		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000364	0.000424	0.000484
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002325		0.002411
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001436		0.001495
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000817	0.000844	0.000854
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000397		0.000511
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000348		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000303	0.000363	0.000420
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002229	0.002285	0.002306
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001468		0.001526
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000890	0.000918	0.000929
	TIDE DDECOUDE		=20,000 PSI	400 DOI
CTRAIN BOTTOM	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI 0.000414
STRAIN BOTTOM OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000306 0.000272	0.000360 0.000328	0.000414
OF ASPHALI	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000272	0.000326	ř –
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000239	0.000290	0.000349
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001922	0.001900	0.001902
C. GODGINADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001343	0.001377	0.001390
	_(30) 10,0001 01	L	=30,000 PSI	0.000010
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000248		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.00024		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000199		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001682		0.001729
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001210		0.001257
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000818		0.000873
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREC			

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.21 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000709	0.000772	0.000844
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000653	0.000718	0.000789
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000617	0.000677	0.000753
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002086	0.002147	0.002171
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001130	0.001165	0.001180
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000588		0.000615
			=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000549		0.000682
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000479		0.000613
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000421	0.000484	0.000557
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002707	0.002790	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001666		0.001746
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000944	0.000980	0.000994
	E(BASE)=10,000 PS			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000458	0.000516	0.000586
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000399	0.000459	0.000528
OTDAIN TE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000346	0.000408	0.000477
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002579	0.002655	0.002684
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001694	0.001750	0.001771
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001023	0.001061	0.001075
	TIDE DECOURE		=20,000 PSI	400 DOI
STRAIN POTTOR	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000346	0.000402	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000307	0.000364	0.000428
STDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000268	0.000327	0.000389
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002209	0.002268	0.002290
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001540	0.001587	0.001604
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000998	0.001032 =30,000 PSI	0.001054
!	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000278		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000278		0.000388 0.000361
UI AUFHALI	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000250	0.000303	0.000361
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000222	0.000273	0.000333
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001926	0.001973	0.001990
OI GODGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001383	0.001421	0.001445
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREG			

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.22 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1000	PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001418	0.001619	0.001801
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001340	0.001543	0.001725
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001291	0.001497	0.001678
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002071	0.002121	0.002141
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001108	0.001136	0.001148
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000572	0.000588	0.000594
			BASE)=5,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000865		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000796		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000741		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002323		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001434		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000816		
			ASE)=10,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000604		0.000940
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000564		0.000902
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000528		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001996		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001332		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000817		0.000850
			ASE)=20,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000362		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000351		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000339		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001542	0.001574	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001099		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000730		0.000756
			ASE)=30,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000246	Y A	0.000484
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000245	0.000380	0.000483
0.75	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000243	0.000378	0.000481
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001282	0.001306	0.001315
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000893	0.000960	0.000968
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000650	0.000665	0.000679
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS			

TABLE B.23 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001595	0.001784	0.001993
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001505	8	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001450	0.001645	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002369	0.002435	0.002462
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001267	0.001304	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000654	0.000674	0.000683
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000969	0.001163	0.001346
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000890	0.001087	0.001270
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000827	0.001028	0.001209
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002656	0.002734	0.002765
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001638	0.001692	0.001713
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000932	0.000964	0.000977
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000675	0.000855	0.001016
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000630		0.000973
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000589	0.000772	0.000933
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002283		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001522	0.001569	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000933	0.000964	0.000977
		<u> </u>	=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000402	- 1	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000388	0.000540	0.000673
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000373	0.000525	0.000658
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001766	0.001809	0.001825
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001257	0.001292	0.001305
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000834	0.000859	0.000869
			=30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000268	0.000396	0.000509
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000266	0.000395	0.000508
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000263	0.000392	0.000505
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001469	0.001502	0.001514
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001076	0.001104	0.001114
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000743	0.000764	0.000776
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGA	TE EL ASTIC	MODILLIS	

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.24 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE	=)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001761	0.001940	0.002176
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001661	0.001843	0.002077
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001599	0.001783	0.002016
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002661	0.002747	0.002781
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001422	0.001471	0.001490
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000734	0.000760	0.000771
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001065	0.001248	0.001451
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000977	0.001163	0.001365
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000906	0.001096	0.001297
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002983	0.003083	0.003123
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001838	0.001907	0.001934
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001044	0.001086	0.001103
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000740	0.000908	0.001086
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000690		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000643		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002565		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001708		0.001793
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001046		0.001103
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000439		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000424		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000407		0.000690
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001986		0.002063
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001412		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000936		0.000982
		<u> </u>	=30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000292		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000290		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000287	0.000404	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001653		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001210		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000835		
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGA	TE ELASTIC	MODULUS	

TABLE B.25
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000334	0.000361	0.000391	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000316	0.000343	0.000373	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000305	0.000332	0.000362	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000889	0.000902	0.000907	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000482	0.000489	0.000492	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000251		0.000257	
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000281		0.000338	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000257		``I	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000237		0.000295	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001219		0.001248	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000766		0.000788	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000446		0.000460	
)=10,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000247	0.000275		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000225			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000205		0.000263	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001197	0.001218	0.001226	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000805		0.000828	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000503		0.000520	
		•)=20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000200		0.000256	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000184		0.000240	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000169		0.000225	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001061	0.001079	0.001087	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000755		0.000777	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000507	0.000519	0.000524	
)=30,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM		0.000160			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000157		0.000212	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000145		0.000200	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000943		0.000966	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000690		0.000710	
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREO	0.000481	0.000492	0.000497	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.26
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000378	0.000403	0.000436
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000357	0.000382	0.000416
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000344	0.000370	0.000403
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001021	0.001038	0.001045
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000553	0.000563	0.000567
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000288	0.000293	0.000295
			E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000318		0.000377
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000290		0.000349
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000268	0.000295	0.000327
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001397	0.001425	0.001437
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000878	0.000898	0.000906
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000511	0.000524	0.000529
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000278		0.000337
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000253		0.000312
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000231	0.000259	0.000290
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001372	0.001400	0.001412
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000922	0.000944	0.000953
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000576	0.000592	0.000598
	TIDE DOCOLIDE		=20,000 PSI	400 BOL
CTDAIN BOTTOM	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000225	0.000253	0.000283
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000207	0.000236	0.000265
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000189 0.001217	0.000218 0.001241	0.000247 0.001251
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001217	0.001241	0.001251
OI GODGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000580	0.000596	0.000694
	E(00) - 10,000 F 01		=30,000 PSI	0.000003
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000190		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000136		
O. /.O. I/.L!	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000170	0.000204	0.000233
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001082		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000791	0.000809	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000551	0.000565	
	_(22, .3,000.01	3.330031	3.30000	1 2.200071

TABLE B.27
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000420	0.000451	0.000481
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000397	0.000427	0.000458
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000382	0.000412	0.000443
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001151	0.001173	0.001182
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000623	0.000636	0.000641
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000324		0.000334
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000353	0.000381	0.000414
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000322	0.000349	0.000383
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000296	0.000323	0.000358
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001574	0.001610	0.001624
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) ≃5,000 PSI	0.000988	0.001014	0.001024
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000574	0.000591	0.000598
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000308	0.000334	0.000369
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000280		0.000341
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000255	0.000282	0.000317
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001545		0.001596
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001037	0.001065	0.001077
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000647	0.000667	0.000675
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000249		0.000309
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000229		0.000288
	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	0.000209	0.000236	0.000269
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001370	0.001402	0.001414
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000973	0.001000	0.001010
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000652	0.000672	0.000681
			=30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000210		0.000267
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000194		0.000252
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000179		0.000237
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001219		0.001257
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000890 0.000619	0.000913 0.000638	0.000923 0.000646

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

Appendix C: Strains Induced by a Tandem Axle Load

TABLE C.1 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	_	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	614	55	16	
		E(BASE) =5			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	6	2	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749		26	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	5	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	978		44	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	6	3	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	163		15	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,629		103	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO		46	15	8	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	300	î	35	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,520	468	198	

TABLE C.2 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO		1	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	30	2	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	663	50	14	
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	5	2	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	760		21	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	6	1	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	66	9	3	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	950		34	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO		17	4	2	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	136			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,473		77	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO		34	10		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	238			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,170		144	

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE C.3 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 4250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
l	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI		
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2	0	0		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	33	2	1		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	731	48	12		
		E(BASE) =5	000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI		
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	4	1		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	798		18		
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI		
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	1	0		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	62	8	3		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	950	94	28		
		E(BASE) = 2				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI		
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	13	3	1		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	118	21	8		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,383	178	61		
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI		
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	26	7	4		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	197	42	18		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,949	300	112		
NOTE:	E(DACE) - ACCORCA		MODULLIC	 		

TABLE C.4 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	3	2	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	133	51	33	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,627	976	633	
		E(BASE) =5	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	32	15	11	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	317	137		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,244	1,711	1,143	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	98	52	39	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	709	337	241	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085	3,063	2,110	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	405	239	187	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,186	1,159	870	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	15,851	7,539	5,412	
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,041	650	524	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	4,867	2,747	2,114	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,479	14,948	11,005	
NOTE:	E/PACE) - ACCRECA		1400111110		

TABLE C.5 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	2	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	96	33	20	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,913	637	390	
		E(BASE) =5			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	9	6	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	219			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,009		697	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	63	31	23	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	474	209	144	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,895	1,935	1,273	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	252			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,410			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,602		3,216	
		E(BASE) = 3		,	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	635			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,071			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	19,360	9,131	6,484	

TABLE C.6 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4	1	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	74	23	14	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	447	260	
		E(BASE) =5,	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15		,	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	161	59		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,276		460	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO		43			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	339			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,609		831	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	168	ł	67	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,586		2,065	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO		409		B.	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,075			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	13,562	6,016	4,129	

TABLE C.7 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	56	37	31	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973	634	528	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	19,064	12,287	10,183	
		E(BASE) =5	000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	234	186	161	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,377	1,685	1,438	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	31,700	21,176	17,866	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	745	656	580	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,243	4,163	3,609	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	53,172	37,789	32,113	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,344	3,063	2,874	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	16,696	14,678	12,997	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	117,340	93,343	80,824	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,221	8,504	8,262	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	38,613	35,195	31,975	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	220,751	186,708	163,763	

TABLE C.8
LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD
CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	21	18	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	591	366	297	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	11,624	7,107	5,738	
		E(BASE) = 5			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	128	105	89	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,303	959	801	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	18,071		9,981	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	407	363	319	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,874	2,354	2,004	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,231	21,513	17,934	
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,819	1,640	1,572	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	9,102	8,132	7,151	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi	64,271	52,635	44,805	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5,007	The state of the s		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	21,010			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	120,715	104,945	90,432	

TABLE C.9 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
l	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	19	13	11	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	353	228	181	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085	4,436	3,506	
		E(BASE) = 5	000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	76	64	54	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	592	592	484	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,783		6,070	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	. ,	241	211	190	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,707		· ·	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	17,400		10,819	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,075	954		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,396	,		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	38,233	·	26,880	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2,956			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	12,460			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	71,690	62,456	54,081	

TABLE C.10 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 1INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	692	154	69	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	856	155	77	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	972	193	83	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	13	10	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	420	227	174	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,754	4,613	3,506	
			E)=5,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	30,845		1,078	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	38,061	3,942	1,180	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI				
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		16	12	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,233		1,257	
)=10,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI				
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI				
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI				
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI				
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI				
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI				
			=20,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			B i	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI				
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI				
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI				
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			1	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		4,867)=30,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI				
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		B '		
PAILUKE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI		1		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI				
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	L.	1		
AILUKE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	•			
	E(3G) - 10,000 P31	19,909	9,072	. 0,517	

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE E(SG) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF SUBGRADE

TABLE C.11 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	586	137	59	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759	160	67	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	888	176	72	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14	7	6	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272	136	101	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,721	2,782	2,041	
			E)=5,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23,213	3,646	1,058	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS!	29,438	4,196	1,171	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,305	4,665	1,266	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	21	10	7	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	212	90	61	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,948	1,148	757	
)=10,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	389,313		8,539	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	333,500	-	8,246	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	305,300		8,116	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			19	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	390	167	114	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,890	1,489	967	
			=20,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		_, -,,	172,210	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI		· · ·	126,130	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			102,279	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	210		80	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	· ·		345	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		3,071	2,018	
			=30,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	(2,407,994	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			1,086,531	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		8,817,011	655,756	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	503	275	206	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	I	1,095	776	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	5,671	3,797	

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE E(SG) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF SUBGRADE

TABLE C.12 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

		E(BASI	E)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	443	126	52
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	577	149	59
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	676	165	64
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10	5	4
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190	88	63
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,018		1,284
			E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,864		1,061
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,326		1,187
L	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,496	5,134	1,297
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	154	60	40
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,201	782	493
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	271,785	· ·	9,663
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	231,494		9,243
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,678		9,066
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	39	18	12
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	281	112	73
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,904	1,018	633
FATIOUE	E(00) -0 500 DOL		=20,000 PSI	055 047
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	###########		•
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	31,897,922		
RUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,392,644 143	1,239,842 71	133,326 51
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	766	329	221
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	5,654	2,090	1,306
 	L(33) - 10,000 F31		2,090)=30,000 PSI	1,300
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		O TENSION	5,868,570
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	•	#######################################	
. , (1201)	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	#########		989,131
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	337	177	129
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,576		494
. , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,784	3,828	2,465
L	L(30) - 10,000 1 01	3,707	0,020	2,400

TABLE C.13 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,818		551
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,432		666
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,922	1,256	749
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	178	156	149
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,292	2,882	2,734
<u> </u>	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,730	59,775	56,729
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,259	*	2,519
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,861	6,194	3,161
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,070		3,778
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	153		94
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,562		852
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,428		10,930
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835		7,521
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	80,800		8,894
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,064		10,313
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	304		174
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,051	1,298	1,062
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892 E(BASE)		9,374
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=20,000 PSI	36,350
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS!	526,016 589,674	-	38,435
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	669,164	110,034	40,873
RUTTING	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	924	625	40,673 524
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,511	2,824	2,292
, ALCOILE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,370	17,531	13,757
	2(00) 10,0001 01		=30,000 PSI	10,707
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,638,621	403,029	125,745
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,205,962	377,807	120,225
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,971,580	363,964	117,092
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,075	1,410	1,186
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,726	5,491	4,461
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	48,527	28,025	21,943

TABLE C.14 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI)

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,258	677	414
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,690	852	506
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,040	982	572
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	98	84	80
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,823		1,466
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,130		30,370
			E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,883	,	2,008
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,002		2,566
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,197		3,105
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	63	53
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	590	479
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,182	7,779	6,198
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,020		6,286
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,659	•	7,540
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,399		8,870
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	174	118	97
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	754	602
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,460		5,365
	E(0.0)		=20,000 PSI	00.000
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	397,468		32,883
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	454,652		34,970
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	530,006		37,437
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	552	358	294
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,761	1,644	1,300
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,064	10,390	7,903
	E(00) =0 500 DOL		=30,000 PSI	400.070
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,901,502	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	122,870
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,530,967	390,657	116,673
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,337,239	373,647	113,256
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,234	809	665
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,319	3,200	2,532
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,500	16,634	12,636

TABLE C.15 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	928	539	324
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	686	400
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,518	797	455
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59	49	46
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,095	913	852
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,927		17,664
			E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,329	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,662
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,248		2,154
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	23,651		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50	39	32
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	540		292
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,262		3,797
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	31,939		5,429
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,316	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6,605
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	62,630		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	102		59
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	795		369
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,368		3,327
	E (0.0)		=20,000 PSI	00.507
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	295,734	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30,527
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	338,759		32,657
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	394,384		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323		179 800
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,819		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,905	6,644 =30,000 PSI	4,922
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,174,992	448,954	123,019
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,886,842		123,019
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,000,042	· ·	112,059
RUTTING	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	725	500	112,059
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,487	2,013	1,559
AILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	20,602	10,638	7,878
	E(3G) - 10,000 P3!	20,002	10,036	1,010

TABLE C.16 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD =3,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,948		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,179		•
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,107	-	·
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,325		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,295	19,969	19,064
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,355	388,568	371,204
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,873	12,523	7,925
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	33,753	15,797	9,718
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	43,325	19,012	11,449
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	801	717	688
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,085	6,273	5,980
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,679		75,554
)=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	81,320	•	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	104,722		i i
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	132,418		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,590	-	,
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,784	1	· ·
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	89,260	78,672	74,965
)=20,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	449,358		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	506,170		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	573,829		•
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,182		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,019		•
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	146,194	130,567	125,156
FATIOUS	E(00) -0 500 DOL)=30,000 PSI	400 550
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,682,180		,
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,695,809	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,742,293	405,848	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,202		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	46,643	42,464	•
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	243,815	219,874	200,822

TABLE C.17 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI

		E(BASI	E)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,358	2,344	1,632
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,214	2,843	1,943
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,859	3,212	2,169
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	724	642	611
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	12,202		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	239,395		198,617
			E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,067		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,315	12,151	7,329
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,083		8,702
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	439	387	367
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,900		,
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	50,098		40,510
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,115	•	14,954
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	72,664	·	17,624
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	92,340		20,424
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	868	770	735
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,381	4,691	4,461
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	49,223	42,464	40,144
FATIOUE	E(00) -0 500 DOL		=20,000 PSI	55.000
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	318,607		55,688 60,040
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	364,271		60,040
RUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI	420,332		64,943
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,817 12,636	2,532 11,194	2,429 10,674
PAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	80,312	70,263	66,862
	E(3G) = 10,000 P31		70,203 =30,000 PSI	00,002
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,283,313		152,327
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,299,319		153,218
. / ((20)	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,343,856		155,627
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,623		5,789
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	25,476		21,856
, , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	133,539	118,088	110,364
	L(30) = 10,000 1 31	100,008	1 10,000	110,004

TABLE C.18
LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL
SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT
INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING.
3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,427	1,776	1,223	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,057	2,173	1,466	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,535	2,463	1,640	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	429	374	354	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,262	6,273	5,910	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	142,189	122,340	115,199	
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,485	7,501	4,603	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,169	9,745	5,761	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,287	11,970	6,893	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	261		213	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,331	1,980	1,861	
,	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,984		23,486	
			=10,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41,338			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	53,868			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,819			
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,200		2,582	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,479		23,298	
	T(0.0) 0.500.001		=20,000 PSI	40 707	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	237,200			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272,205		50,714	
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	315,019		55,160	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,666			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,492	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6,180	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	47,889 E(BASE)	41,012 =30,000 PSI	38,735	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	966,850		133,409	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	979,023	,	134,242	
AILONE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,013,252		134,242	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,900		3,335	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,057	13,229	12,636	
ALVILL	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	79,382	68,765	65,286	
<u>. </u>	L(33) - 10,000 P31	18,302	00,700	03,200	

Appendix D: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Tandem Axle Load

TABLE D.1 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	15	-1	0
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	330	28	8
		E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	4	1
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	342	35	11
		E(BASE)=10		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	1	0
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	62	8	3
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	959	95	29
		E(BASE)=20		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4	1	1
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	41	9	4
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	529	84	32
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	26	3	1
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	200	42	18
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,971	302	113

TABLE D.2 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	31	2	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	667	51	14	
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	5	2	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	766	71	21	
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	6	1	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	67	9	3	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	957	106	35	
	,	E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	17	4	2	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	138	26	11	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	211	77	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	10	5	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	241	54	25	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,196	367	145	

TABLE D.3 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE

	1	E/D 4 0 PS			
		E(BASE) = f	1,000 PSI		
ł	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	3	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	617	55	17	
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	6	2	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749	81	26	
	E E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	5	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	984	125	44	
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	6	3	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	165	34	15	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,644	262	103	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	47	19	8	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	304	74	35	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,544	472	199	

TABLE D.4 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE RO. CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE

		E(BASE) =	1.000 PSI	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	\$	2			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	136		34			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi	2,680					
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	15	11			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	324	139	96			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,339	1,738	1,159			
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	102	54	40			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	729	345	247			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,262					
			20,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	429	251	197			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,276		897			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	16,327		5,523			
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,106		556			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,109					
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	30,500	15,391	11,309			

TABLE D.5 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

	E/PACE) = 4.000 DCI				
	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
ļ	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	6	2	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	98	33	21	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,953	648	396	
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	-	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	10	7	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	224	88	58	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,071	1,115	708	
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	66	33	24	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	488	214	147	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	5,022	1,976	1,295	
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	267	149	113	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,469	727	526	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,930	4,798	3,292	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	683	405	317	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,233	1,715	1,279	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	20,047	9,436	6,664	

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE D.6 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROA CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A LOAD OF 4250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	1.	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	47	16	10	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	967	316	191	
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	4	2	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	92	37	24	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,380	499	314	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSi				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	11	8	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	179	80	55	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,070	818	533	
			20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	70	41	32	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	466	236	171	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,018	1,782	1,221	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	442	100	80	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	928	507	381	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	6,766	3,241	2,298	

TABLE D.7 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE.

r	E(DAOE) 4 000 DOI				
	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	13	9	8	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	235	164	132	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	4,798	3,250	2,601	
		E(BASE) =	5,000		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	44	38	33	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	479	387	321	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	6,955	5,197	4,244	
		E(BASE) = 10,000			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	127	112	106	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	988	862	740	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	10,746	8,670	7,195	
		E(BASE) =	20,000		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	1,552	1,145	1,047	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	2,846	2,507	2,377	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	22,118	19,286	16,572	
	C	E(BASE) =	30,000		
•	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	3,086			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	6,106	5,412	5,182	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	39,494	34,581	31,564	

TABLE D.8 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) =	1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	22	18
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	615	378	307
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	12,075	7,330	5,927
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	137		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,371	1,006	837
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	18,918		
		<u>; </u>	: 10,000 PS	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	439		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,063		,
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	30,630		
		 	20,000 PS	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,958		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	9,817		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	68,484	,	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5,319		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI		20,362	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	129,722	112,332	96,635

TABLE D.9 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIDE DECOLUDE			1100 501
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	62	39	32
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,010	656	545
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	19,738	12,680	10,495
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
İ	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	249	197	171
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,495	1,762	1,502
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	33,102	22,030	18,489
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PS	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	803	706	622
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,588	4,412	3,817
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	55,902	39,458	33,538
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PS	1
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,590	3,292	3,086
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	18,071	15,792	13,955
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	124,937	98,744	85,486
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,561	8,926	8,670
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	41,730	38,061	34,430
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	236,982	199,781	174,886

TABLE D.10
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASÉ)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	695		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	861		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			1
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	426	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,839	4,652	3,543
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI	
ł	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	30,393	3,505	1,081
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	37,690	3,976	1,187
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,551	4,374	1,274
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	33	17	12
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	319	147	105
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,303		1,273
)=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	562,826	34,198	7,619
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	539,107	33,042	7,462
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	476,206	32,576	7,424
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	90	46	34
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	595	275	195
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,688	2,382	1,626
)=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,418,795,691	1,330,400	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,240,464	778,707	97,773
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,642,507	556,904	81,404
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	351	191	145
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	1,711	832	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	11,505	4,979	3,415
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	36,951,133	39,358,291	1,347,178
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	106,777,575	12,134,950	700,635
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,827,693,385	4,876,403	460,844
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	858	489	376
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,667	1,870	1,368
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,683	9,312	6,465

TABLE D.11 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	589	138	59
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	762	162	67
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	891	177	72
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	8	6
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	276	138	102
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,772	2,810	2,061
			E(BASE)=5,0	2.00
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22,718	3,661	1,063
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	28,967	4,223	1,180
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	34,939	4,718	1,279
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	10	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	217	92	62
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,001	1,164	767
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349,591		8,447
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313,233	39,825	•
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	293,391	39,046	8,180
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	58	28	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	402	171	117
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,975		
			E(BASE)=20,	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	890,113,914		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	35,492,306		Y I
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,797,080		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	223		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,131	513	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,928	3,142	
			E(BASE)=30,	
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,251,648	25,306,655	3,024,252
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	70,446,256	39,579,572	1,201,130
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	439,024,091	9,871,655	689,925
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	539	292	218
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,388	1,143	807
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	14,006	5,840	3,890

TABLE D.12 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	445	127	52
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579		4
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	677	167	65
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10	5	4
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	192	89	64
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,062	1,831	1,295
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,488	3,883	1,067
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,964	4,562	1,199
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,200	5,169	1,311
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	158	61	40
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,244	794	499
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	243,263	51,113	9,555
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	216,804		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	202,170		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41	18	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	291	115	75
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,978	1,039	644
	TIDE DECOURE	40001	E(BASE)=20,	
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,286,566		
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,920,903		
FAILURE LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,461,407		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	152	75	53
		801 5 833	342	229
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,823	2,145	1,348
	TIRE PRESSURE	40DCI	E(BASE)=30, 70 PSI	
LOADS TO				100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,476,152, 51,667,710	16,376,178 49,788,019	8,289,603
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	340,416,315		2,193,962
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		22,046,920	1,056,372
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	362 1,662	188 752	137 515
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,002	3,943	515 2.522
ALUKE	L(33) - 10,000 PSI	10,149	3,943	2,532

TABLE D.13
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
,	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2,463	1,137	702
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,374	1,435	860
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,129	1,658	975
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	150	131	125
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,831		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	59,923		48,504
)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15,911		
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	24,760		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,802		4,439
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	118		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,171	736	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,388		7,828
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	52,916		
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	79,264	The state of the s	· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	117,136		
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	214	139	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,460		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	14,415		6,273
	TIDE DDEGGLIDE		=20,000 PSI	400 001
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	298,289	60,945	
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	399,403		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	554,547		
LOADS TO RUTTING	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	652		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,142		-
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,010 E(BASE)	11,426 =30,000 PSI	8,726
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 DCI
LOADS TO				100 PSI
LOADS TO FATIGUE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,191,362 1,439,774	186,610 209 522	65,612 71,474
FAILURE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,824,468	209,522 241,759	71,474 79,540
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,515	241,759 980	79,540 806
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	6,217	3,697	2,926
FAILURE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	33,832	18,278	13,905
I AILORE	L(33) - 10,000 P31	33,032	10,210	13,805

TABLE D.14
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
1	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,717	875	529
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,369	1,118	655
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,912	1,304	749
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	71	67
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,569	1,337	1,257
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	33,247	28,143	26,548
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	11,125	4,202	2,174
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	18,435	5,967	2,912
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,395	7,952	3,683
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67	45	37
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	721	428	341
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,286	5,755	4,473
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,197	12,253	5,685
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,701	16,690	7,299
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	95,977		9,216
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	130		65
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,191		3,619
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	216,008		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	301,018		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	438,594		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	396		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,962		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514		5,065
			=30,000 PSI	
				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	843,446	182,875	61,485
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,042,171	208,304	67,699
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,364,014	245,029	76,374
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	917	569	456
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,869	2,180	1,677
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,684	11,005	8,080

TABLE D.15
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,265		415
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,755		519
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,168		•
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	942	783	731
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,047	16,511	15,391
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,287	3,567	1,799
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,856	5,192	2,456
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,506	7,071	3,156
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	40		22
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	456	269	209
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	6,934		2,761
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	28,269	· ·	4,887
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,238	15,107	6,394
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	71,896		8,226
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	80	50	40
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	608		248
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,292		2,260
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	158,426		19,985
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	221,701		23,875
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	324,067		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	253	The state of the s	119
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,314		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,343		3,183
	TIDE DESCRIBE		=30,000 PSI	400 001
				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	614,069	182,262	58,983 65,640
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759,217	210,503	65,612
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	994,160	252,678	74,949
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	588 3.580	355	279
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,589	1,386	1,041
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	15,002	7,151	5,094

TABLE D.16
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,849		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,459	•	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	· ·	8	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	182		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,344	B	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	69,402	-	
			=5,000 PSI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,578	4,815	2,547
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,122	6,271	3,180
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,321	7,760	3,794
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,615	1,054	877
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,943		11,156
			10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60,987	16,162	7,619
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	81,364	19,777	8,967
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,434	23,773	10,402
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,140	1,357	1,102
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,522		9,624
		E(BASE)=		
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515,243	,	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579,424		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	661,746		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	994		559
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,771	-	2,406
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,564		14,208
		E(BASE)=		
.				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,237,596	383,340	121,391
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,995,429	368,608	118,148
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,865,007	360,606	116,395
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,233	1,512	1,265
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,312	5,823	4,718
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	50,772	29,231	22,835

TABLE D.17
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,279	685	419	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,709	857	510	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,058	987	576	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	100	86	82	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,853	1,579	1,485	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,526	32,674	30,762	
		E(BASE):	=5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10,109	3,942	2,040	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,196		2,585	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,379	6,605	3,124	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	88	66	55	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	939		493	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	7,953	6,330	
		E(BASE)=			
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,846	13,948	6,380	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	61,047		7,619	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,624	21,250	8,943	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	185	125	103	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,311		625	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,815		5,507	
į		E(BASE)=			
			70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	387,309		32,763	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	444,941		34,926	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	520,593			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	595		314	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,926		1,368	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892		8,157	
		E(BASE)=			
				100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,534,820	396,437	118,148	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,337,239	378,453	114,471	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,232,834	368,920	112,522	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,331	867	711	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,688	3,397	2,680	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,975	17,334	13,135	

TABLE D.18
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	946	547	328
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,272	693	403
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,535	803	458
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60	51	47
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,113	926	863
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	23,205	19,138	17,866
			E(BASE)=	5,000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,521	3,338	1,692
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,381		2,181
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,109	5,788	2,670
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	52		33
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	559		301
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,476		3,879
	E(BASE)=10,000 PS			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	32,577	12,372	5,519
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,601		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	62,753	19,518	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	109		62
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	832		383
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,670		
			E(BASE)=2	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	287,907		30,406
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	331,046	· ·	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349		191
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,935		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,466	6,891	5,079
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,892,101	417,382	117,583
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,737,571	395,409	113,458
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,655,347	383,669	111,269
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	782	537	432
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,736	2,140	1,647
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,684	11,118	8,183

TABLE D.19
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,721	14,857	10,878
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	26,879	18,611	13,371
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	32,284	21,785	15,429
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,351	3,954	3,807
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	67,719	60,945	58,487
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,265,389	1,133,158	1,084,622
			E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	47,602	30,357	21,158
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	73,683	43,494	29,279
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	110,730	60,217	39,142
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,348		1,171
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,786	10,531	10,082
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	148,881	131,575	125,523
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI	
ł	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86,635	50,548	33,893
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	134,034	71,715	46,281
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,996	101,761	63,043
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,681	1,525	1,473
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,967	9,850	9,467
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	104,181		88,634
		<u> </u>	=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	214,488		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313,502		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	482,952		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,379	•	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,885	· ·	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	117,138		90,383
			=30,000 PSI	
				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	445,062	202,118	120,998
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	617,059	258,058	149,119
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	909,774	341,031	189,156
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,292	5,823	5,605
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	27,560	25,062	22,206
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	159,656	130,490	113,105

TABLE D.20 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,626	13,378	9,779	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,867	16,845	12,073	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	27,476	19,792	13,984	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,889	2,589	2,477	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	44,742	39,691	37,789	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	833,056	734,504	698,630	
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,842	26,879	18,684	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,169	39,006	26,121	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,533	54,702	35,342	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	863			
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,492	6,563	6,254	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,804		76,953	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
İ	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67,924	•		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	105,270			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	165,774	91,440	56,612	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,043			
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,787	· ·		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	64,045		52,915	
			=20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160,482			
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	235,387			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		178,839		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,027	· ·		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,115		,	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	69,903		56,369	
			=30,000 PSI	(100 00)	
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	320,636	170,920	102,913	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	446,371	221,964	128,973	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	660,987	299,454	166,678	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,687	3,362	3,258	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,147	14,572	13,610	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,548	81,601	69,831	

TABLE D.21 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10,072	7,612	5,672
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,190	9,663	7,085
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	15,954	11,736	8,258
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,404	1,234	1,174
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,943	19,138	18,071
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	412,243	355,829	336,031
			E(BASE)=5,	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23,350	16,808	11,444
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	36,695	24,851	16,247
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	55,995		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	436		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,848	· ·	·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	49,060		
			E(BASE)=10	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	42,563		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	66,865		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,881		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	542		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,571		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	34,279		
			E(BASE)=20	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	106,475		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	157,749	· ·	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	246,836		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,086		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,475		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,250		
	E(BASE)=30,000 F			
1	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	220,913	125,653	73,371
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	310,217	165,234	92,780
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	463,847	226,776	121,237
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,008	1,803	1,734
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,868	7,853	7,285
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,781	45,056	37,621

TABLE D.22 LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD

TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100	E(AC)=150,0	000 PSI	E(B	ASE)=1000	PSI
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI		TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 7,089 4,355 2,991 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,450 1,303 1,249 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 23,965 21,428 20,442 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 463,664 411,929 392,990 E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,205	3,365	2,370
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,450 1,303 1,249 20,442 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 463,664 411,929 392,990 E(BASE)=5,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI	FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,271	3,942	2,731
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,089	4,355	2,991
FAILURE	LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,450	1,303	1,249
E(BASE)=5,000 PS TIRE PRESSURE 40PS 70 PS 100 PS 100 PS E(SG) =2,500 PS 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 8,268 26,479 13,150 10,25	RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,965	21,428	20,442
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 34,840 16,215 9,939 17,551 100 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI 44,099 19,290 11,551 100 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI 7,539 6,664 6,349 10,000 PSI 70,000	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	463,664	411,929	392,990
LOADS TO					
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI					100 PSI
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 44,099 19,290 11,551 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 867 774 742 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 7,539 6,664 6,349 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 94,373 82,607 78,465 E(BASE)=10,000 PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 108,246 40,855 23,111 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,711 1,542 1,482 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI 100		26,479	13,150	8,268	
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 867 774 742 742 743 744 742 745	FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	34,840	16,215	9,939
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			11,551
FAILURE	LOADS TO		867		
E(BASE)=10,000 PSI	RUTTING		7,539	6,664	,
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 86,578 34,840 20,168 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 108,246 40,855 23,111 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,711 1,542 1,482 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI					
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 108,246 40,855 23,111 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 56,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301			40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,711 1,542 1,482 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301		•	86,578	34,840	20,168
LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) =2,500 PSI	FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	108,246	40,855	23,111
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI			134,829	47,579	26,308
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301					
E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301					
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSi 70 PSi 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSi 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSi 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSi 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSi 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSi 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSi 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSi TIRE PRESSURE 40PSi 70 PSi 100 PSi LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSi 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSi 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSi 1,725,837 405,141 183,301	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI	Ī				
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301	:				
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301					
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301		, ,			
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301					
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301					
E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301	2				
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301	ľ				
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301		TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301					
		• •	1		
ILOADS TO IE(SG) =2.500 PSI					
		. , , ,	12,460	11,465	11,118
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 62,959 45,499 43,940					
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 261,980 235,866 214,982	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	261,980	235,866	214,982

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE D.23 LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,270 LBS/TIRE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,535	2,445	1,698
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,279	2,877	1,967
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,837	3,193	2,162
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	794	702	668
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,135	11,545	10,930
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	254,696		210,360
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	18,229	9,995	6,179
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	24,109		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,661		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	475		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,152		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	52,181		42,076
			10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835	27,565	15,593
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,160	32,683	•
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	94,055		
4	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	937		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,772		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,880		42,192
			20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	329,963		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	370,801		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		137,040	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		2,336	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,739		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,893		26,080
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,258,234	346,132	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,278,561	349,315	152,524
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,328,793	357,890	155,425
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,766	6,125	5,910
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	27,331	24,357	23,392
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	143,654	126,780	118,224

TABLE D.24 LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,552	1,856	1,272
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,093	2,197	1,482
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,506	2,450	1,635
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	471	409	387
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,828	6,725	6,349
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	151,721	129,799	122,055
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	13,354		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,767	9,995	5,901
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,711	12,151	6,981
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	282	244	230
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,477	2,100	1,971
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,294	26,221	24,457
		E(BASE)=	10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,237	·	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	55,847	,	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	70,238		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	556		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,442		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,026		24,457
		_ <u>`</u>	20,000 PSI	
i	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	246,017		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	277,097	-	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	317,834		55,635
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,750		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI		6,998	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,188		41,275
		<u> </u>	30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	947,354	314,004	132,500
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	962,473	317,063	133,492
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,001,671	325,411	136,269
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,986	3,552	3,406
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,147	14,208	13,514
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	85,440	73,840	70,047

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE D.25 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	119,929	93,374	71,654
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	143,906	110,200	83,465
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	162,221	122,686	92,190
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	64,304	60,251	58,718
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,005,182		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	18,813,297		16,919,444
			E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	211,243	154,418	115,772
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	284,023	200,032	146,943
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	369,227	251,123	180,842
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,619		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	125,376	114,737	110,742
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,414,145	1,281,639	1,232,436
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	326,235	226,776	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	441,813	294,446	210,750
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	595,563	379,651	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,948		,
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	100,461	91,673	88,442
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	824,923		714,440
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	648,031		289,546
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	852,527		356,225
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,140,932		441,813
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	29,107	-	26,113
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	133,618		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	796,857		689,112
			=30,000 PSI	
1	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,344,509		452,322
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,451,912	814,074	540,012
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,891,799	1,007,307	652,313
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	49,293	45,755	44,432
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	200,171	183,166	176,885
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,008,934	910,855	874,437

TABLE D.26
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
1	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	79,817	64,970	49,940
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	96,154	77,034	58,471
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	108,524	86,096	64,758
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	34,581	32,113	31,160
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	542,969	500,206	483,801
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	10,161,223	9,319,158	8,998,165
		•	E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	140,949	-	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190,230		103,594
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	248,051	180,238	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,476		-
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68,135		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	771,303	688,523	658,142
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	219,868	161,522	117,022
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	299,454	· ·	149,907
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	406,284	276,170	190,445
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,191	8,395	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	54,688	49,176	47,150
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	450,808		381,621
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	437,954		207,337
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	578,697	i i	257,417
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	779,206		322,345
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,734		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	72,699		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,018		368,175
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
i				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	771,129	490,288	328,422
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	988,594	604,237	395,460
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,295,997	756,602	482,227
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	26,658	24,457	23,677
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	108,870	98,198	94,269
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	551,422	489,583	466,948

TABLE D.27
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,523		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68,262		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			•
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,204		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	317,793		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,961,853		
			E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	100,343	78,037	59,359
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	136,103	103,985	76,506
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,965		•
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	40,144		•
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	456,111		
			E(BASE)=10	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	156,573	,	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	214,488		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	292,548		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,396		· ·
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	32,252		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	267,101		
			E(BASE)=20	
		40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	314,746	·	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	418,100		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	566,007		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,252		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	42,877	· ·	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	258,396		
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	555,418	375,960	249,581
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	716,321	468,007	302,987
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	943,754	591,760	372,832
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,619	14,157	13,610
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	64,142	57,036	54,476
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	326,875	285,213	270,270

Appendix E: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure of Aggregate Roads

TABLE E.1 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI		0	0	0	211%	1	2913%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	3	*	2	225%	28	3348%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	614	55	16	38	234%	597	3638%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PS	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	0	0	154%	က	1491%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	9	2	4	182%	42	2116%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749	80	26	54	205%	723	2753%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	_	-	125%	7	%6/6
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	S	7	154%	68	1489%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	978	125	44	80	182%	934	2116%
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	9	3	က	%26	19	622%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	163	34	15	19	124%	148	%626
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,629	260	103	158	154%	1,527	1489%
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	46	15	80	7	84%	38	477%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	300	73	35	38	108%	265	752%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,520	468	198	270	137%	2,322	1173%
			1	AVERAGE =	45	158%	448	1756%
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGA	EGATE ELASTIC MODULUS	MODULUS					

TABLE E.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	10 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	0	243%	-	3766%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	30	2	~	7	260%	30	4381%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	663	50	14	37	270%	649	4779%
		E(BASE) =5,	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	173%	က	1818%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	5	2	က	207%	4	2662%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	760	70	21	49	235%	739	3516%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9	1	0	-	139%	9	1157%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	99	6	3	9	173%	62	1821%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	950	106	34	7.1	207%	915	2663%
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	17	7	2	7	107%	15	710%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	136	26	11	15	139%	125	1157%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,473	210	7.7	133	173%	1,396	1820%
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 04	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	10	2	5	91%	29	535%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	238	54	25	29	119%	213	820%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,170	364	144	220	153%	2,026	1404%
			1	AVERAGE =	38	179%	417	2204%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4250 LBS. TABLE E.3

		E(BASE) = 1	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2	0	0	0	273%	2	4695%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	33	2	-	2	293%	32	2505%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	731	48	12	36	306%	719	%9£09
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	10 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	191%	က	2164%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	4	4	က	231%	14	3249%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	798	92	18	47	765%	780	4373%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI					
,	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2	1	0	-	151%	5	1330%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	62	80	လ	2	191%	29	2165%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	950	94	28	99	232%	921	3249%
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	13	3	1	2	115%	12	794%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	118	21	80	12	151%	110	1333%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,383	178	61	117	191%	1,322	2167%
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	10 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	26	7	7	4	%86	22	588%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	197	42	18	24	130%	179	985%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,949	300	112	188	168%	1,837	1642%
			1	AVERAGE =	34	199%	403	2685%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS
E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS. TABLE E.4

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	.000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	3	2	1	51%	9	281%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	133	51	33	18	53%	100	303%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,627	976	633	344	54%	1,995	315%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	32	15	11	4	40%	21	194%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	317	137	94	43	45%	222	236%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,244	1,711	1,143	568	20%	3,101	271%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	86	52	39	13	33%	59	152%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	709	337	241	96	40%	468	194%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085	3,063	2,110	953	45%	4,975	236%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	405	538	187	51	27%	217	116%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,186	1,159	870	289	33%	1,316	151%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	15,851	7,539	5,412	2,127	39%	10,439	193%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,041	099	524	126	24%	517	%66
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	4,867	2,747	2,114	633	30%	2,752	130%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,479	14,948	11,005	3,943	36%	18,474	168%
			<i>'</i>	AVERAGE =	614	40 %	2,978	203%

TABLE E.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	2	1	1	%85	4	343%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	96	33	20	13	62%	92	373%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,913	637	390	247	%89	1,523	391%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	6	9	ო	45%	15	230%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	219	86	25	30	52%	162	284%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,009	1,097	269	401	28%	2,312	332%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	63	31	23	တ	38%	40	177%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	474	209	144	65	45%	330	230%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,895	1,935	1,273	662	25%	3,621	284%
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	252	141	108	33	31%	144	133%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,410	702	609	193	38%	901	177%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,602	4,678	3,216	1,462	45%	7,386	230%
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	635	380	299	81	27%	336	113%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,071	1,640	1,226	414	34%	1,844	150%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	19,360	9,131	6,484	2,647	41%	12,876	199%
			1	AVERAGE =	417	46%	2,105	243%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS
E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE E.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	.000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4	1	1		%29	3	412%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	74	23	14	တ	%02	61	449%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	447	260	187	72%	1,228	472%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15	9	4	7	51%	7	267%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	161	59	37	22	26%	124	335%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,276	757	460	298	%59	1,816	395%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	43	20	14	9	42%	29	202%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	339	139	92	47	51%	247	267%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,609	1,317	831	486	26%	2,778	334%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	18d 0/	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	168	06	29	23	34%	101	150%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973	458	322	136	42%	651	202%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,586	3,118	2,065	1,053	51%	5,521	267%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
$\overline{}$	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	409	239	184	55	30%	225	122%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,075	1,056	169	287	37%	1,306	170%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	13,562	6,016	4,129	1,887	46%	9,432	228%
			7	AVERAGE =	300	25%	1,569	285%
111014	F * OLOOO * - (LO * 0/ L	CITO LIT						

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS. TABLE E.7

		E(BASE) = 1	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	99	37	31	9	19%	25	%08
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973	634	528	105	20%	444	84%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	19,064	12,287	10,183	2,104	21%	8,881	87%
		E(BASE) =5,	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	234	186	161	25	15%	73	45%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,377	1,685	1,438	247	17%	939	65%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	31,700	21,176	17,866	3,310	19%	13,834	77%
		E(BASE) = 1	= 10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	745	959	580	92	13%	165	28%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,243	4,163	3,609	554	15%	1,633	45%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	53,172	37,789	32,113	5,676	18%	21,059	%99
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISA 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,344	3,063	2,874	188	2%	470	16%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	16,696	14,678	12,997	1,682	13%	3,700	28%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	117,340	93,343	80,824	12,518	15%	36,516	45%
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,221	8,504	8,262	242	3%	959	12%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	38,613	35,195	31,975	3,221	10%	6,638	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	220,751	186,708	163,763	22,944	14%	56,988	35%
			¥	AVERAGE =	3,527	15%	10,155	49%

TABLE E.8 IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PS	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	21	18	4	%22	15	%98
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	591	366	297	89	23%	294	%66
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	11,624	7,107	5,738	1,369	24%	5,886	103%
		E(BASE) =	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	128	105	89	16	17%	39	43%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,303	959	801	158	20%	502	63%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	18,071	12,159	9,981	2,178	22%	8,089	81%
		E(BASE) =	= 10,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	407	363	319	43	14%	87	27%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,874	2,354	2,004	350	17%	871	43%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,231	21,513	17,934	3,579	20%	11,297	%89
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,819	1,640	1,572	89	4%	247	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	9,102	8,132	7,151	981	14%	1,951	27%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	64,271	52,635	44,805	7,830	17%	19,466	43%
		E(BASE) =	= 30,000 PS	I				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 0/	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5,007	4,549	4,387	162	4%	620	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	21,010	18,918	17,531	1,387	%8	3,479	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	120,715	104,945	90,432	14,513	16%	30,283	33%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	2,180	16%	5,542	51%
				(

TABLE E.9 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	.000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	19	13	11	3	25%	6	83%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	353	228	181	47	26%	172	95%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085	4,436	3,506	931	27%	3,579	102%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9/	64	54	1	20%	23	42%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	592	592	484	108	22%	108	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,783	7,539	6,070	1,469	24%	4,713	78%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2,500 PSI$	241	211	190	21	11%	51	27%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,707	1,438	1,201	237	20%	506	42%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	17,400	13,229	10,819	2,409	22%	6,580	61%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2,500 PSI$	1,075	954	912	42	2%	164	18%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,396	4,718	4,268	450	11%	1,129	26%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	38,233	32,252	26,880	5,372	20%	11,352	42%
		E(BASE) = 3	= 30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO		2,956	2,640	2,532	108	4%	423	17%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	12,460	10,967	10,425	542	2%	2,035	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	71,690	62,456	54,081	8,375	15%	17,610	33%
			<i>t</i>	AVERAGE =	1,342	%41	3,230	47%
THO I		CITO VILLE	01 - 11 00 01					

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE TABLE E.10

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	0	237%	1	3334%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	15	1	0	-	39%	4	3909%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	330	28	8	20	267%	323	4284%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	1SG 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	193%	ო	2176%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	4	_	က	43%	42	3259%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	342	35	11	25	230%	331	3111%
		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2	7	0	~ -	152%	5	1339%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	62	80	8	5	52%	09	2175%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	959	95	29	99	232%	931	3264%
		E(BASE)=20,000 PS	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	120 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4	-	1	_	%26	4	280%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	4	0	4	5	78%	37	%296
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	529	84	32	53	165%	497	1562%
		E(BASE)=30,000 PSI	1,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	26	3	1	-	81%	25	1790%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	200	42	18	24	77%	182	863%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,971	302	113	190	168%	1,859	1649%
			A\	AVERAGE =	26	141%	287	2293%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE E.11 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	0	243%	1	3767%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	31	2	_	2	260%	30	4392%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	667	51	14	37	270%	653	4783%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PS	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	1Sd 0/	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	174%	က	1831%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	5	2	က	207%	4	2669%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	766	71	21	50	235%	745	3525%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9	1	0	-	139%	9	1162%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	67	6	3	9	174%	63	1831%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	957	106	35	72	207%	923	2672%
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	17	4	2	7	107%	15	715%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	138	26	7	15	139%	127	1162%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	211	77	134	174%	1,412	1832%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	10	9	2	91%	29	538%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	241	54	25	30	120%	216	875%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,196	367	145	222	153%	2,051	1413%
			A\	AVERAGE =	39	180%	421	2211%
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREG	AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS	MODULU	S				

TABLE E.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PS	,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	0	212%	1	2920%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	S.	-	2	225%	28	3356%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	617	52	17	38	231%	009	3616%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	0	0	155%	က	1495%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	9	2	4	183%	42	2122%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749	81	26	54	206%	722	2741%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	1	_	124%	7	982%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	5	7	154%	69	1495%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	984	125	44	81	183%	940	2121%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	9	3	က	%86	19	625%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	165	34	15	19	125%	150	983%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,644	262	103	159	154%	1,541	1495%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	47	19	8	10	128%	39	480%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	304	74	35	38	108%	268	756%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,544	472	199	273	137%	2,345	1178%
			A\	AVERAGE =	46	162%	452	1758%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE TABLE E.13

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PS	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
\cap	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	3	2	1	51%	9	283%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	136	51	34	18	53%	102	305%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,680	992	641	352	25%	2,039	318%
		E(BASE) =	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	120 PSI	100 PSI				
\circ	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	15	11	4	40%	22	195%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	324	139	96	44	45%	228	238%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,339	1,738	1,159	579	20%	3,179	274%
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2$	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	102	54	40	13	34%	62	153%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	729	345	247	66	40%	483	196%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,262	3,126	2,145	982	46%	5,118	239%
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2$,	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	429	251	197	54	28%	232	118%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,276	1,201	897	304	34%	1,379	154%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	16,327	7,730	5,523	2,207	40%	10,804	196%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 0/	100 PSI				
LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2$	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,106	691	556	136	24%	550	%66
RUTTING		5,109		2,207	661	30%	2,902	132%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	30,500	15,391	11,309	4,082	36%	19,190	170%
			A	AVERAGE =	636	40%	3,086	205%

TABLE E.14 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9	2	1	-	%69	4	347%
RUTTING		96	33	21	13	62%	78	376%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,953	648	396	252	64%	1,558	394%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PS	5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	10	7	က	46%	15	232%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	224	88	58	30	52%	166	287%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,071	1,115	708	407	%25	2,363	334%
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	99	33	24	G	38%	42	179%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	488	214	147	29	46%	341	232%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	5,022	1,976	1,295	681	23%	3,727	288%
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI		:			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	267	149	113	36	31%	154	136%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,469	727	526	201	38%	944	180%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,930	4,798	3,292	1,506	46%	7,638	232%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	899	405	317	88	28%	366	115%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,233	1,715	1,279	436	34%	1,954	153%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	20,047	9,436	6,664	2,772	42%	13,383	201%
:			A	AVERAGE =	433	46%	2,182	246%

TABLE E.15 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 4250 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PS	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	Sd 02	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO		3	1	1	0	61%	2	355%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	47	16	10	9	64%	38	387%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	967	316	191	125	%59	775	405%
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 04	100 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	7	2	-	46%	ဖ	228%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	92	37	24	13	54%	69	288%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,380	499	314	186	26%	1,067	340%
		E(BASE) =	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	11	8	က	38%	13	172%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	179	80	55	25	46%	125	229%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,070	818	533	285	54%	1,537	288%
		E(BASE) =	E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI					
		40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	02	41	32	6	30%	39	123%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	466	236	171	65	38%	295	172%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,018	1,782	1,221	561	46%	2,797	229%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1SO DZI	100 PSI				
\cap		442	100	08	77	26%	362	455%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	928	202	381	126	33%	547	144%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	6,766	3,241	2,298	943	41%	4,468	194%
			A	AVERAGE =	158	47%	809	267%
LHC-4	LCCC			9:				

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE TABLE E.16

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	13	6	8	2	23%	2	%89
	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	235	164	132	32	24%	103	%82
	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	4,798	3,250	2,601	648	25%	2,197	84%
		E(BASE) = 5,000	5,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	44	38	33	2	16%	11	33%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	479	387	321	99	20%	158	49%
	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	6,955	5,197	4,244	953	22%	2,711	64%
		E(BASE) = 10,000	10,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	127	112	106	9	2%	21	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	988	862	740	121	16%	248	33%
	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	10,746	8,670	7,195	1,474	20%	3,551	46%
		E(BASE) =20,000	20,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
\cap	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	1,552	1,145	1,047	86	%6	505	48%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	2,846	2,507	2,377	131	2%	469	20%
	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	22,118	19,286	16,572	2,714	16%	5,546	33%
		E(BASE) = 30,000	30,000					
		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	:			
_	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	3,086	1,088	1,047	41	4%	2,039	195%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	6,106	5,412	5,182	230	4%	924	18%
	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	39,494	34,581	31,564	3,017	10%	7,930	25%
			A	AVERAGE =	636	15%	1,761	22%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE TABLE E.17

		E(BASE) =	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	100 PSI TO PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI		102	ISc	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 34	34		22	18	4	%77	16	87%
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 615	615		378	307	71	23%	308	100%
10,000 PSI 12,075			7,330	5,927	1,403	24%	6,148	104%
	E(BASE) =5,00	:5,00	DSI 0					
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI		4 0/	SI	100 PSI				
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 137	137		111	95	17	18%	42	44%
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 1,371			1,006	837	169	20%	534	64%
10,000 PSI 18,918			12,636	10,355	2,280	22%	8,563	83%
E(BASE) = 10,000 PS	E(BASE) = 10,00	- 10,00	Sd 0					
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PS		70 PS	15	100 PSI				
OADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 439	439		391	343	48	14%	96	28%
5,000 PSI 3,063			2,495	2,119	376	18%	943	45%
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 30,630 22,473	.,	.,	173	1	3,699	20%	11,857	63%
E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	E(BASE) = 20,000	20,000	PS					
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI		IS d 02		100 PSI				
2,500 PSI 1,958			1,762	1,688	74	4%	269	16%
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 9,817 8,			8,754	7,682	1,072	14%	2,135	28%
10,000 PSI 68,484			55,847	47,417	8,430	18%	21,067	44%
E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	E(BASE) = 30,00	- 30,00	Sd 0					
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI		Sd 0/		100 PSI				
OADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 5,319 4,			4,771	4,613	158	3%	902	15%
5,000 PSI 22,744			20,362	18,918	1,444	%8	3,826	20%
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 129,722 11			112,332	96,635	15,697	16%	33,088	34%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	2,330	16%	5,973	25%
O.HO * IJ LH * O.LO O * - (10 * 0/ L		Ę		9				

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGFATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE. TABLE E.18

		E(BASE) =	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
_	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9	39	32	9	19%	30	91%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,010	929	545	111	20%	465	85%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	19,738	12,680	10,495	2,185	21%	9,243	88%
		E(BASE) =	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
_	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	249	197	171	27	16%	62	46%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,495	1,762	1,502	260	17%	993	%99
i	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	33,102	22,030	18,489	3,542	19%	14,614	%62
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	803	902	622	83	13%	180	29%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,588	4,412	3,817	594	16%	1,771	46%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	55,902	39,458	33,538	5,920	18%	22,364	%29
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PS	1				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 07	100 PSI				
LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2$	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,590	3,292	3,086	206	4%	504	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	18,071	15,792	13,955	1,837	13%	4,115	29%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	124,937	98,744	85,486	13,258	16%	39,450	46%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	10 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,561	8,926	8,670	256	3%	891	10%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	41,730	38,061	34,430	3,632	11%	7,300	21%
FAILURE	FAILURE $E(SG) = 10,000 PS$	236,982	199,781	174,886	24,895	14%	62,096	36%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	3,787	15%	10,940	20%

Appendix F: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure on Aggregate Roads

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.1

		_	_					_	_				_	_			
PERCENT INCREASE		-20%	-12%	-16%	%0	2%	%9-	40%	18%	3%	%69	38%	18%	%2.2	52%	79%	34%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	-1	4	-117	0	2	-49	2	11	28	6	45	246	20	103	571	115
PERCENT		-20%	%6-	%6-	%0	%0	-5%	20%	%9	%0	31%	15%	%2	31%	21%	11%	14%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	-1-	ဇှ	-68	0	0	-38	-	4	0	4	18	06	8	41	221	43
IO PSI	3,250	-	29	614	3	44	749	2	73	978	22	163	1,629	46	300	2,520	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POLINDS)	3,750	-	30	663	3	42	260	9	99	950	17	136	1,473	34	238	2,170	A\
TIRE PRE	4,250	2	33	731	3	42	798	5	62	950	13	118	1,383	26	197	1,949	
REGATE	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
4-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PS	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE F.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

4250 LBS PERCENT		3250 LBS	0 N/A	1 50%	7 15%	1 N/A	2 50%	15 23%	1 100%	4 50%	31 33%	3 100%		82 46%	8 114%	31 74%	_
PERCENT 4250 LBS	INCREASE		A/A	%0	4%	N/A	25%	8%	%0	13%	13%	33%	24%	18%	43%	29%	21%
4250 LBS	2	3750 LBS	0	0	2	0	-	5	0	_	12	_	22	32	က	12	64
RE = 70 PSI	(POUNDS)	3,250	0	2	50 55	0	5	20 80	1 2	9 12	106 125	4	26 34	210 260	10 15	54 73	364 468
TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	4,250 3,750	0	2	48	0	4	65	1	œ	94	3	21	178		42	300
REGATE	OAD	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10 000
4-INCH AGGREGATE	SURFACED ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30.000

TABLE F.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

		_	_		_								_	-	_		_
4250 LBS PERCENT TO INCREASE		Α/N	%0	33%	ΑΝ	100%	44%	ΑΝ	%29	21%	200%	88%	%69	100%	94%	77%	83%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	0	0	4	0	τ-	∞	-	7	16	2	7	42	4	11	88	20
PERCENT INCREASE		N/A	%0	17%	N/A	100%	17%	A/A	%0	21%	100%	38%	26%	25%	39%	79%	31%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	0	0	2	0	_	3	0	0	9	-	ന	16		7	32	7
10 PSI DS)	3,250	0	-	16	0	7	26	1	22	44	3	15	103	8	35	198	AVERAGE
RE PRESSURE = 100 F TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	0	_	14	0	7	21	0	က	34	2	7	77	5	52	144	AV
TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	4,250	0	~	12	0	-	18	0	က	28	1	∞	61	4	92	112	
REGATE ROAD	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
4-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE F.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

μШ		Г			Г			T			Γ			Г			Г
PERCENT INCREASE		100%	80%	%9 2	113%	%26	%98	128%	109%	%96	141%	125%	109%	156%	135%	117%	111%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	4	59	1,138	17	156	1,968	55	370	3,476	237	1,213	8,265	635	2,792	15,917	2,420
PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT INCREASE TO INCREASE		25%	30%	28%	4 0%	36%	32%	47%	40%	36%	%09	45%	40%	%95	48%	43%	40%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	-	22	424	9	58	733	20	135	1,286	84	437	3,016	229	966	5,798	883
10 PSI DS)	3,250	8	133	2,627	32	317	4,244	86	200	7,085	405	2,186	15,851	1,041	4,867	29,479	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	2	96	1,913	21	219	3,009	63	474	4,895	252	1,410	10,602	635	3,071	19,360	A\
TIRE PRE TIRE LO	4,250	4	74	1,489	15	161	2,276	43	339	3,609	168	973	7,586	406	2,075	13,562	
EGATE OAD	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
8-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE F.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

8-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PS	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACED ROAD	OAD	TIRE LC	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	2	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	-	2	3		100%	2	200%
1,000	2,000	23	33	51	10	43%	28	122%
1,000	10,000	447	637	976	190	43%	529	118%
5,000	2,500	9	6	15	3	20%	6	150%
5,000	5,000	59	88	137	27	46%	78	132%
5,000	10,000	757	1,097	1,711	340	45%	954	126%
10,000	2,500	20	31	25	11	25%	32	160%
10,000	5,000	139	209	337	20	20%	198	142%
10,000	10,000	1,317	1,935	3,063	618	47%	1,746	133%
20,000	2,500	06	141	239	51	%29	149	166%
20,000	5,000	458	702	1,159	244	23%	701	153%
20,000	10,000	3,118	4,678	7,539	1,560	20%	4,421	142%
30,000	2,500	239	380	059	141	29%	411	172%
30,000	5,000	1,056	1,640	2,747	584	25%	1,691	160%
30,000	10,000	6,016	9,131	14,948	3,115	52%	8,932	148%
			Α	AVERAGE	464	54%	1,325	148%

TABLE F.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

PERCENT NCREASE		100%	136%	143%	175%	154%	148%	179%	162%	154%	179%	170%	162%	185%	175%	167%	159%
50 LBS PE TO INC	3250 LBS	-	19	373		. 22	. 683	-		1,279		548	3,347	340	.345	6,876	1 011
PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT INCREASE	32	%0	43%	20%	%09	54%	52%	64%	21%		61%	28%	95%	%89	59%	57%	52%
PE						•••											
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	0	ၑ	130	2	20	237	6	52	442	41	187	1,151	115	457	2,355	347
		2	33	633	11	94	43	39	#1	10	187	870	12	524	14	35	jE
100 PSI JNDS)	3,250			6,		J ,	1,143		241	2,110			5,412		2,114	11,005	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	1	20	390	9	57	697	23	144	1,273	108	509	3,216	299	1,226	6,484	
TIRE PRE TIRE LO	4,250	-	14	260	4	37	460	14	92	831	29	322	2,065	184	769	4,129	
EGATE OAD	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
8-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.7

12-INCH AGGREGATE	TIRE PRI	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PS	40 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT 4250 LBS	4250 LBS	PERCENT
URFACED ROAD	TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQN	ნ	INCREASE	<u>م</u>	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
2,500	19	33	56	14	74%	37	195%
5,000	353	591	973	238	67%	620	176%
10,000	7,085	11,624	19,064	4,539	64%	11,979	169%
2,500	9/	128	234	52	%89	158	208%
5,000	592	1,303	2,377	711	120%	1,785	302%
10,000	10,783	18,071	31,700	7,288	%89	20,917	194%
2,500	241	407	745	166	%69	504	209%
5,000	1,707	2,874	5,243	1,167	%89	3,536	207%
10,000	17,400	29,231	53,172	11,831	%89	35,772	206%
2,500	1,075	1,819	3,344	744	%69	2,269	211%
5,000	5,396	9,102	16,696	3,706	%69	11,300	209%
10,000	38,233	64,271	117,340	26,038	%89	79,107	207%
2,500	2,956	5,007	9,221	2,051	%69	6,265	212%
5,000	12,460	21,010	38,613	8,550	%69	26,153	210%
10,000	71,690	120,715	220,751	49,025	68%	149,061	208%
		⋖	AVERAGE	7,741	72%	23,298	208%

TABLE F.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

12-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACED ROAD	SOAD	TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	2	INCREASE	ဥ	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	13	21	37	ထ	62%	24	185%
1,000	5,000	228	366	634	138	61%	406	178%
1,000	10,000	4,436	7,107	12,287	2,671	%09	7,851	177%
2,000	2,500	64	105	186	41	64%	122	191%
5,000	5,000	592	959	1,685	367	62%	1,093	185%
5,000	10,000	7,539	12,159	21,176	4,620	61%	13,637	181%
10,000	2,500	211	363	959	152	72%	445	211%
10,000	2,000	1,438	2,354	4,163	916	64%	2,725	189%
10,000	10,000	13,229	21,513	37,789	8,284	63%	24,560	186%
20,000	2,500	954	1,640	3,063	989	72%	2,109	221%
20,000	5,000	4,718	8,132	14,678	3,414	72%	9,960	211%
20,000	10,000	32,252	52,635	93,343	20,383	63%	61,091	189%
30,000	2,500	2,640	4,549	8,504	1,909	72%	5,864	222%
30,000	2,000	10,967	18,918	35,195	7,951	72%	24,228	221%
30,000	10,000	62,456	104,945	186,708	42,489	68%	124,252	199%
			A	AVERAGE	6,269	%99	18,558	196%

TABLE F.9 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

SURFACED ROAD	12-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	TIRE PRE TIRE LC	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	100 PSI VDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT NCREASE TO INCREASE	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	G) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	11	18	31	7	64%	20	182%
	5,000	181	297	528	116	64%	347	192%
Ì	10,000	3,506	5,738	10,183	2,232	64%	6,677	190%
5,000	2,500	54	83	161	35	65 %	107	198%
	5,000	484	801	1,438	317	65%	954	197%
5,000 10	000'01	6,070	9,981	17,866	3,911	64%	11,796	194%
	2,500	190	319	580	129	%89	390	205%
	2,000	1,201	2,004	3,609	803	%29	2,408	200%
	000'01	10,819	17,934	32,113	7,115	%99	21,294	197%
20,000	2,500	912	1,572	2,874	099	72%	1,962	215%
20,000 5	5,000	4,268	7,151	12,997	2,883	%89	8,729	205%
20,000 10	10,000	26,880	44,805	80,824	17,925	%29	53,944	201%
30,000	2,500	2,532	4,387	8,262	1,855	73%	5,730	226%
30,000	2,000	10,425	17,531	31,975	7,106	%89	21,550	207%
30,000	0,000	54,081	90,432	163,763	36,351	67%	109,682	203%
			Ą	AVERAGE	5,430	%29	16,373	201%

TABLE F.10 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

4-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACE ROAD	REGATE DAD	TIRE PR TIRE L	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)		4250 LBS TO	4250 LBS PERCENT 4250 LBS TO INCREASE TO	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	_	-	1	0	%0	0	%0
1,000	5,000	15	31	29	16	107%	14	93%
1,000	10,000	330	299	617	337	102%	287	87%
5,000	2,500	3	3	3	0	%0	0	%0
5,000	5,000	43	43	44	0	%0	_	2%
5,000	10,000	342	166	749	424	124%	407	119%
10,000	2,500	9	9	7	1	%07	2	40%
10,000	5,000	62	29	73	2	%8	7	18%
10,000	10,000	959	957	984	-2	%0	25	3%
20,000	2,500	4	17	22	13	325%	18	450%
20,000	5,000	41	138	165	97	237%	124	302%
20,000	10,000	529	1,489	1,644	960	181%	1,115	211%
30,000	2,500	4	34	47	27	%98 E	40	571%
30,000	5,000	200	241	304	41	21%	104	25%
30,000	10,000	1,971	2,196	2,544	225	11%	573	29%
			AV	AVERAGE =	143	101%	181	132%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.11

4-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	JAD	TIRE LO	FIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	<u>ნ</u>	INCREASE	ဥ	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750 3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	0	0	0 0	%0	0	% 0
1,000	5,000	-	2	1	100%	7	200%
1,000	10,000	28	51	55 23	82%	27	%96
5,000	2,500	0	0	1 0	%0	1	%0
5,000	5,000	4	သ	6 1	25%	2	20%
5,000	10,000	35	71 8	81 36	103%	46	131%
10,000	2,500	1	-	2 0	%0	1	100%
10,000	5,000	ω	6	12 1	13%	4	20%
10,000	10,000	95	106 12	125 11	12%	30	32%
20,000	2,500	-	4	6 3	%00E	2	200%
20,000	5,000	თ	36	34 17	189%	25	278%
20,000	10,000	84	211 262	127	151%	178	212%
30,000	2,500	3	10	19 7	233%	16	533%
30,000	5,000	42	54 7	12	29%	32	%9/
30,000	10,000	302	367 472		22%	170	26%
			AVERAGE =	= 20	84%	36	154%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. **TABLE F.12**

4-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRES	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT 4250 LBS	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	JAD	TIRE LO	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	<u>ნ</u>	INCREASE	₽ P	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750 3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	0	0	0 0	%0	0	%0
1,000	5,000	0	_	-	%0	_	%0
1,000	10,000	8	14 17	7 6	75%	6	113%
5,000	2,500	0	0	0 0	%0	0	%0
5,000	5,000	_	7	1	100%	_	100%
5,000	10,000	11	21 26	5 10	91%	15	136%
10,000	2,500	0	0	0	%0	1	%0
10,000	5,000	က	m	0	%0	7	%29
10,000	10,000	29	35 44	4 6	21%	15	52%
20,000	2,500	1	2	3 1	100%	7	200%
20,000	5,000	4	11 15	2	175%	7	275%
20,000	10,000	32	77 103	3 45	141%	71	222%
30,000	2,500	1	5	8 4	400 %	2	%002
30,000	5,000	18	25 35	5 7	39%	17	94%
30,000	10,000	113	145 199	9 32	28%	86	%9/
			AVERAGE =	8 =	%82	16	136%

TABLE F.13 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

8-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACE ROAD	REGATE DAD	TIRE PRI TIRE L(TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	40 PSI (DS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT 4250 LBS INCREASE TO	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	3	9	8	3	100%	5	167%
1,000	5,000	47	86	136	51	109%	68	189%
1,000	10,000	296	1,953	2,680	986	102%	1,713	177%
5,000	2,500	8	22	33	14	175%	25	313%
5,000	5,000	92	224	324	132	143%	232	252%
5,000	10,000	1,380	3,071	4,339	1,691	123%	2,959	214%
10,000	2,500	21	99	102	45	214%	81	386%
10,000	5,000	179	488	729	309	173%	550	307%
10,000	10,000	2,070	5,022	7,262	2,952	143%	5,192	251%
20,000	2,500	70	267	429	197	281%	359	513%
20,000	5,000	466	1,469	2,276	1,003	215%	1,810	388%
20,000	10,000	4,018	10,930	16,327	6,912	172%	12,309	306%
30,000	2,500	151	681	1,115	530	351%	964	638%
30,000	5,000	928	3,233	5,109	2,305	248%	4,181	451%
30,000	10,000	6,766	20,047	30,500	13,281	196%	23,734	351%
			AVE	AVERAGE =	2,027	183%	3,614	327%

TABLE F.14 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

4250 LBS PERCENT	TO INCREASE	3250 LBS	2 200%	35 219%		11 275%	102 276%	1,239 248%			43 391% 265 331%							
PERCENT 425	NCREASE	326	100%		105%	150%			L			200% 168% 142%						
4250 LBS P	10	3750 LBS	-	17	332	9	51	616		22	22 13 4	22 13 4 1,158						
'0 PSI	DS)	3,250	3	51	992	15	139	1,738		54	54 345	54 345 3,126	54 345 3,126 251	54 345 3,126 251 1,201	54 3,126 251 1,201 7,730	54 3,126 251 1,201 7,730	54 3,126 251 1,201 7,730 691 2,867	54 3,126 251 1,201 7,730 691 2,867 15,391
IIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	2	33	648	10	88	1,115		33	33 214	33 214 1,976	33 214 1,976 149	33 214 1,976 149 727	33 214 1,976 149 727 4,798	33 214 1,976 149 727 4,798	33 214 1,976 149 727 4,798 405	33 214 1,976 149 727 4,798 405 1,715 9,436
TIRE PRE	TIRE LC	4,250	1	16	316	4	37	499		11	11	11 80 818	11 80 818 41	11 80 818 41 236	11 80 818 41 236 1,782	11 80 818 41 236 1,782	11 80 818 41 41 782 1,782 100	11 80 818 41 236 1,782 100 507 3,241
REGATE	AD	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000		2,500	2,500 5,000	2,500 5,000 10,000	2,500 5,000 10,000 2,500	2,500 5,000 10,000 2,500 5,000	2,500 5,000 10,000 2,500 5,000	2,500 5,000 10,000 2,500 5,000 10,000	2,500 5,000 10,000 2,500 5,000 10,000 2,500 5,000	2,500 5,000 10,000 2,500 5,000 10,000 5,000 5,000
8-INCH AGGREGATE	SURFACE ROAD	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000		10,000	10,000 10,000	10,000 10,000 10,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 20,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 20,000 20,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 20,000 20,000	10,000 10,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 30,000	10,000 10,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 30,000	10,000 10,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 30,000 30,000

TABLE F.15 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

8-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	JAD	TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	2	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	*	-	2	0	%0	1	100%
1,000	5,000	10	21	34	11	110%	24	240%
1,000	10,000	191	396	641	205	107%	450	236%
5,000	2,500	2	7	11	5	250%	6	450%
5,000	2,000	24	58	96	34	142%	72	300%
5,000	10,000	314	208	1,159	394	125%	845	269%
10,000	2,500	8	24	40	16	200%	32	400%
10,000	5,000	55	147	247	92	167%	192	349%
10,000	10,000	533	1,295	2,145	762	143%	1,612	302%
20,000	2,500	32	113	197	81	253%	165	516%
20,000	5,000	171	526	897	355	208%	726	425%
20,000	10,000	1,221	3,292	5,523	2,071	170%	4,302	352%
30,000	2,500	80	317	256	237	736%	476	295%
30,000	5,000	381	1,279	2,207	868	236%	1,826	479%
30,000	10,000	2,298	6,664	11,309	4,366	190%	9,011	392%
			AVE	AVERAGE =	635	173%	1,316	360%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.16

12-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI		4250 LBS	4250 LBS PERCENT 4250 LBS	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	AD	TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	၀	INCREASE	욘	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	13	34	62	21	162%	49	377%
1,000	5,000	235	615	1,010	380	162%	775	330%
1,000	10,000	4,798	12,075	19,738	7,277	152%	14,940	311%
5,000	2,500	44	137	249	93	211%	205	466%
5,000	5,000	479	1,371	2,495	892	186%	2,016	421%
5,000	10,000	6,955	18,918	33,102	11,963	172%	26,147	376%
10,000	2,500	127	439	803	312	246%	929	532%
10,000	5,000	988	3,063	5,588	2,075	210%	4,600	466%
10,000	10,000	10,746	30,630	55,902	19,884	185%	45,156	420%
20,000	2,500	1,552	1,958	3,590	406	%9Z	2,038	131%
20,000	5,000	2,846	9,817	18,071	6,971	245%	15,225	235%
20,000	10,000	22,118	68,484	124,937	46,366	210%	102,819	465%
30,000	2,500	1,206	5,258	9,688	4,052	336%	8,482	703%
30,000	5,000	6,106	22,744	41,730	16,638	272%	35,624	583%
30,000	10,000	39,494	129,722	236,982	90,228	228%	197,488	500%
			AVI	AVERAGE =	13,837	200%	30,416	441%

TABLE F.17 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

12-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRI	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI		4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	OAD	TIRE L	FIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	ဥ	INCREASE	ဥ	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	6	22	39	13	144%	30	333%
1,000	5,000	164	378	656	214	130%	492	300%
1,000	10,000	3,250	7,330	12,680	4,080	126%	9,430	290%
5,000	2,500	38	111	197	73	192%	159	418%
5,000	5,000	387	1,006	1,762	619	160%	1,375	355%
5,000	10,000	5,197	12,636	22,030	7,439	143%	16,833	324%
10,000	2,500	112	391	90/	279	249%	594	530%
10,000	5,000	862	2,495	4,412	1,633	189%	3,550	412%
10,000	10,000	8,670	22,473	39,458	13,803	159%	30,788	355%
20,000	2,500	1,145	1,762	3,292	617	54%	2,147	188%
20,000	5,000	2,507	8,754	15,792	6,247	249%	13,285	530%
20,000	10,000	19,286	55,847	98,744	36,561	190%	79,458	412%
30,000	2,500	1,088	4,771	8,926	3,683	339%	7,838	720%
30,000	5,000	5,412	20,362	38,061	14,950	276%	32,649	603%
30,000	10,000	34,581	112,332	199,781	77,751	225%	165,200	478%
			AVI	AVERAGE =	11,197	188%	24,255	417%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.18

SATE TIF	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PS	SSURE = 100 PS	100 PS		4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	JAD	TIRE L	LIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	၀	INCREASE	o D	INCREASE
E) PSI	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
000'ı	2,500	8	18	32	10	125%	24	300%
000,	5,000	132	307	545	175	133%	413	313%
,000	10,000	2,601	5,927	10,495	3,326	128%	7,894	303%
5,000	2,500	33	95	171	62	188%	138	418%
5,000	5,000	321	837	1,502	516	161%	1,181	368%
5,000	10,000	4,244	10,355	18,489	6,111	144%	14,245	336%
10,000	2,500	106	343	622	237	224%	516	487%
10,000	5,000	740	2,119	3,817	1,379	186%	3,077	416%
10,000	10,000	7,195	18,774	33,538	11,579	161%	26,343	366%
20,000	2,500	422	1,688	3,086	1,266	300%	2,664	631%
20,000	5,000	2,377	7,682	13,955	5,305	223%	11,578	487%
20,000	10,000	16,572	47,417	85,486	30,845	186%	68,914	416%
30,000	2,500	1,047	4,613	8,670	3,566	341%	7,623	728%
30,000	5,000	5,182	18,918	34,430	13,736	265%	29,248	564%
30,000	10,000	31,564	96,635	174,886	65,071	206%	143,322	454%
			AVI	AVERAGE =	9,546	198%	21,145	439%

Appendix G: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Aggregate Roads

SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD TABLE G.1

4250 LBS	PERCENT	FROM 4250 LBS PERCENT FROM 100 PSI	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
ဥ	INCREASE	2	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
3250 LBS		40 PSI				
	N/A	2	N/A	1	%0	0.50
	%0	32	3200%	28	2800%	0.88
	33%	719	2865%	602	5017%	0.83
	N/A	3	N/A	3	%0	1.00
	100%	41	4100%	43	4300%	1.02
	44%	780	4333%	731	4061%	0.93
	N/A	2	N/A	7	%0	1.17
	%29	59	1967%	20	2333%	1.15
16	21%	922	3293%	950	3393%	1.01
2	200%	12	1200%	21	2100%	1.50
	%88	110	1375%	155	1938%	1.32
42	%69	1,322	2167%	1,568	2570%	1.15
	100%	22	220%	42	1050%	1.62
_	94%	179	994%	282	1567%	1.44
86	77%	1,837	1640%	2,408	2150%	1.25
18	83%	447	1465%	550	1710%	1.16
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

NOTE: N/A REPRESENTS PERCTANGE INCREASES THAT CAN NOT BE CALCULATED DUE TO THE REFERENCE LOADS TO FAILURE BEING ZERO (0)

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. TABLE G.2

PERCENT FROM 100 PSI
NCREASE TO
40 PSI
100% 3
136% 60
143% 1,229
115% 11
154% 124
148% 1,816
179% 29
162% 247
154% 2,778
101 101
170% 651
162% 5,521
185% 222
175% 1,306
167% 9,433
149% 1,471
AVERAGE AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. TABLE G.3

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND TIRE PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. TABLE G.4

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI TO	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
0	N/A		N/A	1	N/A	1.00
•	ΑΝ	15	A/N	29	A/N	1.81
6	113%	322	4025%	609	7613%	1.84
0	A/N	3	N/A	3	N/A	1.00
-	100%	42	4200%	43	4300%	1.00
15	136%	331	3009%	738	%6029	2.13
1	N/A	2	A/A	7	N/A	1.17
2	%29	29	1967%	20	2333%	1.15
15	52%	930	3207%	955	3293%	1.01
2	200%	3	300%	21	2100%	4.20
	275%	37	925%	161	4025%	3.35
71	222%	497	1553%	1,612	5038%	2.84
7	%002	9	%009	46	4600%	3.54
17	94%	182	1011%	286	1589%	1.44
86	%92	1,858	1644%	2,431	2151%	1.25
16	185%	286	2040%	467	3977%	1.92
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

NOTE: N/A REPRESENTS PERCENTAGE INCREASES THAT CAN NOT BE CALCULATED DUE TO THE REFERENCE LOADS TO FAILURE BEING ZERO (0).

TABLE G.5 PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND TIRE PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED.

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI TO	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
	100%	2	200%	7	%00 <i>L</i>	2.33
24	240%	37	370%	126	1260%	2.07
450	236%	276	406%	2,489	1303%	2.03
6	450%	9	300%	31	1550%	2.07
72	300%	89	283%	300	1250%	2.14
845	269%	1,066	339%	4,025	1282%	2.11
32	400%	13	163%	94	1175%	2.09
192	349%	124	225%	674	1225%	2.13
1,612	302%	1,537	288%	6,729	1262%	2.14
165	516%	38	119%	397	1241%	1.96
726	425%	295	173%	2,105	1231%	2.06
4,302	352%	2,797	229%	15,106	1237%	2.13
476	295%	14	%68	1,035	1294%	1.89
1,826	479%	547	144%	4,728	1241%	1.99
9,011	392%	4,468	194%	28,202	1227%	2.09
1,316	360%	062	235%	4,403	1232%	2.08
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE G.6 PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND TIRE PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED.

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI TO	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
24	300%	5	%89	54	675%	1.86
413	313%	103	78%	878	665%	1.70
7,894	303%	2,197	84%	17,137	%659	1.70
138	418%	11	33%	216	655%	1.45
1,181	368%	158	49%	2,174	%219	1.62
14,245	336%	2,711	64%	28,858	%089	1.70
516	487%	21	20%	269	658 %	1.30
3,077	416%	248	34%	4,848	655%	1.46
26,343	366%	3,551	46%	48,707	%219	1.63
2,664	631%	1,130	%89Z	3,168	751%	0.84
11,578	487%	469	20%	15,694	%099	1.30
68,914	416%	5,546	33%	108,365	654%	1.46
7,623	728%	159	15%	8,641	825%	1.11
29,248	564%	924	18%	36,548	705%	1.21
143,322	454%	7,930	25%	205,418	651%	1.36
21,145	439%	1,678	%19	32,094	%889	1.45
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

Appendix H: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Fatigue and Rutting Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads

TABLE H.1 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	692	154	69	85	123%	623	904%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	856	155	77	77	100%	779	1005%
l	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	972	193	83	110	133%	889	1072%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	13	10	3	28%	13	129%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	420	227	174	53	30%	246	141%
.	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,754	4,613	3,506	1,107	32%	5,248	150%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	30,845	3,484	1,078	2,406	223%	29,767	2761%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	38,061	3,942	1,180	2,762	234%	36,881	3125%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,752	4,326	1,264	3,062	242%	43,488	3440%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	32	16	12	4	35%	20	167%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313	144	103	41	40%	210	203%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,233	1,815	1,257	557	44%	2,975	237%
			=10,000 PSI				., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	621,880	34,768		27,069	352%	614,181	7977%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	535,049	33,030		25,568	343%	527,587	7070%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	491,354	32,263	7,367	24,896	338%	483,988	6570%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86	45		11	35%	53	159%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	578	268		78	41%	387	203%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,572	2,343	1,597	746	47%	3,975	249%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,802,191,509	, ,	•	1,038,275	864%	1,802,071,284	1498920%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	98,836,992	724,249		630,194	670%	98,742,937	104984%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,260,328	534,542	79,604	454,938	572%	19,180,724	24095%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	331	182	138	44	32%	193	140%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,644	804	581	224	39%	1,063	183%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	11,194	4,867	3,344	1,523	46%	7,850	235%
			=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION			46,068,502	3996%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION			9,546,215	1468%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,700,170,360	4,498,637	443,348	4,055,289	915%	2,699,727,012	608940%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	804	462	356	106	30%	448	126%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,496	1,790	1,317	473	36%	2,179	165%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,969	9,072	6,311	2,761	44%	13,659	216%
			RUTTING AV		515	37%	2,568	180%
			FATIGUE A	/ERAGE =	4,125,297	705%	355,496,934	174682%

TABLE H.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

Γ		E(BAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	586	137	59	78	133%	527	899%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759	160	67	94	141%	693	1041%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	888	176	72	104	146%	816	1139%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14	7	6	2	33%	9	154%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272	136	101	35	35%	171	169%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,721	2,782	2,041	741	36%	3,680	180%
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23,213	3,646	1,058	2,588	245%	22,155	2094%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	29,438	4,196	1,171	3,024	258%	28,266	2413%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,305	4,665	1,266	3,399	269%	34,039	2689%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	21	10	7	. 3	40%	14	198%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	212	90	61	28	46%	151	246%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,948	1,148	757	390	52%	2,191	289%
)=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	389,313			34,179	400%	380,774	4459%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	333,500	40,033	•	31,787	385%	325,254	3944%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	305,300	38,798	8,116	30,682	378%	297,184	3662%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56	27	19	8	39%	36	187%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	390	167	114	53	47%	276	243%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,890	1,489)=20,000 PSI	967	522	54%	2,923	302%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	18,942,617,591	2,302,877	172,210			18,942,445,381	10999627%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	54,772,697	1,204,098		1,077,968	855%	54,646,567	43326%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,364,252	805,827	102,279	703,548	688%	10,261,973	10033%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	210	109	80	29	36%	130	162%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS!	1,084	496	345	151	44%	739	214%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	14,056	3,071)=30,000 PSI	2,018	1,053	52%	12,038	597%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION		2,407,994	526.298.554	21856%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	29,889,260		28,802,729	2651%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
I AILONE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,837,815,543	8,817,011	655,756	8,161,255	1245%	2,837,159,787	432655%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	503	275	206	68	33%	2,657,159,767	144%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,271	1,095	776	319	41%	1,495	193%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	5,671	3,797	1.874	49%	9.717	256%
	12(20) 10,000101	10,014		AVERAGE =	352	43%	2,258	236%
				AVERAGE =	37,818,710	2059%	1,680,431,032	885229%
			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3, 10, 01, 10	2000 /0	.,555,.5.,502	55522070

TABLE H.3
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR AN 1 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

[E(B/	ASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	443	126	52	74	144%	391	760%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	577	149	59	90	152%	518	876%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	676	165	64	101	158%	612	955%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10	5	4	1	37%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190	88	63	25	40%	126	199%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,018	1,815	1,284	531	41%	2,734	213%
		E(BA	ASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,864	3,884	1,061	2,822	266%	15,803	1489%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,326	4,552	1,187	3,365	284%	20,139	1697%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,496	5,134	1,297	3,837	296%	24,199	1866%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5	2	45%	10	231%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS!	154	60	40	21	52%	115	290%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,201	782	493	289	59%	1,709	347%
		E(BAS	SE)=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	271,785	53,137	9,663	43,474	450%	262,121	2713%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	231,494	48,990	9,243	39,748	430%	222,251	2405%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,678	47,064	9,066	37,998	419%	201,612	2224%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	39	18	12	5	44%	26	215%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	281	112	73	39	53%	208	283%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,904	1,018	633	386	61%	2,271	359%
			SE)=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,608,047,422	5,187,648	255,847	4,931,801	1928%	5,607,791,574	2191851%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	31,897,922	2,100,872	172,674	1,928,198	1117%	31,725,248	18373%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,392,644	1,239,842	133,326	1,106,516	830%	6,259,318	4695%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	143	71	51	20	40%	92	183%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	766	329	221	108	49%	545	247%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,654	2,090	1,306	784	60%	4,348	333%
			SE)=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	N/A	N/A	5,868,570	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	N/A	125,848,909	1,916,002		6468%	N/A	N/A
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,034,832,653	18,779,876	989,131	17,790,745	1799%	1,033,843,523	104520%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	337	177	129	48	37%	208	161%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,576	720	494	226	46%	1,082	219%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,784	3,828	2,465	1,363	55%	7,320	297%
				AVERAGE =	256	48%	1,387	250%
			FATIGUE A	AVERAGE =	10,701,548	1053%	513,874,408	179571%

TABLE H.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

<u></u>		E(BASI)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,818	884	551	333	60%	1,267	230%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,432	1,098	666	432	65%	1,765	265%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,922	1,256	749	508	68%	2,173	290%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	178	156	149	7	5%	29	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,292	2,882	2,734	148	5%	558	20%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,730	59,775	56,729	3,045	5%	12,001	21%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,259	4,718	2,519	2,199	87%	11,740	466%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,861	6,194	3,161	3,033	96%	17,700	560%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,070	7,679	3,778	3,901	103%	24,291	643%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	153		94	17	18%	58	62%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,562	1,024	852	173	20%	710	83%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,428	13,370	10,930	2,440	22%	10,498	96%
		/	=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835	15,900		8,379	111%	52,314	696%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	80,800	19,586		10,692	120%	71,906	808%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,064	23,582	10,313	13,269	129%	95,751	928%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	304	206	174	32	19%	130	75%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,051	1,298	1,062	236	22%	989	93%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892	11,786	9,374	2,412	26%	10,518	112%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	526,016		36,350	58,127	160%	489,665	1347%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	589,674	101,520	38,435	63,085	164%	551,239	1434%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	669,164	110,034	40,873	69,161	169%	628,291	1537%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	924	625	524	. 101	19%	400	76%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,511	2,824	2,292	532	23%	2,219	97%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,370	17,531	13,757	3,775	27%	16,613	121%
			=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,638,621	403,029	125,745	277,284	221%	3,512,876	2794%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,205,962	377,807	120,225	257,583	214%	3,085,737	2567%
DUITTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,971,580	363,964	117,092	246,872	211%	2,854,488	2438%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,075	1,410	1,186	224	19%	889	75%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,726	5,491	4,461	1,030	23%	4,265	96%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	48,527	28,025	21,943	6,082	28%	26,584	121%
		**************************************		AVERAGE = AVERAGE =	1,350	19% 132%	5,764	78% 1134%
			FATIGUE /	AVERAGE =	67,657	132%	760,080	1134%

TABLE H.5
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI,
CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE
AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE	E)=1.000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,258	677	414	262	63%	844	204%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	1.690	852	506	346	68%	1,184	234%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,040	982	572	410	72%	1,468	257%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	98	84	80	5	6%	18	23%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,823	1,555	1,466	89	6%	357	24%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,130	32,392	30,370	2,022	7%	7,760	26%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,883	3,859	2,008	1,851	92%	7,875	392%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,002	5,181	2,566	2,615	102%	12,436	485%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,197	6,524	3,105	3,418	110%	18,092	583%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	63	53	10	20%	31	59%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	590	479	111	23%	429	89%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,182	7,779	6,198	1,581	26%	6,983	113%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,020	13,689	6,286	7,403	118%	36,734	584%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,659	17,198	7,540	9,658	128%	53,119	704%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,399	21,084	8,870	12,215	138%	74,529	840%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	174	118	97	21	21%	77	79%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	754	602	153	25%	653	109%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,460	6,934	5,365	1,569	29%	7,095	132%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	397,468	89,128	32,883	56,246	171%	364,586	1109%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	454,652	96,625		61,655	176%	419,683	1200%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	530,006	105,696	37,437	68,259	182%	492,569	1316%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	552	358	294	64	22%	258	88%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,761	1,644	1,300	343	26%	1,461	112%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,064	10,390	7,903	2,487	31%	11,161	141%
			=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,901,502	421,074		298,204	243%	2,778,632	2261%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,530,967	390,657	116,673	273,985	235%	2,414,294	2069%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,337,239	373,647	113,256	260,390	230%	2,223,983	1964%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,234	809	665	143	22%	569	85%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,319	3,200	2,532	668	26%	2,787	110%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,500	16,634	12,636	3,998	32%	17,864	141%
				AVERAGE =	884	21%	3,833	89%
			FATIGUE /	AVERAGE =	70,461	142%	593,335	947%

TABLE H.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	928	539	324	215	66%	604	186%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	686	400	286	72%	855	214%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,518	797	455	342	75%	1,063	234%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59	49	46	3	7%	12	27%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,095	913	852	62	7%	243	29%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,927	18,991	17,664	1,327	8%	5,263	30%
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,329	3,264	1,662	1,602	96%	5,667	341%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,248	4,472	2,154	2,318	108%	9,094	422%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	23,651	5,733	2,644	3,089	117%	21,007	794%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50	39	32	7	22%	18	56%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	540	368	292	76	26%	248	85%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,262	4,908	3,797	1,112	29%	4,465	118%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	31,939	12,114	5,429	6,685	123%	26,510	488%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,316	15,543	6,605	8,937	135%	38,711	586%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	62,630	19,390	7,883	11,507	146%	54,747	694%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	102	73	59	14	24%	43	74%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	795	474	369	105	29%	427	116%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,368	4,424	3,327	1,097	33%	5,042	152%
		E(BASE)	=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	295,734	86,014	30,527	55,487	182%	265,206	869%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	338,759	94,003	32,657	61,346	188%	306,103	937%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	394,384	103,819	35,202	6 8,617	195%	359,182	1020%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323	222	179	43	24%	145	81%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,819	1,035	800	235	29%	1,019	127%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,905	6,644	4,922	1,721	35%	7,983	162%
		E(BASE)	=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	2,174,992	448,954	123,019	325,935	265%	2,051,973	1668%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,886,842	411,202	115,979	295,223	255%	1,770,863	1527%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,735,216	390,657	112,059	278,599	249%	1,623,157	1448%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	725	500	404	96	24%	321	80%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,487	2,013	1,559	454	29%	1,929	124%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,602	10,638	7,878 AVERAGE =	2,760	35%	12,724	162%
		607	24%	2,659	95%			
				AVERAGE =	74,679	151%	435,649	762%

TABLE H.7
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,948	3,232	2,285	946	41%	2,663	117%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,179	3,892	2,700	1,192	44%	3,479	129%
l	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,107	4,374	2,997	1,377	46%	4,110	137%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,325	1,193	1,145	48	4%	180	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,295	19,969	19,064	905	5%	3,230	17%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,355	388,568	371,204	17,364	5%	65,150	18%
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,873	12,523	7,925	4,598	58%	16,948	214%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	33,753	15,797	9,718	6,079	63%	24,035	247%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	43,325	19,012	11,449	7,564	66%	31,876	278%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	801	717	688	30	4%	114	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,085	6,273		293	5%	1,104	18%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,679	79,508	75,554	3,953	5%	15,124	20%
			=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	81,320	33,273	19,417	13,857	71%	61,903	319%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	104,722	39,895	22,670	17,225	76%	82,052	362%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	132,418	46,957	26,040	20,917	80%	106,378	409%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,590	1,432	1,377	54	4%	213	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,784	8,726	8,342	384	5%	1,443	17%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	89,260	78,672	74,965	3,708	5%	14,296	19%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	449,358	134,577	68,784	65,793	96%	380,573	553%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	506,170	146,556	73,764	72,792	99%	432,406	586%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	573,829	160,069	79,350	80,719	102%	494,479	623%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,182	4,718	4,562	156	3%	621	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,019	20,764	20,047	717	4%	2,972	15%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	146,194	130,567	125,156	5,411	4%	21,038	17%
	- (0.0)		=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,682,180	396,094	183,552	212,542	116%	1,498,628	816%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,695,809	398,503	181,183	217,321	120%	1,514,626	836%
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,742,293	405,848	183,804	222,043	121%	1,558,489	848%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,202	11,232	10,856	376	3%	1,345	12%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	46,643	42,464	41,012	1,453	4%	5,631	14%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	243,815	219,874	200,822 AVERAGE =	19,052	9%	42,993	21%
					3,594	5%	11,697	17%
			rangue /	AVERAGE =	62,998	80%	414,176	432%

TABLE H.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,358	2,344	1,632	712	44%	1,726	106%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,214	2,843	1,943	900	46%	2,271	117%
•	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,859	3,212	2,169	1,043	48%	2,689	124%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	724	642	611	30	5%	113	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	12,202	10,746	10,217	529	5%	1,984	19%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	239,395	209,396	198,617	10,778	5%	40,778	21%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,067	9,529	5,915	3,614	61%	11,152	189%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,315	12,151	7,329	4,822	66%	15,986	218%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,083	14,811	8,702	6,109	70%	21,381	246%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	439	387	367	20	5%	71	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	3,900	3,388		180	6%	692	22%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	50,098	42,976	40,510	2,465	6%	9,588	24%
			=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,115	,		11,245	75%	41,161	275%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS1	72,664	31,811	17,624	, ,	80%	55,040	312%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	92,340	37,884	20,424	17,459	85%	71,916	352%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	868	770		35	5%	133	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,381	4,691	4,461	230	5%	920	21%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	49,223	42,464	40,144	2,321	6%	9,079	23%
			=20,000 PSI					15001
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	318,607	112,456		56,768	102%	262,919	472%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	364,271	123,318			105%	304,231	507%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	420,332	135,844	64,943	70,900	109%	355,389	547%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,817	2,532			4%	388	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	12,636				5%	1,962	18%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	80,312	70,263	66,862	3,402	5%	13,450	20%
- A - I O . I P	E(00) 0 500 BOL		=30,000 PSI	450 227	407.070	4300/	4 420 006	742%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,283,313			197,279	130% 130%	1,130,986 1,146,101	742% 748%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,299,319	•	153,218 155,627	198,729 203,466	130%	1,188,229	746% 764%
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,343,856			203,466	131%	1,166,229	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,623	5,998	•	t ·	4% 4%	3,620	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	25,476			7,723	7%	23,174	21%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	133,539	118,088	AVERAGE =	1,723	7 % 5%	7,119	19%
				AVERAGE = AVERAGE =	56,701	86%	307,412	
AVERAGE = 56,701 86% 307,412 38*								

TABLE H.9
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI,
CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE
AXLE LOAD OF 4250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	·	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	TOTAL		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,427	1,776	1,223	553	45%	1,204	98%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,057	2,173	1,466	707	48%	1,591	108%		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,535	2,463	1,640	823	50%	1,894	115%		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	429	374	354	20	6%	75	21%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,262	6,273	5,910	363	6%	1,352	23%		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	142,189	122,340	115,199	7,140	6%	26,990	23%		
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI				CREASE 40 PSI 45% 1,204 48% 1,591 50% 1,894 6% 75 6% 1,352			
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,485	7,501	4,603	2,898	63%	7,882	171%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,169		5,761	3,984	69%		198%		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,287	11,970	6,893	5,077		15,394	223%		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	261	225	213	12		6	23%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,331	1,980	•	119		_	25%		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,984	25,165	23,486	1,678	7%	6,498	28%		
_			=10,000 PSI							
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41,338	21,402		9,432			245%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	53,868			12,050			278%		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,819	31,683	16,669	15,014			313%		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515	449	426	23			21%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,200	2,741	2,582	158			24%		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,479	24,858	23,298	1,560	7%	6,181	27%		
	= (0.0)	,	=20,000 PSI							
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	237,200		46,787	50,274			407%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272,205	107,241	50,714	56,527		,	437%		
DUTTINO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	315,019	119,001	55,160	63,842			471%		
RUTTING FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	1,666	1,473	1,404	68			19%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10.000 PSI	7,492 47.889	6,504	6,180	324			21%		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI		41,012 =30.000 PSI	38,735	2,277	6%	9,154	24%		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	966.850		133,409	194 167	4200/	922 444	6050/		
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	979,023	317,576	133,409	184,167 185.659	138% 138%	833,441	625%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,013,252	319,901	134,242	185,659	138%	844,781 876,726	629%		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,900	326,742	3,335	190,216	139%	565	642% 17%		
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,057	13,229	12.636	593	4% 5%	2,421	17%		
1 / (IEO) (E	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	79,382	68,765	65.286	3,479	5% 5%	14,096	22%		
	RUTTING AVERAGE =					6%	4,675	22%		
		AVERAGE =	1,197 52,082	90%	225,814	331%				
			· ATIOUL /	110101	52,002	5070	220,014	33170		

TABLE H.10 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	1	F/RAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	695	155	69	86	124%		907%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	861	179	78	102	131%	783	1009%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	976		83	112	135%	893	1076%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23		10	3	28%	13	130%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	426		176	54	30%	250	142%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,839	4.652	3,543	1,109	31%	5,296	149%
	_(00) 10,000 10.		E)=5,000 PSI	0,040	1,100	0170	0,200	140%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	30,394	3,506	1,081	2,424	224%	29,312	2711%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	37,691	3,976	1,187	2,789	235%	36,503	3075%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,553	4,374	1,274	3,100	243%	43,278	3398%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	33	17	12	4	35%	21	169%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	319	147	105	42	40%	215	205%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,303	1,844	1,273	571	45%	3,030	238%
		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				7	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	562,850	34,199	7,619	26,579	349%	555,208	7287%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	539,129	33,044	7,462	25,580	343%	531,645	7125%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	476,226	32,577	7,424	25,153	339%	468,783	6315%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	90	46	34	12	35%	55	162%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	595	275	195	80	41%	400	205%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,688	2,382	1,626	757	47%	4,062	250%
		_(-: :)=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,418,937,901	1,330,456	128,708	1,201,697		-,	2656251%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,243,594	,	97,778	680,933	696%	75,142,690	76854%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,643,199	556,928	81,407	475,501	584%	16,561,103	20344%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	351	191	145	47	32%	206	143%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,711	832	599	233	39%	1,112	185%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	11,505	4,979	3,415	1,564	46%	8,090	237%
)=30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	36,952,670	39,359,928	1,347,234	38,011,113	2822%	35,603,955	2643%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	106,782,016	12,135,455	700,664	11,434,315	1632%	106,076,939	15140%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,827,894,201	4,876,605	460,863	4,415,559	958%	4,827,232,542	1047477%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	858	489	376	113	30%	482	128%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,667	1,870	1,368 6,465	501 2,848	37%	2,299	168%
FAILURE						44%	14,218	220%
				AVERAGE =	529	37%	2,650	182%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	3,753,670	650%	565,396,750	256774%

TABLE H.11 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	T		E(BASE)=1.0	00 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	589	138	59	79	135%	530	903%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	762	162	67	95	142%	696	1044%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	891	177	72	106	147%	820	1142%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	8	6	2	33%	9	155%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	276	138	102	36	35%	174	170%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,772	2,810	2,061	749	36%	3,711	180%
			E(BASE)=5,0					
ŀ	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22,719	3,661	1,063	2,599	245%	21,656	2038%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	28,968	4,223	1,180	3,043	258%	27,787	2355%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	34,941	4,718	1,279	3,438	269%	33,660	2631%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	10	7	3	41%	15	201%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	217	92	62	29	47%	155	248%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,001	1,164	767	397	52%	2,234	291%
•			E(BASE)=10,	000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349,606	41,627	8,448	33,178	393%	341,144	4039%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313,246	39,826	8,246	31,579	383%	304,987	3699%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	293,403	39,047	8,181	30,866	377%	285,211	3487%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	58	28	20	8	40%	38	190%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	402	171	117	55	47%	286	245%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,975	1,515	984	531	54%	2,991	304%
			E(BASE)=20,					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	890,150,940	2,802,568	188,154	2,614,306	1390%	889,925,768	472997%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	35,493,783	1,493,861	143,504	1,350,302	941%	35,348,809	24634%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,797,446	841,440	106,620	734,790	689%	8,690,465	8151%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	223	115	84	31	36%	139	164%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,131	513	356	157	44%	775	218%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,928	3,142	2,065	1,077	52%	5,862	284%
			E(BASE)=30,					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,252,656	25,307,708	3,024,378	22,282,403	737%	21,227,395	702%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	70,449,186	39,581,218	1,201,180	38,378,442	3195%	69,245,126	5765%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	439,042,352	9,872,066	689,953	9,181,730	1331%	438,334,166	63534%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	539	292	218	74	34%	320	147%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,388	1,143	807	336	42%	1,581	196%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	14,006	5,840	3,890	1,950	50%	10,116	260%
			AVERAGE =	362	43%	1,894	217%	
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	4,976,464	709%	97,585,881	39808%

TABLE H.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

,	1		E(BASE)=1,0	00 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	445	127	52	75	143%	393	756%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579	150	60	91	152%	519	871%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	677	167	65	102	158%	613	948%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	10	5		1	37%	6	181%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	192	89	64	25	40%	128	200%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,062	1,831	1,295	537	41%	2,767	214%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	E(BASE)=5,0	00 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,489	3,884	1,067	2,816	264%	15,421	1445%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,965	4,562	1,199	3,362	280%	19,765	1648%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,201	5,169	1,311	3,858	294%	23,889	1822%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5	2	46%	11	234%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	158	61	40	21	53%	118	293%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,244	794	499	294	59%	1,744	349%
			E(BASE)=10,	000 PSI				
l	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	243,273	51,116	9,556	41,558	435%	233,708	2446%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	216,813	48,322	9,268	39,052	421%	207,536	2239%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	202,178	47,064	9,166	37,896	413%	193,004	2106%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41	18	13	6	44%	28	218%
RUTTING	E(SG) ≈5,000 PSI	291	115	75	40	53%	215	287%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,978	1,039	644	395	61%	2,334	362%
			E(BASE)=20,	000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,288,408	6,907,687	286,554	6,620,857	2311%	44,000,024	15355%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,921,773	2,393,622	183,427	2,210,103	1205%	20,737,484	11306%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,461,634	1,273,833	137,989	1,135,796	823%	5,323,423	3858%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	152	75	53	22	40%	99	186%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	801	342	229	113	49%	573	251%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,823	2,145	1,348	796	59%	4,475	332%
			E(BASE)=30,					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,476,879	16,376,859	8,289,947	8,086,575	98%	9,186,550	111%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	51,669,859	49,790,090	2,194,053	47,594,057	2169%	49,473,748	2255%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	340,430,475	22,047,837	1,056,416	20,990,548	1987%	339,359,943	32125%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	362	188	137	51	37%	225	164%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,662	752	515	237	46%	1,147	223%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,149	3,943	2,532	1,411	56%	7,617	301%
				AVERAGE =	263	48%	1,433	253%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	5,784,450	744%	31,251,735	5286%

TABLE H.13
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	T	E(BASE)=1.000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2,463	1,137	702	435	62%	1,761	251%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,374	1,435	860	576	67%	2.514	292%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,129	1,658	975	683	70%	3,155	324%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	150	131	125	6	5%	25	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,831	2,471	2,348	123	5%	483	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	59,923	52,080	48,504	3,576	7%	11,419	24%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15,911	5,125	2,717	2,408	89%	13,194	486%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	24,760	7,097	3,566	3,531	99%	21,194	594%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,802	9,250	4,439	4,811	108%	31,363	707%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	118	78	66	13	19%	52	79%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,171	736	602	135	22%	570	95%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,388	9,784	7,828	1,956	25%	8,560	109%
	TIDE DOTOGLIDE		=10,000 PSI	100 501				
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI	- 45E	4000/	40.004	07.40/
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	52,916	14,289	6,834	7,455	109%	46,081	674%
FATIGUE FAILURE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	79,264 117,136	18,969 24,760	8,607 10,659	10,362 14,101	120%	70,657	821% 999%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	214	139	115	14,101	132% 0%	106,477 75	54%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1.460	878	704	174	25%	756	107%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	14,415	8,080	6,273	1,807	29%	8,142	130%
	_(00) 10,000 ; 0,		=20,000 PSI	0,2.0	1,007	2070	0,172	100%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PS!				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.500 PSI	298.289	60,945	24,730	36,215	146%	273,559	1106%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	399,403	73,683	28,649	45,033	157%	370,754	1294%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	554,547	90,697	33,644	57,053	170%	520,903	1548%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	652	420	345	75	22%	307	89%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,142	1,861	1,476	385	26%	1,667	113%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,010	11,426	8,726	2,701	31%	12,285	141%
			=30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,191,362	186,610	65,612	120,998	184%	1,125,750	1716%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,439,774	209,522	71,474	138,049	193%	1,368,300	1914%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,824,468	241,759	79,540	162,219	204%	1,744,928	2194%
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,515	980	806	175	22%	709	88%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	6,217	3,697	2,926	771	26%	3,291	112%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	33,832	18,278	13,905	4,373	31%	19,927	143%
				AVERAGE =	1,085	20%	4,551	88%
			FATIGUE /	AVERAGE =	40,262	127%	380,039	995%

TABLE H.14 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	T	E(BASE	=1.000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,717	875	529	346	65%	1,188	224%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,369	1,118	655	463	71%	1,713	262%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,912	1,304	749	555	74%	2,163	289%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	71	67	4	6%	16	23%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,569	1,337	1,257	79	6%	312	25%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	33,247	28,143	26,548	1,595	6%	6,699	25%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
ł	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	11,125	4,202	2,174	2,027	93%	8,950	412%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	18,435	5,967	2,912	3,055	105%	15,523	533%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,395	7,952	3,683	4,269	116%	24,712	671%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67	45	37	8	22%	30	82%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	721	428	341	87	26%	381	112%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,286	5,755	4,473	1,281	29%	5,813	130%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,197	12,253	5,685	6,568	116%	32,512	572%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,701	16,690	7,299	9,391	129%	53,402	732%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	95,977	22,370	9,216	13,154	143%	86,761	941%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	130	80	65	16	24%	65	100%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	516	402	114	28%	506	126%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,191	4,812	3,619	1,193	33%	5,573	154%
		_ ,	=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	216,008	55,995	21,939	34,056	155%	194,068	885%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	301,018	69,177	25,799	43,377	168%	275,218	1067%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	438,594	87,256	30,814	56,442	183%	407,780	1323%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	396	244	195	48	25%	200	103%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,962	1,097	845	252	30%	1,117	132%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	6,849	5,065	1,784	35%	8,449	167%
	TIDE DESCRIPT		=30,000 PSI	100 001				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	101 000	4000		40700/
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	843,446	182,875	61,485	121,390	197%	781,961	1272%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,042,171	208,304	67,699	140,605	208%	974,472	1439%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,364,014	245,029	76,374	168,654	221%	1,287,640	1686%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	917	569	456	113	25%	461	101%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,869	2,180	1,677	503	30%	2,192	131%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,684	11,005	8,080 AVERAGE =	2,925 667	36% 24%	13,604	168% 105%
				AVERAGE =	40.290	136%	3,028 276,538	820%
			FATIGUE /	AVERAGE =	40,290	136%	2/6,538	6∠0%

TABLE H.15
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1,	000 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,265	704	415	289	70%	850	205%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,755	911	519	391	75%	1,236	238%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,168	1,072	598	474	79%	1,570	263%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50	42	39	3	7%	11	28%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	942	783	731	52	7%	212	29%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,047	16,511	15,391	1,119	7%	4,656	30%
	,		E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,287	3,567	1,799	1,768	98%	6,489	361%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,856	5,192	2,456		111%	11,400	464%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,506	7,071	3,156	3,914	124%	18,350	581%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	40	28	22	6	25%	17	78%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	456	269	209	60	29%	247	118%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,934	3,657	2,761	896	32%	4,173	151%
			E(BASE)=10	<u> </u>				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	28,269	10,811	4,887	5,924	121%	23,382	478%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,238	15,107	6,394	8,713	136%	38,844	607%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	71,896	20,769	8,226	12,543	152%	63,670	774%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	80	50	40	11	27%	40	101%
RUTTING FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	608 6,292	327 3.094	248 2.260	79 835	32% 37%	360 4.032	145% 178%
PAILORE	E(3G) = 10,000 F31	0,292	E(BASE)=20		633	3/76	4,032	170%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	, ,	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	158,426	52,632	19,985	32,647	163%	138,442	693%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	221,701	66.371	23.875	42,496	178%	197,826	829%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	324.067	85,866	29,018	56,848	196%	295,049	1017%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	253	152	119	33	28%	134	112%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1.314	699	524	175	33%	790	151%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,343	4,449	3,183	1,265	40%	6,160	194%
			E(BASE)=30	,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	•			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	614,069	182,262	58,983	123,279	209%	555,086	941%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759,217	210,503	65,612	144,891	221%	693,605	1057%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PS!	994,160	252,678	74,949	177,729	237%	919,211	1226%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	588	355	279	76	27%	309	111%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,589	1,386	1,041	345	33%	1,548	149%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	15,002	7,151	5,094	2,057	40%	9,908	195%
				VERAGE =	468	27%	2,173	118%
			FATIGUE A	AVERAGE =	40,976	145%	197,667	649%

TABLE H.16
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,849	895	558	337	60%	1,291	231%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,459	1,106	671	435	65%	1,787	266%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,950	1,501	838	663	79%	2,112	252%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSi	182	160	152	8	5%	30	19%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,344	2,918	2,775	143	5%	569	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	69,402	60,371	57,261	3,111	5%	12,141	21%
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,578	4,815	2,547	2,268	89%	12,031	472%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,122	6,271	3,180	3,090	97%	17,941	564%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,321	7,760	3,794	3,965	105%	24,527	646%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160	116	98	17	18%	62	63%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,615	1,054	877	177	20%	738	84%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,943	13,659	11,156	2,503	22%	10,787	97%
		E(BASE)=	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60,987	16,162	7,619	8,543	112%	53,368	700%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	81,364	19,777	8,967	10,810	121%	72,396	807%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,434	23,773	10,402	13,371	129%	96,032	923%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323	216	183	32	18%	140	77%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,140	1,357	1,102	255	23%	1,038	94%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,522	12,117	9,624	2,493	26%	10,898	113%
			20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515,243	93,950	36,214	57,736	159%	479,030	1323%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579,424	101,288	38,370	62,918	164%	541,054	1410%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	661,746	110,163	40,926	69,237	169%	620,819	1517%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	994	668	559	109	20%	435	78%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,771	2,971	2,406	565	23%	2,366	98%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,564	18,140	14,208	3,931	28%	17,355	122%
		E(BASE)=						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,237,596	383,340	121,391	261,949	216%	3,116,205	2567%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,995,429	368,608	118,148	250,460	212%	2,877,281	2435%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,865,007	360,606	116,395	244,212	210%	2,748,612	2361%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,233	1,512	1,265	246	19%	968	76%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,312	5,823	4,718	1,105	23%	4,595	97%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	50,772	29,231	22,835	6,395	28%	27,937	122%
				AVERAGE =	1,406	19%	6,004	79%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	66,000	132%	710,966	1098%

NOTE: E(BAS

TABLE H.17
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	i	F(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	1,279	685	419	266	63%	860	205%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,709	857	510	347	68%	1,199	235%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,058	987	576	411	71%	1,482	257%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	100	86	82	5	6%	19	23%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1.853	1,579	1,485	94	6%	367	25%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,526	32,674	30,762	1,912	6%	7,764	25%
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI			l		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10,109	3,942	2,040	1,902	93%	8,070	396%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,196	5,242	2,585	2,656	103%	12,610	488%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,379	6,605	3,124	3,481	111%	18,255	584%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	88	66	55	11	20%	33	60%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	939	608	493	115	23%	445	90%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	7,953	6,330	1,623	26%	7,184	113%
		E(BASE)=	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PS1	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,846	13,948	6,380	7,569	119%	37,466	587%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	61,047	17,366	7,619	9,747	128%	53,428	701%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,624	21,250	8,943	12,307	138%	74,682	835%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	185	125	103	22	22%	82	80%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,311	785	625	160	26%	687	110%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,815	7,129	5,507	1,621	29%	7,307	133%
			20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	387,309	88,494	32,763	55,731	170%	354,546	1082%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	444,941	96,244	34,926	61,318	176%	410,014	1174%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	520,593	105,696	37,500	68,195	182%	483,093	1288%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	595	384	314	70	22%	281	90%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,926	1,731	1,368	362	26%	1,557	114%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892	10,746	8,157	2,589	32%	11,735	144%
	TIRE PRESSURE	E(BASE)= 40PSI		100 PSI				
LOADS TO			70 PSI		070 000	0000/	0.440.070	00.450/
LOADS TO FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,534,820	396,437	118,148	278,289	236%	2,416,672	2045%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,337,239	378,453	114,471	263,982	231%	2,222,768	1942%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,232,834 1,331	368,920 867	112,522 711	256,398 156	228% 22%	2,120,311 620	1884% 87%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5.688	3,397	2.680	717	22%	3,008	112%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	31,975	17,334	13,135	4,199	32%	18,840	143%
MEUNE	12(00) - 10,000 POI	31,973		AVERAGE =	910	22%	3,995	90%
		AVERAGE =	68.173	141%	547,697	914%		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TATIOUE	AVERAGE =	00,173	14170	547,697	314%

TABLE H.18
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

		-	E(BASE)=	1 000 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	946	547	328	219	67%	617	188%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	1.272	693	403	290	72%	869	215%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,535	803	458	345	75%	1,077	235%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60	51	47	3	7%	13	27%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,113	926	863	63	7%	250	29%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	23,205	19,138	17,866	1,272	7%	5,339	30%
			E(BASE)=					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,521	3,338	1,692	1,645	97%	5,828	344%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,381	4,532	2,181	2,351	108%	9,200	422%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,109	5,788	2,670	3,119	117%	13,439	503%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	52	41	33	7	23%	19	57%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	559	379	301	78	26%	258	86%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,476	5,007	3,879	1,128	29%	4,597	118%
			E(BASE)=					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	32,577	12,372	5,519	6,853	124%	27,058	490%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,601	15,694	6,672	9,023	135%	38,930	584%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	62,753	19,518	7,946	11,572	146%	54,808	690%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	109	77	62	15	24%	47	75%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	832	493	383	110	29%	449	117%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,670	4,549	3,415	1,134	33%	5,255	154%
			E(BASE)=2					
			70 PSI	100 PSI				
1	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	287,907	85,085	30,406	54,678	180%	257,501	847%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	331,046	93,375	32,617	60,757	186%	298,429	915%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	387,976	103,580	35,275	68,305	194%	352,700	1000%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349	238	191	47	25%	158	83%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,935	1,093	840 5 070	252	30%	1,095	130%
PAILURE	E(3G) - 10,000 F31	13,466	6,891 E(BASE)=3	5,079	1,812	36%	8,386	165%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,892,101	417,382	117,583	299,799	255%	1,774,518	1509%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,737,571	395,409	113,458	281,951	249%	1,624,113	1431%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,757,371	383,669	111,269	272,400	245%	1,544,078	1388%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	782	537	432	105	243%	350	81%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,736	2,140	1,647	492	30%	2,089	127%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,684	11,118	8,183	2,934	36%	13,500	165%
		21,004		AVERAGE =	630	24%	2.787	96%
				AVERAGE =	71,554	150%	400,211	717%
			TATIOUL	AVENAGE T	71,004	130%	700,211	71770

TABLE H.19
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,721	14,857	10,878	3,978	37%	9,843	90%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	26,879	18,611	13,371	5,240	39%	13,508	101%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	32,284	21,785	15,429	6,356	41%	16,855	109%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,351	3,954	3,807	146	4%	544	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	67,719	60,945	58,487	2,458	4%	9.232	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,265,389	1,133,158	1,084,622	48,537	4%	180,767	17%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	47,602	30,357	21,158	9,198	43%	26,443	125%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	73,683	43,494	29,279	14,214	49%	44,404	152%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	110,730	60,217	39,142	21,075	54%	71,588	183%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,348	1,219	1,171	47	4%	177	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,786	10,531	10,082	449	4%	1,704	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	148,881	131,575	125,523	6,052	5%	23,358	19%
			=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86,635	50,548	33,893	16,655	49%	52,742	156%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	134,034	71,715	46,281	25,434	55%	87,753	190%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,996	101,761	63,043	38,718	61%	147,953	235%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,681	1,525	1,473	52	4%	208	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,967	9,850	9,467	383	4%	1,501	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	104,181	92,630	88,634	3,996	5%	15,547	18%
			=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	214,488	109,044	69,004	40,040	58%	145,484	211%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313,502	146,023	89,075	56,948	64%	224,427	252%
FAILURE LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	482,952	201,187	117,596	83,591	71%	365,356	311%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,379	3,102	3,009	94	3%	371	12%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,885	15,335	14,625	710	5%	2,259	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	117,138	103,540	90,383	13,157	15%	26,755	30%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	=30,000 PSI 70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	445,062			04.400	070/	004004	9000/
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		202,118	120,998	81,120	67%	324,064	268%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	617,059 909,774	258,058 341,031	149,119 189.156	108,938 151,875	73% 80%	467,940	314%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6.292	5.823	5,605	218	4%	720,618 687	381% 12%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	27,560	25,062	22,206	2,856			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	159,656	130,490	113,105	2,856 17,385	13% 15%	5,354 46,551	24% 41%
MILONE	L(03) - 10,000 F31	155,056		113,103 VERAGE =	6,436	15%	21,001	19%
				VERAGE =	44,225	56%	181,265	
			1 ATIGUE /	VERAGE =	44,225	36%	101,205	205%

TABLE H.20 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	I	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,626	13,378	9,779	3,599	37%	7,848	80%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,867	16,845	12,073	4,771	40%	10,794	89%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	27,476	19,792	13,984	5,808	42%	13,492	96%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,889	2,589	2,477	112	5%	412	17%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	44,742	39,691	37,789	1,902	5%	6,953	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	833,056	734,504	698,630	35,875	5%	134,427	19%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,842	26,879	18,684	8,196	44%	20,158	108%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,169	39,006	26,121	12,885	49%	34,048	130%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,533	54,702	35,342	19,360	55%	55,191	156%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	863	767	734	34	5%	130	18%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,492	6,563	6,254	309	5%	1,238	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,804	81,298	76,953	4,345	6%	16,852	22%
			=10,000 PSI					·
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67,924	44,001	29,563	14,438	49%	38,361	130%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	105,270	63,350	40,922	22,429	55%	64,349	157%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	165,774	91,440	56,612	34,828	62%	109,162	193%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,043	933	896	38	4%	147	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,787	5,980	5,704	276	5%	1,083	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	64,045	55,738	52,915	2,823	5%	11,130	21%
1	TIDE DDEAGUDE		=20,000 PSI	400 501	ļ			
LOADS TO		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	24 020	CON	404 470	171%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160,482	93,544	59,312	34,232	58%	101,170	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	235,387 363,150	127,426 178,839	77,768 104,378	49,658 74,460	64% 71%	157,619 258.772	203% 248%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,027	1,831	1,766	74,460	4%	256,772	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,115	9.043	8,670	373	4%	1,446	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	69,903	61,618	56,369	5,249	9%	13,534	24%
TALOILE	L(00) = 10,000 1 01		=30,000 PSI	50,505	3,243	570	10,004	2470
i	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	320.636	170.920	102,913	68.007	66%	217,723	212%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	446,371	221,964	128,973	92,992	72%	317,398	246%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	660.987	299,454	166,678	132,776	80%	494,309	297%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,687	3,362	3,258	103	3%	429	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,147	14,572	13,610	962	7%	2,536	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,548	81,601	69,831	11,770	17%	23,716	34%
				AVERAGE =	4,282	6%	14,286	19%
				AVERAGE =	38,563	56%	126,693	168%
	o turk iii				,			

TABLE H.21 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

	1		E(BASE)=1.	UUU PSI -	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PS1	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10,072	7,612	5,672	1,940	34%	4,400	78%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,190	9,663	7,085	2,577	36%	6,105	86%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	15,954	11,736	8,258	3,478	42%	7,695	93%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,404	1,234	1,174	60	5%	230	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,943	19,138	18,071	1,067	6%	3,872	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	412,243	355,829	336,031	19,798	6%	76,212	23%
I			E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI				<u> </u>
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23,350	16,808	11,444	5,364	47%	11,905	104%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	36,695	24,851	16,247	8,604	53%	20,449	126%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	55,995	35,462	22,291	13,171	59%	33,704	151%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	436	381	362	20	5%	75	21%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,848	3,309	3,118	191	6%	730	23%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	49,060	41,521	38,980	2,541	7%	10,080	26%
į l	*:D# BB#****	40.50	E(BASE)=10					
L		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	2 22 2		42 21	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	42,563	28,723	18,895	9,828	52%	23,668	125%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	66,865	42,107	26,530	15,577	59%	40,335	152%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,881	61,982	37,256	24,726	66%	69,625	187%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	542 3 574	476	453	23	5%	89	20%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,571 34,279	3,086 29,107	2,926 27,445	161 1.662	5% 6%	645 6,834	22% 25%
ALONE	L(00) -10,000 FSI	34,279	E(BASE)=20		1,002	6%	0,034	∠5%
 -	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
L L	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	106,475	65,290	40.147	25,144	63%	66,329	165%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	157,749	90,288	53,242	37,046	70%	104,507	196%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	246,836	129,233	72,445	56,788	78%	174,392	241%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,086	965	924	41	4%	162	18%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,475	4,785	4,562	223	5%	914	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,250	32,959	29,984	2,975	10%	8,266	28%
			E(BASE)=30					
		40 PSI		100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	220,913	125,653	73,371	52,282	71%	147,542	201%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	310,217	165,234	92,780	72,455	78%	217,437	234%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	463,847	226,776	121,237	105,539	87%	342,610	283%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,008	1,803	1,734	68	4%	274	16%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,868	7,853	7,285	568	8%	1,583	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,781	45,056	37,621	7,435	20%	14,160	38%
			RUTTING A		2,455	7%	8,275	23%
			FATIGUE A	VERAGE =	28,968	60%	84,714	161%

TABLE H.22 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(B	ASE)=1000	PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,205	3,365	2,370	995	42%	2.836	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,271	3,942	2,731	1,211	44%	3.540	130%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,089	4,355	2,991	1,364	46%	4,098	137%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,450	1,303	1,249	54	4%	201	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,965	21,428	20,442	986	5%	3,524	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	463,664	411,929	392,990	18,939	5%	70,675	18%
		E(B	ASE)=5,000	PSI				•
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	26,479	13,150	8,268	4,881	59%	18,210	220%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	34,840	16,215	9,939	6,276	63%	24,901	251%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,099	19,290	11,551	7,739	67%	32,549	282%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	867	774	742	33	4%	125	17%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,539	6,664	6,349	315	5%	1,190	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	94,373	82,607	78,465	4,142	5%	15,907	20%
			(SE)=10,00					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86,578	34,840	20,168	14,672	73%	66,410	329%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	108,246	40,855	23,111	17,744	77%	85,135	368%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	134,829	47,579	26,308	21,271	81%	108,521	412%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,711	1,542	1,482	59	4%	229	15%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,495	9,343	8,926	417	5%	1,570	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,959	82,695	78,755	3,940	5%	15,204	19%
			SE)=20,00					
1	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	464,220	138,076	70,166	67,909	97%	394,054	562%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	514,760	148,639	74,535	74,103	99%	440,225	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	578,861	161,445	79,816	81,629	102%	499,045	625%
	E(SG) =2,500 PS1	5,444	4,965	4,798	166	3%	645	13%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	24,858	22,384	21,513	871	4%	3,345	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	156,057	139,148	133,301	5,847	4%	22,756	17%
ŀ	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	SE)=30,000 70 PSI	100 PSI				
L	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	1,653,136	393.024	178,853	214,171	120%	1,474,283	824%
	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	1,653,136	396,437	180.074	214,171	120%	1,474,263	829%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,725,837	405.141	183,301	210,363	120%	1,542,536	842%
	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	12.460	11,465	11,118	348	3%	1,342,336	12%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	62,959	45,499	43,940	1,560	3% 4%	19,019	43%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	261,980	235,866	214,982	20.884	10%	46,998	22%
	_(,,,,,,,		AGE FOR F		3,904	5%	13,515	19%
			GE FOR F		5,619	81%	19,428	435%
		VAFIV	OL TOR F.	- 100L	3,019	0170	13,420	433%

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE H.23
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,0	000 PSI	E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,535	2,445	1,698	747	44%	1,837	108%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,279	2,877	1,967	910	46%	2,312	118%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,837	3,193	2,162	1,031	48%	2,675	124%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	794	702	668	34	5%	126	19%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,135	11,545	10,930	614	6%	2,205	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	254,696	222,076	210,360	11,715	6%	44,336	21%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	18,229	9,995	6,179	3,816	62%	12,050	195%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	24,109	12,485	7,482	5,003	67%	16,627	222%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,661	15,002	8,797	6,205	71%	21,864	249%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	475	417	397	21	5%	78	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,152	3,590		193	6%	755	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	52,181	44,680	42,076	2,604	6%	10,105	24%
11334		E(BASE)=	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835	27,565	15,593	11,972	77%	44,242	284%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,160	32,683	18,002	14,681	82%	57,158	318%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	94,055	38,484	20,670	17,814	86%	73,385	355%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	937	829	791	38	5%	146	18%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,772	5,036		265	6%	1,000	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,880	44,659	42,192	2,467	6%	9,688	23%
	····		20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	329,963	115,565	56,763	58,802	104%	273,200	481%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	370,801	125,209	60,600	64,609	107%	310,202	512%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	423,683	137,040	65,236	71,803	110%	358,447	549%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,963	2,660	2,557	67	3%	259	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,610	12,033	11,505	229	3%	898	13%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	85,809	74,926	71,247	981	4%	3,814	15%
	TIDE DOCOLUDE	E(BASE)=						
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,258,234	346,132	151,345	194,788	129%	1,106,889	731%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,278,561	349,315	152,524	196,790	129%	1,126,037	738%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,328,793	357,890	155,425	202,465	130%	1,173,368	755%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,766	6,125	5,910	215	4%	856	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	27,331	24,357	23,392	965	4%	3,939	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	143,654	126,780	118,224	8,556	7%	25,429	22%
			AGE FOR F		1,931	5%	6,909	19%
		AVERA	GE FOR F	ATIGUE =	2,775	86%	9,916	383%

TABLE H.24 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,0	000 PSI	E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	70 PSi	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,552	1,856	1,272	584	46%	1,280	101%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,093	2,197	1,482	714	48%	1,611	109%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,506	2,450	1,635	814	50%	1,870	114%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	471	409	387	22	6%	85	22%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	7,828	6,725	6,349	376	6%	1,479	23%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	151,721	129,799	122,055	7,744	6%	29,665	24%
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	13,354	7,925	4,826	3,099	64%	8,528	177%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,767	9,995	5,901	4,095	69%	11,866	201%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,711	12,151	6,981	5,169	74%	15,730	225%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	282	244	230	14	6%	52	23%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,477	2,100	1,971	128	7%	506	26%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,294		24,457	1,764	7%	6,837	28%
			10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,237	22,580	12,523	10,057	80%	31,714	253%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	55,847	27,061	14,578	12,483	86%	41,270	283%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	70,238	32,211	16,881	15,330	91%	53,358	316%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	556	483	458	25	6%	98	21%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,442	2,941	2,768	172	6%	674	24%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,026	26,113	24,457	1,656	7%	6,570	27%
•		E(BASE)=						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	246,017	99,853	47,927	51,926	108%	198,090	413%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	277,097	108,880	51,402	57,479	112%	225,695	439%
FAILURE LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	317,834	120,008	55,635	64,373	116%	262,199	471%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,750	1,545	1,476	69	5%	274	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,080 51,188	6,998 43,737	6,644	354	5%	1,436	22%
PAILURE	E(3G) = 10,000 P31	E(BASE)=:		41,275	2,462	6%	9,913	24%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	947.354	314.004	132,500	181,504	137%	814.854	615%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	962,473	317,063	133,492	183,571	137%	828,981	621%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,001,671	325,411	136,269	189,142	139%	865,402	635%
	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	3,986	3,552	3,406	103,142	4%	580	17%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,147	14,208	13.514	695	5%	2.633	19%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	85,440	73.840	70,047	3,794	5%	15,394	22%
			GE FOR R		1,295	6%	5,080	23%
			AGE FOR F		52.023	90%	224,163	332%
		/ (V = 1V	.CL I OIL I	, IOOL -	02,020	30 /6	227,100	33276

TABLE H.25 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	119,929	93,374	71,654	21,719	30%	48,274	67%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	143,906	110.200	i ' I	26,734	32%	60,440	72%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	162,221	122,686	92,190	30,496	33%	70,031	76%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	64,304	60,251	58,718	1,533	3%	5,586	10%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,005,182	936,168		26.141	3%	95,156	10%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	18,813,297	17,431,367	16,919,444	511,923	3%	1,893,852	11%
	1	E(BASI)=5,000 PSI	•				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	211,243	154,418	115,772	38,646	33%	95,471	82%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	284,023	200,032	146,943	53,089	36%	137,080	93%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	369,227	251,123	180,842	70,281	39%	188,384	104%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,619	14,467	14,056	411	3%	1,563	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	125,376	114,737	110,742	3,995	4%	14,634	13%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,414,145	1,281,639	1,232,436	49,203	4%	181,709	15%
			=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	326,235	226,776	165,954	60,822	37%	160,281	97%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	441,813	294,446	210,750	83,696	40%	231,063	110%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	595,563	379,651	265,244	114,407	43%	330,319	125%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,948	15,676	15,223	454	3%	1,725	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	100,461	91,673	88,442	3,231	4%	12,019	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	824,923	744,147	714,440	29,707	4%	110,483	15%
			=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	648,031	411,547	289,546	122,001	42%	358,485	124%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	852,527	517,932	356,225	161,707	45%	496,301	139%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,140,932	658,804	441,813	216,992	49%	699,119	158%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	29,107	26,992	26,113	879	3%	2,994	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	133,618	121,984	117,679	4,305	4%	15,938	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	796,857	718,151	689,112	29,038	4%	107,745	16%
	TIDE DDECOLUDE		=30,000 PSI	100 001				
LOADS TO	TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) =2,500 PSI	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	04.4.4001	470/	000 400	40-01
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,344,509	666,485	452,322 540,043	214,163	47%	892,188	197%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,451,912 1,891,799	814,074 1,007,307	540,012 652,313	274,062	51%	911,900	169%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	49,293	45.755	652,313 44,432	354,994 1,324	54% 3%	1,239,486	190%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	200,171	,	' I		1	4,862	11%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,008,934	183,166 910,855	176,885 874,437	6,282 36,418	4% 4%	23,286 134,497	13% 15%
THEORE	E(00) - 10,000 P31	1,000,934		6/4,43/ AVERAGE =	46,990	3%	173,737	15%
				AVERAGE =	122,921	41%		
			PATIGUE	AVERAGE =	122,921	41%	394,588	120%

TABLE H.26
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

<u> </u>	1	F/RAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	79.817			15,030	30%	29,877	60%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	96,154		58,471	18,563	32%	37,683	64%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	108.524	86,096	64,758	21,337	33%	43,765	68%
LOADS TO		34,581	32,113	31,160	953	3%	3,422	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	542.969		483,801	16.405	3%	59,168	12%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10.000 PSI	10,161,223		8,998,165	320,993	3% 4%	1,163,059	13%
	-(00) .0,000 . 0.		=)=5.000 PSI	0,000,100	020,000	470	1,100,000	1570
}	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	140,949	108.836	80,939	27,897	34%	60,010	74%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190,230	142,417	103,594	38,824	37%	86,636	84%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	248,051	180,238	128,455	51,784	40%	119,597	93%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,476	7,755	7,468	286	4%	1,008	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68.135	61,495	59,039	2.456	4%	9.096	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	771,303	688.523	658,142	30,381	5%	113,161	17%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI	,				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	219,868	161,522	117,022	44,500	38%	102.846	88%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	299,454	211,986	149,907	62,079	41%	149,547	100%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	406,284	276,170	190,445	85,724	45%	215,839	113%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,191	8,395	8,080	315	4%	1,111	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	54,688	49,176	47,150	2,027	4%	7,539	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	450,808	399,889	381,621	18,268	5%	69,187	18%
			=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	437,954	297,901	207,337	90,565	44%	230,618	111%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	578,697	379,121	257,417	121,704	47%	321,280	125%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	779,206	487,337	322,345	164,991	51%	456,861	142%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,734	14,415	13,905	510	4%	1,829	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	72,699	65,418	62,738	2,680	4%	9,961	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,018	386,235	368,175	18,060	5%	67,843	18%
			=30,000 PSI					
		40PSI		100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	771,129	490,288	328,422	161,866	49%	442,707	135%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	988,594	604,237	395,460	208,778	53%	593,134	150%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,295,997	756,602	482,227	274,376	57%	813,771	169%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	26,658	24,457	23,677	780	3%	2,982	13%
RUTTING FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	108,870	98,198	94,269	3,929	4%	14,601	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	551,422	489,583	466,948	22,635	5%	84,474	18%
				AVERAGE =	29,379	4%	107,229	15%
			PATIGUE	AVERAGE =	92,535	42%	246,945	105%

TABLE H.27
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1,	000 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,523	44,810	36,196	8,614	24%	20,328	56%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68,262	53,530	42,563	10,967	26%	25,700	60%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	77,300	60,121	47,284	12,837	27%	30,015	63%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,204	18,559	17,934	625	3%	2,270	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	317,793	289,462	278,883	10,579	4%	38,909	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,961,853	5,401,956	5,194,725	207,230	4%	767,127	15%
			E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI			<u></u>	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	100,343	78,037	59,359	18,678	31%	40,984	69%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	136,103	103,985	76,506	27,480	36%	59,597	78%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	178,442	134,307	95,537	38,770	41%	82,905	87%
LOADS TO	_(, _,	4,965	4,486	4,315	171	4%	650	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	40,144	35,665	34,129	1,535	4%	6,014	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	456,111	400,799	380,763	20,036	5%	75,348	20%
			E(BASE)=10					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSi	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	156,573	120,165	86,557	33,608	39%	70,015	81%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	214,488	159,621	111,802	47,819	43%	102,686	92%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	292,548	210,012	143,159	66,853	47%	149,389	104%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,396	4,867	4,665	202	4%	731	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PS1	32,252	28,620	27,218	1,402	5%	5,035	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	267,101	233,026	220,898	12,128	5%	46,203	21%
			E(BASE)=20					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	314,746	225,965	155,573	70,392	45%	159,173	102%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	418,100		194,606	95,687	49%	223,494	115%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	566,007	377,010	245,629	131,380	53%	320,378	130%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,252	8,342	8,029	313	4%	1,223	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	42,877	38,010	36,303	1,708	5%	6,574	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	258,396	225,053	213,147	11,906	6%	45,249	21%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	E(BASE)=30 70 PSI					
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	555,418		100 PSI	400.070		225 222	
FATIGUE			375,960	249,581	126,378	51%	305,836	123%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	716,321 943,754	468,007 504,760	302,987	165,020	54%	413,335	136%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PS1	15,619	591,760 14,157	372,832	218,928 547	59%	570,921	153%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		•	13,610		4%	2,009	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	64,142 326,875	57,036 285,213	54,476 270,270	2,560 14,943	5% 6%	9,666 56,605	18% 21%
ALONE	L(00) - 10,000 POI	320,073			19,059	5%	70,908	
	RUTTING AVERAGE = FATIGUE AVERAGE =					42%	70,908 171,650	17%
			PATIGUE	AVERAGE =	71,561	42%	1/1,650	97%

Appendix I: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads

TABLE I.1 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE PI	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LO	LOAD (POUNDS)	(c	01	INCREASE	ք	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	10	14	22	4	40%	12	120%
1,000	2,000	190	272	420	82	43%	230	121%
1,000	10,000	4,018	5,721	8,754	1,703	42%	4,736	118%
5,000	2,500	15	21	32	9	40%	11	113%
5,000	5,000	154	212	313	58	38%	159	103%
5,000	10,000	2,201	2,948	4,233	747	34%	2,032	95%
10,000	2,500	39	99	98	17	44%	47	121%
10,000	5,000	281	330	218	109	39%	297	106%
10,000	10,000	2,904	3,890	5,572	986	34%	2,668	%26
20,000	2,500	143	210	331	29	47%	188	131%
20,000	5,000	992	1,084	1,644	318	42%	878	115%
20,000	10,000	5,654	14,056	11,194	8,402	149%	5,540	%86
30,000	2,500	337	503	804	166	49%	467	139%
30,000	5,000	1,576	2,271	3,496	695	44%	1,920	122%
30,000	10,000	9,784	13,514	19,969	3,730	38%	10,185	104%
				AVERAGE =	1,139	48%	1,958	113%

TABLE I.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTIING FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LO	E LOAD (POUNDS)	3)	01	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	29	86	178	39	%99	119	202%
1,000	2,000	1,095	1,823	3,292	728	%99	2,197	201%
1,000	10,000	22,927	38,130	68,730	15,203	%99	45,803	200%
2,000	2,500	20	83	153	33	%99	103	206%
2,000	2,000	540	806	1,562	368	%89	1,022	189%
5,000	10,000	8,262	13,182	21,428	4,920	%09	13,166	159%
10,000	2,500	102	174	304	72	71%	202	198%
10,000	2,000	795	1,255	2,051	460	28%	1,256	158%
10,000	10,000	8,368	12,460	19,892	4,092	49%	11,524	138%
20,000	2,500	323	552	924	229	71%	601	186%
20,000	5,000	1,819	2,761	4,511	942	52%	2,692	148%
20,000	10,000	12,905	19,064	30,370	6,159	48%	17,465	135%
30,000	2,500	725	1,234	2,075	509	%0 /	1,350	186%
30,000	2,000	3,487	5,319	8,726	1,832	23%	5,239	150%
30,000	10,000	20,602	30,500	48,527	9,898	48%	27,925	136%
				AVERAGE =	3,032	61%	8,711	173%

TABLE I.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		%60 Z	207%	207%	207%	204%	202%	209%	206%	203%	211%	207%	205%	213%	210%	207%	207%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	968	15,033	294,166	540	4,754	60,695	1,075	6,584	59,781	3,516	15,527	98,305	8,302	31,586	164,433	51,013
PERCENT INCREASE		%69	%89	%89	%89	%29	%29	%69	%89	%29	%69	%69	%89	%0/	%69	%89	%89
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	295	4,940	97,206	178	1,569	20,114	353	2,181	19,744	1,148	5,144	32,423	2,723	10,419	54,157	16,840
ISc.	3,250	1,325	22,295	436,355	801	7,085	629'06	1,590	9,784	89,260	5,182	23,019	146,194	12,202	46,643	243,815	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	724	12,202	239,395	439	3,900	50,098	898	5,381	49,223	2,814	12,636	80,312	6,623	25,476	133,539	
TIRE PRES TIRE LO	4,250	429	7,262	142,189	261	2,331	29,984	515	3,200	29,479	1,666	7,492	47,889	3,900	15,057	79,382	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE I.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	OAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	2	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI [E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	2	7	13	2	40%	ထ	160%
1,000	5,000	88	136	227	48	25%	139	158%
1,000	10,000	1,815	2,782	4,613	967	53%	2,798	154%
5,000	2,500	7	10	16	3	43%	6	129%
5,000	2,000	09	06	144	30	20%	84	140%
5,000	10,000	782	1,148	1,815	366	47%	1,033	132%
10,000	2,500	18	27	45	6	%09	27	150%
10,000	5,000	112	167	268	55	49%	156	139%
10,000	10,000	1,018	1,489	2,343	471	46%	1,325	130%
20,000	2,500	71	109	182	38	54%	111	156%
20,000	5,000	329	496	804	167	51%	475	144%
20,000	10,000	2,090	3,071	4,867	981	47%	2,777	133%
30,000	2,500	177	275	462	A/N	N/A	N/A	N/A
30,000	5,000	720	1,095	1,790	375	25%	1,070	149%
30,000	10,000	3,828	5,670	9,072	1,842	48%	5,244	137%
			A	AVERAGE =	382	49%	1,090	144%

TABLE I.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTIING FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		218%	216%	215%	185%	178%	172%	182%	174%	166%	182%	173%	164%	A/A	173%	163%	183%
4250 LBS	2	3250 LBS	107	1,969	40,784	72	929	8,462	133	824	7,362	403	1,789	10,887	A/A	3,478	17,387	6,737
PERCENT	INCREASE		71%	%02	71%	62%	%09	28%	95%	29%	21%	61%	29%	%95	N/A	29%	26%	62%
4250 LBS	2	3750 LBS	35	642	13,401	24	222	2,871	45	280	2,510	136	609	3,746	N/A	1,187	5,996	2,265
PSI	(S)	3,250	156	2,882	59,775	111	1,024	13,370	206	1,298	11,786	625	2,824	17,531	1,410	5,491	28,025	AVERAGE =
RESSURE = 70 PSI	.OAD (POUNDS)	3,750	84	1,555	32,392	63	290	7			6,934		1,644	10,390	608	3,200	16,634	AVE
TIRE PRE	TIRE LC	4,250	49	913	18,991	39	368	4,908	73	474	4,424	222	1,035	6,644	200	2,013	10,638	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE I.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		219%	218%	218%	219%	217%	216%	219%	218%	216%	220%	219%	218%	223%	221%	220%	219%
4250 LBS	5	3250 LBS	819	13,696	266,228	492	4,293	54,343	983	5,985	53,814	3,245	14,260	89,555	7,754	29,235	151,109	46,387
PERCENT	INCREASE		72%	71%	71%	72%	71%	71%	71%	71%	71%	72%	72%	71%	72%	72%	72%	72%
4250 LBS	10	3750 LBS	268	4,473	87,056	162	1,408	17,811	321	1,950	17,606	1,059	4,690	29,251	2,520	9,515	49,323	15,161
PSI	(S)	3,250	1,193	19,969	388,568	717	6,273	79,508	1,432	8,726	78,672	4,718	20,764	130,567	11,232	42,464	219,874	AVERAGE =
RESSURE = 70 PSI	OAD (POUNDS)	3,750	642	10,746	209,396	387	3,388	42,976	220	4,691	42,464	2,532	11,194	70,263	5,998	22,744	118,088	AVE
TIRE PRE	TIRE LC	4,250	374	6,273	122,340	225	1,980	25,165	449	2,741	24,858	1,473	6,504	41,012	3,478	13,229	68,765	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE I.7 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	RESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LC	E LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQI	70	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	4	9	10	2	20%	9	150%
1,000	5,000	63	101	174	38	%09	111	176%
1,000	10,000	1,284	2,041	3,506	757	29%	2,222	173%
5,000	2,500	5	7	12	2	40%		140%
5,000	5,000	40	61	103	21	23%	63	158%
5,000	10,000	493	757	1,257	264	54%	764	155%
10,000	2,500	12	19	33		28%	21	175%
10,000	2,000	73	114	191	41	%99	118	162%
10,000	10,000	633	296	1,597	334	23%	964	152%
20,000	2,500	51	80	138	29	21%	87	171%
20,000	5,000	221	345	581	124	26%	360	163%
20,000	10,000	1,036	2,018	3,344	982	95%	2,308	223%
30,000	2,500	129	206	356	77	%09	227	176%
30,000	5,000	494	9//	1,317	282	22%	823	167%
30,000	10,000	2,465	3,797	6,311	1,332	54%	3,846	156%
			AV	AVERAGE =	286	%29	795	166%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTIING FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE 1.8

			-												-		
PERCENT INCREASE		224%	221%	221%	194%	192%	188%	195%	188%	182%	193%	187%	180%	194%	186%	179%	195%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	103	1,882	39,065	62	560	7,133	115	693	6,047	345	1,492	8,835	782	2,902	14,065	5,605
PERCENT		74%	72%	72%	%99	64%	63%	64%	63%	61%	64%	63%	61%	%59	62%	%09	92%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	34	614	12,706	21	187	2,401	38	233	2,038	115	200	2,981	261	973	4,758	1,857
0 PSI	3,250	149	2,734	56,729	94	852	10,930	174	1,062	9,374	524	2,292	13,757	1,186	4,461	21,943	AVERAGE =
PRESSURE = 100 PSI	3,750	80	1,466	30,370	53	479	6,198	26	602	5,365	294	1,300	7,903	999	2,532	12,636	AVE
TIRE PRE	4,250	46	852	17,664	32	292	3,797	69	369	3,327	179	800	4,922	404	1,559	7,878	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
1	Ш	_			_	_											•

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE I.9 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		Т			Т			Т		-				Т		•	Г
PERCENT	INCREASE	223%	223%	222%	223%	221%	222%	223%	223%	222%	225%	224%	226%	226%	225%	208%	222%
4250 LBS	3250 LBS	791	13,154	256,005	475	4,119	52,068	951	5,760	51,667	3,158	13,867	86,781	7,521	28,376	135,536	44.015
PERCENT		73%	73%	72%	72%	72%	72%	73%	73%	72%	73%	73%	74%	74%	73%	%69	73%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	257	4,307	83,418	154	1,347	17,024	309	1,879	16,846	1,025	4,494	28,487	2,454	9,220	45,078	14,420
00 PSI	3,250	1,145	19,064	371,204	889	5,980	75,554	1,377	8,342	74,965	4,562	20,047	125,156	10,856	41,012	200,822	AVERAGE =
RESSURE = 100 PSI	3,750	611	10,217	198,617	367	3208	40,510	735	4,461	40,144	2,429	10,674	66,862	5,789	21,856	110,364	AV
TIRE PRE		354	5,910	115,199	213	1,861	23,486	426	2,582	23,298	1,404	6,180	38,375	3,335	12,636	65,286	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE I.10 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

	TIRE PF	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	Psi	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	IKE	IIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(S)	0	INCREASE	ဝ	INCREASE
	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
	10	15	23	5	20%	13	130%
	192	276	426	84	44%	234	122%
	4,062	5,772	8,839	1,710	42%	4,777	118%
	15	22	33	7	47%	18	120%
	158	217	319	29	37%	161	102%
	2,244	3,001	4,303	757	34%	2,059	95%
	41	58	06	17	41%	49	120%
	291	402	595	111	38%	304	104%
_	2,978	3,975	5,688	266	33%	2,710	91%
<u> </u>	152	223	351	7.1	47%	199	131%
	801	1,131	1,711	330	41%	910	114%
	5,823	7,928	11,505	2,105	36%	5,682	%86
-	362	539	858	177	49%	496	137%
	1,662	2,388	3,667	726	44%	2,005	121%
-	10,149	14,006	20,683	3,857	38%	10,534	104%
			AVERAGE =	734	41%	2,010	113%
l							

TABLE I.11 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

	TIRE PRES	RESSURE = 40 PSI	0 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	IIRE LOA	-OAD (POUNDS)	_ f	0	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI [E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
2,500	20	83	150	33	%99	100	200%
5,000	942	1,569	2,831	627	%29	1,889	201%
10,000	20,047	33,247	59,923	13,200	%99	39,876	199%
2,500	40	29	118	27	%89	78	195%
5,000	456	721	1,171	265	28%	715	157%
10,000	6,934	10,286	16,388	3,352	48%	9,454	136%
2,500	80	130	214	50	63%	134	168%
	809	806	1,460	300	49%	852	140%
10,000 10,000	6,292	9,191	14,415	2,899	46%	8,123	129%
2,500	253	396	652	143	21%	399	158%
5,000	1,314	1,962	3,142	648	49%	1,828	139%
10,000	9,343	13,514	21,010	4,171	45%	11,667	125%
2,500	588	917	1,515	329	26%	927	158%
5,000	2,589	3,869	6,217	1,280	49%	3,628	140%
10,000	15,002	21,684	33,832	6,682	45%	18,830	126%
			AVERAGE =	2.267	25%	6.567	158%

TABLE I.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PI	IIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	0 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LO	LOAD (POUNDS))S)	2	INCREASE	70	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	09	100	182	40	67%	122	203%
1,000	5,000	1,113	1,853	3,344	740	%99	2,231	200%
1,000	10,000	23,205	38,526	69,402	15,321	%99	46,197	199%
5,000	2,500	52	88	160	36	%69	108	208%
5,000	5,000	559	939	1,615	380	%89	1,056	189%
5,000	10,000	8,476	13,514	21,943	5,038	29%	13,467	159%
10,000	2,500	109	185	323	76	%0 <i>L</i>	214	196%
10,000	5,000	832	1,311	2,140	479	58%	1,308	157%
10,000	10,000	8,670	12,815	20,522	4,145	48%	11,852	137%
20,000	2,500	349	265	994	246	%02	645	185%
20,000	5,000	1,935	2,926	4,771	991	51%	2,836	147%
20,000	10,000	13,466	19,892	31,564	6,426	48%	18,098	134%
30,000	2,500	782	1,331	2,233	549	%0 <i>L</i>	1,451	186%
30,000	2,000	3,736	5,688	9,312	1,952	52%	5,576	149%
30,000	10,000	21,684	31,975	50,772	10,291	47%	29,088	134%
				AVERAGE =	3,114	61%	8,950	172%

TABLE I.13 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		210%	209%	207%	217%	206%	203%	210%	207%	204%	211%	208%	206%	213%	211%	208%	209%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	2,947	45,776	853,146	948	7,938	99,821	1,139	7,396	69,902	2,293	11,410	78,888	4,284	18,692	107,875	87,497
PERCENT INCREASE		106%	104%	102%	%86	95%	91%	95%	%06	87%	87%	85%	83%	84%	82%	81%	91%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	1,485	22,799	420,813	427	3,644	44,744	501	3,216	29,766	941	4,640	31,653	1,679	7,279	41,767	41,024
PSI S)	3,250	4,351	67,719	1,265,389	1,384	11,786	148,881	1,681	10,967	104,181	3,379	16,885	117,138	6,292	27,560	159,656	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	2,889	44,742	833,056	863	7,492	93,804	1,043	6,787	64,045	2,027	10,115	69,903	3,687	16,147	93,548	
TIRE PRE TIRE LO	4,250	1,404	21,943	412,243	436	3,848	49,060	542	3,571	34,279	1,086	5,475	38,250	2,008	8,868	51,781	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	000'	000,1	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSITABLE 1.14

		TIRE PRES	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI) PSI	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	471	794	1,450	323	%69	626	208%
1,000	5,000	7,828	13,135	23,965	5,307	%89	16,137	206%
1,000	10,000	151,721	254,696	463,664	102,975	68%	311,943	206%
5,000	2,500	282	475	867	193	%89	585	207%
5,000	5,000	2,477	4,152	7,539	1,675	%89	5,062	204%
5,000	10,000	31,294	52,181	94,373	20,887	%19	63,079	202%
10,000	2,500	556	937	1,711	381	%69	1,155	208%
10,000	5,000	3,442	5,772	10,495	2,330	%89	7,053	205%
10,000	10,000	31,026	51,880	93,959	20,854	%29	62,933	203%
20,000	2,500	1,750	2,963	5,444	1,213	%69	3,694	211%
20,000	5,000	8,080	13,610	24,858	5,530	%89	16,778	208%
20,000	10,000	51,188	85,809	156,057	34,621	%89	104,869	205%
30,000	2,500	3,986	99,766	12,460	2,780	%02	8,474	213%
30,000	5,000	16,147	27,331	62,959	11,184	%69	46,812	290%
30,000	10,000	85,440	143,654	261,980	58,214	%89	176,540	207%
				AVERAGE =	17,898	%89	55,073	212%

TABLE I.15 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCKEAGE	218%	216%	216%	215%	212%	210%	214%	211%	209%	215%	212%	208%	216%	212%	209%	213%
4250 LBS	3250 LBS	44.100	687,389	12,851,444	10,654	85,232	958,034	11,552	68,209	557,822	19,855	90,741	538,461	33,674	136,029	682,059	1,118,350
PERCENT	INCREASE PORTEN	71%	71%	%02	71%	%02	%69	%0/	%02	%69	%02	%02	%69	71%	%02	%69	%02
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	14,377	225,176	4,199,370	3,511	27,991	315,192	3,795	22,436	183,707	6,482	29,822	177,622	11,039	44,728	224,547	365,986
PSI	3,250	64,304	1,005,182	18,813,297	15,619	125,376	1,414,145	16,948	100,461	824,923	29,107	133,618	796,857	49,293	200,171	1,008,934	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	3,750	34,581	542,969	10,161,223	8,476	68,135	771,303	9,191	54,688	450,808	15,734	72,699	436,018	26,658	108,870	551,422	
TIRE PRES	4,250	20,204	317,793	5,961,853	4,965	40,144	456,111	5,396	32,252	267,101	9,252	42,877	258,396	15,619	64,142	326,875	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE I.16 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	RESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE	RE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	10	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	2	8	13	က	%09	8	160%
1,000	5,000	88	138	230	49	55%	141	158%
1,000	10,000	1,831	2,810	4,652	979	53%	2,821	154%
5,000	2,500	7	10	17	က	43%	10	143%
5,000	5,000	61	92	147	31	51%	86	141%
5,000	10,000	794	1,164	1,844	370	47%	1,050	132%
10,000	2,500	18	28	46	10	26%	28	156%
10,000	5,000	115	171	275	26	49%	160	139%
10,000	10,000	1,039	1,515	2,382	476	46%	1,343	129%
20,000	2,500	75	115	191	40	23%	116	155%
20,000	5,000	342	513	832	171	20%	490	143%
20,000	10,000	2,145	3,142	4,979	266	46%	2,834	132%
30,000	2,500	188	292	489	104	25%	301	160%
30,000	5,000	752	1,143	1,870	391	52%	1,118	149%
30,000	10,000	3,943	5,840	9,312	1,897	48%	5,369	136%
			A\	AVERAGE =	372	51%	1,058	146%

TABLE I.17 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR TIRE L	FIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	70 PSI IDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PS	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	42	71	131	29	%69	68	212%
1,000	5,000	783	1,337	2,471	554	71%	1,688	216%
1,000	10,000	16,511	28,143	52,080	11,632	%02	35,569	215%
5,000	2,500	28	45	78	17	61%	50	179%
2,000	5,000	269	428	736	159	29%	467	174%
5,000	10,000	3,657	5,755	9,784	2,098	21%	6,127	168%
10,000	2,500	09	80	139	30	%09	68	178%
10,000	5,000	327	516	878	189	28%	551	169%
10,000	10,000	3,094	4,812	8,080	1,718	26%	4,986	161%
20,000	2,500	152	244	420	92	61%	268	176%
20,000	5,000	669	1,097	1,861	398	21%	1,162	166%
20,000	10,000	4,449	6,849	11,426	2,400	54%	6,977	157%
30,000	2,500	355	569	086	214	%09	625	176%
30,000	5,000	1,386	2,180	3,697	794	21%	2,311	167%
30,000	10,000	7,151	11,005	18,278	3,854	54%	11,127	156%
			A\	AVERAGE =	1,612	%09	4,806	178%

TABLE I.18 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PR TIRE I	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POLINDS)	70 PSI	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	HONE ASE
1,000	2,500	51	86	160	35	%69	109	214%
1,000	5,000	926	1,579	2,918	653	71%	1,992	215%
1,000	10,000	19,138	32,674	60,371	13,536	71%	41,233	215%
5,000	2,500	41	99	116	25	61%	75	183%
2,000	5,000	379	809	1,054	229	%09	675	178%
2,000	10,000	5,007	7,953	13,659	2,946	29%	8,652	173%
10,000	2,500	77	125	216	48	62%	139	181%
10,000	5,000	493	785	1,357	292	29%	864	175%
10,000	10,000	4,549	7,129	12,117	2,580	21%	7,568	166%
20,000	2,500	238	384	899	146	61%	430	181%
20,000	5,000	1,093	1,731	2,971	638	28%	1,878	172%
20,000	10,000	6,891	10,746	18,140	3,855	26%	11,249	163%
30,000	2,500	537	867	1,512	330	61%	975	182%
30,000	5,000	2,140	3,397	5,823	1,257	29%	3,683	172%
30,000	10,000	11,118	17,334	29,231	6,216	%95	18,113	163%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	2,186	61%	6,509	182%

TABLE I.19 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR TIRE L	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	70 PSI	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1,234	2,589	3,954	1,355	110%	2,720	220%
1,000	5,000	19,138	39,691	60,945	20,553	107%	41,807	218%
1,000	10,000		734,504	1,133,158	378,675	106%	777,329	218%
5,000	2,500	381	767	1,219	386	101%	838	220%
5,000	5,000	3,309	6,563	10,531	3,254	%86	7,222	218%
5,000	10,000	41,521	81,298	131,575	39,777	%96	90,054	217%
10,000	2,500	476	933	1,525	457	%96	1,049	220%
10,000	5,000	3,086	5,980	9,850	2,894	94%	6,764	219%
10,000	10,000	29,107	55,738	92,630	26,631	91%	63,523	218%
20,000	2,500	965	1,831	3,102	998	%06	2,137	221%
20,000	5,000	4,785	9,043	15,335	4,258	%68	10,550	220%
20,000	10,000	32,959	61,618	103,540	28,659	87%	70,581	214%
30,000	2,500	1,803	3,362	5,823	1,559	%98	4,020	223%
30,000	5,000	7,853	14,572	25,062	6,719	86%	17,209	219%
30,000	10,000	45,056	81,601	130,490	36,545	81%	85,434	190%
			A	AVERAGE =	36,839	%56	78,749	217%

TABLE I.20 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

	TIRE PR	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	TIREL	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	2	INCREASE	<u>ნ</u>	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
2,500	409	702	1,303	293	72%	894	219%
5,000	6,725	11,545	21,428	4,820	72%	14,703	219%
10,000	129,799	222,076	411,929	92,277	71%	282,130	217%
2,500	244	417	774	173	71%	530	217%
5,000	2,100	3,590	6,664	1,490	71%	4,564	217%
10,000	26,221	44,680	82,607	18,459	%0 2	56,386	215%
2,500	483	829	1,542	346	72%	1,059	219%
5,000	2,941	5,036	9,343	2,095	71%	6,402	218%
10,000	26,113	44,659	82,695	18,546	71%	56,582	217%
2,500	1,545	2,660	4,965	1,115	72%	3,420	221%
5,000	6,445	12,033	22,384	5,588	87%	15,939	247%
10,000	43,737	74,926	139,148	31,189	71%	95,411	218%
2,500	3,552	6,125	11,465	2,573	72%	7,913	223%
5,000	14,208	24,357	45,499	10,149	71%	31,291	220%
10,000	73,840	126,780	235,866	52,940	72%	162,026	219%
		A	AVERAGE =	16,137	72%	49.283	220%

TABLE I.21 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI 1,000 2,500 1,000 5,000 1,000	1			4230 LBS	こしとしし	4230 LBS	していたいして
E(SG) PSI 2,500 5,000 10,000	TIREL	RE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQN	2	INCREASE	70	INCREASE
2,500 5,000 10,000	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
5,000	18,559	32,113	60,251	13,554	73%	41,692	225%
10 000	289,462	500,206	936,168	210,744	73%	646,706	223%
200,01	5,401,956	9,319,158	9,319,158 17,431,367	3,917,202	73%	12,029,411	223%
5,000 2,500	4,486	7,755	14,467	3,269	73%	9,981	222%
5,000 5,000	35,665	61,495	114,737	25,830	72%	79,072	222%
5,000 10,000	400,799	688,523	1,281,639	287,724	72%	880,840	220%
10,000 2,500	4,867	8,395	15,676	3,528	72%	10,809	222%
10,000 5,000	28,620	49,176	91,673	20,556	72%	63,053	220%
10,000 10,000	233,026	399,889	744,147	166,863	72%	511,121	219%
20,000 2,500	8,342	14,415	26,982	6,073	73%	18,650	224%
20,000 5,000	38,010	65,418	121,984	27,408	72%	83,974	221%
20,000 10,000	225,053	386,235	718,151	161,182	72%	493,098	219%
30,000 2,500	14,157	24,457	45,755	10,300	73%	31,598	223%
30,000 5,000	57,036	98,198	183,166	41,162	72%	126,130	221%
30,000 10,000	285,213	489,583	910,855	204,370	72%	625,642	219%
		A	AVERAGE ≈	339,984	72%	1,043,452	222%

TABLE I.22 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	RESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS TO		425	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	INCREASE
1,000	2,500	4	မ	10	2	20%	9	150%
1,000	5,000	64	102	176	38	29%	112	175%
1,000	10,000	1,295	2,061	3,543	766	29%	2,248	174%
5,000	2,500	5	7	12	2	40%	2	140%
5,000	5,000	40	62	105	22	55%	65	163%
5,000	10,000	499	767	1,273	268	54%	774	155%
10,000	2,500	13	20	34	7	54%	21	162%
10,000	5,000	75	117	195	42	26%	120	160%
10,000	10,000	644	984	1,626	340	53%	982	152%
20,000	2,500	53	84	145	31	28%	92	174%
20,000	5,000	229	356	299	127	25%	370	162%
20,000	10,000	1,348	2,065	3,415	717	53%	2,067	153%
30,000	2,500	137	218	376	81	29%	239	174%
30,000	5,000	515	807	1,368	292	21%	853	166%
30,000	10,000	2,532	3,890	6,465	1,358	54%	3,933	155%
			,	AVERAGE =	273	54%	793	161%

TABLE I.23 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	IOO PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
ŀ		- באבר	IIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(DS)	0	INCREASE	o L	INCREASE
SI	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
	2,500	39	29	125	28	72%	86	221%
	5,000	731	1,257	2,348	526	72%	1,617	221%
1,000	10,000	15,391	26,548	48,504	11,157	72%	33,113	215%
5,000	2,500	22	37	99	15	%89	44	200%
2,000	2,000	209	341	602	132	63%	393	188%
5,000	10,000	2,761	4,473	7,828	1,712	62%	5,067	184%
10,000	2,500	40	65	139	25	63%	66	248%
10,000	2,000	248	402	704	154	62%	456	184%
10,000	10,000	2,260	3,619	6,273	1,359	%09	4,013	178%
20,000	2,500	119	195	345	76	64%	226	190%
20,000	5,000	524	845	1,476	321	61%	952	182%
20,000	10,000	3,183	5,065	8,726	1,882	29%	5,543	174%
30,000	2,500	279	456	908	177	63%	527	189%
30,000	5,000	1,041	1,677	2,926	636	61%	1,885	181%
30,000	10,000	5,094	8,080	13,905	2,986	29%	8,811	173%
				AVERAGE =	1,412	64%	4,189	195%

TABLE I.24 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	၀	INCREASE	<u>م</u>	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	47	82	152	35	74%	105	223%
1,000	5,000	863	1,485	2,775	622	72%	1,912	222%
1,000	10,000	17,866	30,762	57,261	12,896	72%	39,395	221%
2,000	2,500	33	55	86	22	%29	65	197%
5,000	5,000	301	493	877	192	64%	576	191%
5,000	10,000	3,879	6,330	11,156	2,451	63%	7,277	188%
10,000	2,500	62	103	183	41	%99	121	195%
10,000	5,000	383	625	1,102	242	63%	719	188%
10,000	10,000	3,415	5,507	9,624	2,092	61%	6,209	182%
20,000	2,500	191	314	559	123	64%	368	193%
20,000	5,000	840	1,368	2,406	528	63%	1,566	186%
20,000	10,000	5,079	8,157	14,208	3,078	61%	9,129	180%
30,000	2,500	432	711	1,265	279	%59	833	193%
30,000	5,000	1,647	2,680	4,718	1,033	63%	3,071	186%
30,000	10,000	8,183	13,135	22,385	4,952	61%	14,202	174%
				AVERAGE =	1,906	%59	5,703	195%

TABLE 1.25 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	IOO PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		ואור	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	0	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1,174	2,477	3,807	1,303	111%	2,633	224%
1,000	5,000	18,071	37,789	58,487	19,718	109%	40,416	224%
1,000	10,000	336,031	698,630	1,084,622	362,599	108%	748,591	223%
5,000	2,500	362	734	1,171	372	103%	608	223%
5,000	5,000	3,118	6,254	10,082	3,136	101%	6,964	223%
5,000	10,000	38,890	76,953	125,523	38,063	88%	86,633	223%
10,000	2,500	453	968	1,473	443	%86	1,020	225%
10,000	5,000	2,926	5,704	9,467	2,778	82%	6,541	224%
10,000	10,000	27,445	52,915	88,634	25,470	83%	61,189	223%
20,000	2,500	924	1,766	3,009	842	91%	2,085	226%
20,000	5,000	4,562	8,670	14,625	4,108	%06	10,063	221%
20,000	10,000	29,984	56,369	90,383	26,385	88%	666,09	201%
30,000	2,500	1,734	3,258	5,605	1,524	88%	3,871	223%
30,000	5,000	7,285	13,610	22,206	6,325	87%	14,921	205%
30,000	10,000	37,621	69,831	113,105	32,210	86%	75,484	201%
				AVERAGE =	35,018	%96	74,775	219%

TABLE I.26 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

E(SG) PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS) TO INCREASE E(SG) PSI 4,250 3,750 3,250 3750 LBS 2,500 387 668 1,249 281 72% 5,000 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 10,000 122,055 210,360 392,990 88,305 72% 2,500 2,30 397 742 4,581 72% 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 2,500 4,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 2,500 41,275 71,247 133,301 2,504 74% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 48,177 69% 10,000 <th></th> <th></th> <th>TIRE PRE</th> <th>PRESSURE = 100 PSI</th> <th>00 PSI</th> <th>4250 LBS</th> <th>PERCENT</th> <th>4250 LBS</th> <th>PERCENT</th>			TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
E(SG) PSI 4,250 3,750 3,250 3750 LBS 3750 LBS 2,500 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 5,000 122,055 210,360 392,990 88,305 72% 2,500 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 2,500 458 791 1,482 333 73% 5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 5,000 6,644 11,247 133,301 2,504 74% <th></th> <th></th> <th>TIRE L</th> <th>OAD (POUN</th> <th>IDS)</th> <th>ဥ</th> <th>INCREASE</th> <th>O D</th> <th>INCREASE</th>			TIRE L	OAD (POUN	IDS)	ဥ	INCREASE	O D	INCREASE
2,500 387 668 1,249 281 73% 5,000 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 10,000 122,055 210,360 392,990 88,305 72% 2,500 230 397 742 167 73% 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 2,500 458 791 1,482 333 73% 5,000 2,4457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 5,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 70%	E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
5,000 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 2 10,000 122,055 210,360 392,990 88,305 72% 2 2,500 230 397 742 167 73% 5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 17,619 72% 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 5,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69%	1,000	2,500	387	899	1,249	281	73%	862	223%
10,000 122,055 210,360 392,990 88,305 72% 2 2,500 230 397 742 167 73% 5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 2,500 458 791 1,482 333 73% 5,000 2,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 48,177 </td <td>1,000</td> <td>5,000</td> <td>6,349</td> <td>10,930</td> <td>20,442</td> <td>4,581</td> <td>72%</td> <td>14,093</td> <td>222%</td>	1,000	5,000	6,349	10,930	20,442	4,581	72%	14,093	222%
2,500 230 397 742 167 73% 5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 2,500 458 791 1,482 2,003 72% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 70%	1,000	10,000	122,055	210,360	392,990	88,305	72%	270,935	222%
5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 2,500 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69%	5,000	2,500	230	397	742	167	73%	512	223%
10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 2,500 458 791 1,482 333 73% 5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 15,652 70%	5,000	5,000	1,971	3,397	6,349	1,426	72%	4,378	222%
2,500 458 791 1,482 333 73% 5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69%	5,000	10,000	24,457	42,076	78,465	17,619	72%	54,008	221%
5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% AVERAGE 15,662 70%	10,000	2,500	458	791	1,482	333	73%	1,024	224%
10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 70%	10,000	5,000	2,768	4,771	8,926	2,003	72%	6,158	222%
2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69%	10,000	10,000	24,457	42,192	78,755	17,735	73%	54,298	222%
5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% AVERAGE 15,062 70%	20,000	2,500	1,476	2,557	4,798	1,081	73%	3,322	225%
10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% AVERAGE 15,062 70% 70%	20,000	5,000	6,644	11,505	21,513	4,861	73%	14,869	224%
2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 70%	20,000	10,000	41,275	71,247	133,301	29,972	73%	92,026	223%
5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 1	30,000	2,500	3,406	5,910	11,118	2,504	74%	7,712	226%
10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 1 AVERAGE = 15,062 70%	30,000	5,000	16,514	23,392	43,940	6,878	42%	27,426	166%
15.062 70%	30,000	10,000	70,047	118,224	214,982	48,177	%69	144,935	207%
200,01					AVERAGE =	15,062	40%	46,437	218%

TABLE I.27 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

S PERCENT INCREASE	·	227%	226%		226%	224%	224%	226%	265%	223%	225%	224%	223%	226%	225%	224%	1 228%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	40,784	631,143	11,724,719	9,741	76,613	851,663	10,558	64,224	493,542	18,084	81,376	475,965	30,822	122,409	604,167	1,015,721
PERCENT INCREASE		74%	73%	73%	73%	73%	73%	73%	95%	73%	73%	73%	73%	74%	73%	73%	75%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	13,226	204,918	3,803,440	3,153	24,910	277,379	3,415	22,932	160,723	5,876	26,435	155,028	10,067	39,793	196,678	329,865
100 PSI NDS)	3,250	58,718	910,026	16,919,444	14,056	110,742	1,232,426	15,223	88,442	714,440	26,113	117,679	689,112	44,432	176,885	874,437	AVERAGE =
: PRESSURE = 100 PSI RE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	31,160	483,801	725 8,998,165	7,468	59,039	658,142	8,080	47,150	381,621	13,905	62,738	368,175	23,677	94,269	466,948	
TIRE PRI TIRE L	4,250	17,934	278,883	5,194,725	4,315	34,129	380,763	4,665	24,218	220,898	8,029	36,303	213,147	13,610	54,476	270,270	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

Appendix J: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI TABLE J.1

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
<u>5</u>	PERCENT	70	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
9	150%	9	150%	18	450%	1.50
111	176%	127	202%	357	267%	1.50
2,222	173%	2,734	213%	7,470	582%	1.51
4	140%	10	200%	27	240%	1.59
63	158%	114	285%	273	683%	1.54
764	155%	1,708	346%	3,740	%6 52	1.51
21	175%	27	225%	14	617%	1.54
118	162%	208	285%	505	692%	1.55
964	152%	2,271	359%	4,939	780%	1.53
87	171%	82	180%	280	249%	1.56
360	163%	545	247%	1,423	644%	1.57
2,308	223%	4,618	446%	10,158	981%	1.47
227	176%	208	161%	929	253%	1.55
823	167%	1,082	219%	3,002	%809	1.58
3,846	156%	7,319	297%	17,504	710%	1.57
795	166%	1,405	254%	3,363	646%	1.54
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE J.2
PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
<u>و</u>	PERCENT	5	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
103	224%	13	28%	132	287%	1.14
1,882	221%	243	78%	2,440	286%	1.15
39,065	221%	5,263	30%	51,066	289%	1.15
62	194%	18	%99	121	378%	1.51
560	192%	248	85%	1,270	435%	1.57
7,133	188%	4,465	118%	17,631	464%	1.52
115	195%	43	73%	245	415%	1.55
693	188%	426	115%	1,682	456%	1.50
6,047	182%	5,041	152%	16,565	498%	1.49
345	193%	144	%08	745	416%	1.52
1,492	187%	1,019	127%	3,711	464%	1.48
8,835	180%	7,983	162%	25,448	517%	1.51
782	194%	321	%62	1,671	414%	1.51
2,902	186%	1,928	124%	7,167	460%	1.48
14,065	179%	12,724	162%	40,649	516%	1.52
5,605	195%	2,659	% 56	11,370	420%	1.44
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE J.3
PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI			-	
<u>و</u>	PERCENT	5	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
791	223%	75	21%	971	274%	1.12
13,154	223%	1,352	23%	16,385	277%	1.13
256,005	222%	26,990	23%	321,156	279%	1.13
475	223%	48	73%	588	276%	1.12
4,119	221%	470	25%	5,224	281%	1.14
52,068	222%	6,498	28%	67,193	786%	1.15
951	223%	68	21%	1,164	273%	1.12
5,760	223%	618	24%	7,202	279%	1.13
51,667	222%	6,181	27%	65,962	283%	1.14
3,158	225%	262	19%	3,778	%69Z	1.10
13,867	224%	1,312	21%	16,839	272%	1.11
86,781	226%	9,514	25%	107,819	281%	1.12
7,521	%977	265	17%	8,867	%99Z	1.10
28,376	225%	2,421	19%	34,007	%692	1.10
135,536	208%	14,096	22%	178,529	273%	1.19
44,015	222%	4,699	25%	55,712	%9/2	1.13
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROADE SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE J.4

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	NCREASE SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
9	150%	9	150%	19	475%	1.58
112	175%	128	200%	362	%995	1.51
2,248	174%	2,767	214%	7,544	583%	1.50
	140%	10	%00Z	28	%099	1.65
65	163%	118	295%	279	%869	1.52
774	155%	1,745	350%	3,804	762%	1.51
21	162%	28	215%	1.1	295%	1.57
120	160%	216	288%	520	693%	1.55
982	152%	2,334	362%	5,044	783%	1.52
92	174%	66	187%	298	262%	1.56
370	162%	572	250%	1,482	647%	1.57
2,067	153%	4,475	332%	10,157	753%	1.55
239	174%	225	164%	721	226%	1.55
853	166%	1,147	223%	3,152	612%	1.58
3,933	155%	7,617	301%	18,151	717%	1.57
793	161%	1,432	249%	3,443	835%	1.55
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROADE SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE J.5

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE DRESSIBE	DEDCENIT		DEDCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	NCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
98	221%	11	28%	111	285%	1.14
1,617	221%	211	29%	2,100	287%	1.15
33,113	215%	4,656	30%	44,532	289%	1.18
44	200%	18	82%	96	436%	1.55
393	188%	247	118%	962	460%	1.50
5,067	184%	4,173	151%	13,627	494%	1.47
66	248%	40	100%	174	435%	1.25
456	184%	360	145%	1,212	489%	1.49
4,013	178%	4,032	178%	12,155	538%	1.51
226	190%	134	113%	533	448%	1.48
952	182%	200	151%	2,618	200%	1.50
5,543	174%	6,160	194%	17,827	260%	1.52
527	189%	309	111%	1,236	443%	1.48
1,885	181%	1,548	149%	5,176	497%	1.51
8,811	173%	9,908	195%	28,738	564%	1.54
4,189	195%	2,173	118%	8,740	448%	1.42
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE J.6
INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT
TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED.
E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

I OAD FROM	DEBCENT	TIPE DEFECTIOE	DEDCENIT		DEDCENIT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE		INCREASE	COMBINED	4.	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
105	223%	13	28%	135	287%	1.14
1,912	222%	250	29%	2,481	287%	1.15
39,395	221%	5,339	30%	51,536	288%	1.15
65	197%	19	28%	127	385%	1.51
576	191%	258	86%	1,314	437%	1.58
7,277	188%	4,597	119%	18,064	466%	1.52
121	195%	47	%9/	261	421%	1.55
719	188%	449	117%	1,757	459%	1.50
6,209	182%	5,255	154%	17,107	501%	1.49
368	193%	158	83%	803	420%	1.53
1,566	186%	1,095	130%	3,931	468%	1.48
9,129	180%	8,387	165%	26,485	521%	1.51
833	193%	350	81%	1,801	417%	1.52
3,071	186%	2,089	127%	7,665	465%	1.49
14,202	174%	13,501	165%	42,589	220%	1.54
5,703	195%	2,787	%96	11,737	423%	1.44
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTINGFAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROADE SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE J.7

Г	ပ		Т			Т			Т			Г			Т			Т	
	SYNERGISTIC	FACTOR	1.11	1.12	1.13	1.16	1.13	1.14	1.11	1.12	1.13	1.09	1.12	1.27	1.10	1.23	1.36	1.15	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		271%	275%	277%	282%	278%	283%	271%	275%	280%	266%	270%	291%	263%	278%	324%	279%	AVERAGE
	COMBINED	EFFECT	3,177	49,648	929,358	1,022	8,668	109,991	1,228	8,041	76,736	2,455	12,323	87,154	4,558	20,275	122,035	95,778	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		20%	21%	23%	20%	23%	76%	20%	22%	25%	18%	20%	28%	16%	22%	38%	23%	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE	FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	40 PSI	230	3,872	76,212	74	730	10,170	89	645	6,834	162	913	8,266	274	1,583	14,160	8,281	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		224%	224%	223%	223%	223%	223%	225%	224%	223%	226%	221%	201%	223%	205%	201%	219%	AVERAGE
LOAD FROM	4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	2,633	40,416	748,591	608	6,964	86,633	1,020	6,541	61,189	2,085	10,063	60,399	3,871	14,921	75,484	74,775	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	NCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
862	223%	84	22%	1,063	275%	1.12
14,093	222%	1,479	23%	17,616	277%	1.13
270,935	222%	29,666	24%	341,609	280%	1.14
512	223%	52	23%	637	277%	1.13
4,378	222%	506	26%	5,568	282%	1.14
54,008	221%	6,837	28%	69,916	286%	1.15
1,024	224%	86	21%	1,253	274%	1.12
6,158	222%	674	24%	7,727	279%	1.13
54,298	222%	6,569	27%	69,502	284%	1.14
3,322	225%	274	461	3,968	769%	1.10
14,869	224%	1,436	22%	18,214	274%	1.12
92,026	223%	9,913	24%	114,782	278%	1.13
7,712	226%	580	%21	9,054	798%	1.09
27,426	166%	-367	-5%	46,445	281%	1.72
144,935	207%	15,393	22%	191,933	274%	1.20
46,437	218%	4,880	21%	59,952	277%	1.17
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE J.9
INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT
TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED.
E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

TIRE PRESSURE PERCENT
NCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE COMBINED
40 PSI
1
2,270 13%
767,128 15%
650 15%
6,015 18%
75,348 20%
731 16%
8,034
46,203
1,223
6,574
45,249
2,009
999'6
56,605
71,108
AVERAGE AVERAGE

Appendix K: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]

YABLE K.1 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		82%	95%	%06	95%	79%	%99	87%	75%	63%	88%	80%	71%	94%	%06	84%	83%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	1,198	1,619	1,961	7,624	10,904	14,296	24,647	34,026	45,240	139,863	177,702	230,480	577,293	680,557	830,308	185,181
PERCENT INCREASE		36%	35%	34%	34%	33%	32%	35%	34%	33%	36%	36%	35%	37%	37%	37%	35%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	452	614	744	2,838	4,579	6,889	9,928	15,463	24,081	57,582	79,317	114,527	229,377	282,954	369,854	79,947
PSI S)	3,250	2,463	3,374	4,129	15,911	24,760	35,802	52,916	79,264	117,136	298,289	399,403	554,547	1,191,362	1,439,774	1,824,468	AVERAGE =
RE PRESSURE = 40 PSI FIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	1,717	2,369	2,912	11,125	18,435	28,395	38,197	60,701	95,977	216,008	301,018	438,594	843,446	1,042,171	1,364,014	
TIRE PRES TIRE LOA	4,250	1,265	1,755	2,168	8,287	13,856	21,506	28,269	45,238	71,896	158,426	221,701	324,067	614,069	759,217	994,160	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE K.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	IIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI) PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LO	LOAD (POUNDS)	(SC	2	INCREASE	ք	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	10,072	17,626	20,721	7,554	75%	10.649	106%
1,000	5,000	13,190	22,867	26,879	6,677	73%	13,689	104%
1,000	10,000	15,954	27,476	32,284	11,522	72%	16,330	102%
5,000	2,500	23,350	38,842	47,602	15,492	%99	24,252	104%
5,000	5,000	36,695	60,169	73,683	23,474	64%	36,988	101%
5,000	10,000	55,995	90,533	110,730	34,538	62%	54,735	%86
10,000	2,500	42,563	67,924	86,635	25,361	%09	44,072	104%
10,000	5,000	66,865	105,270	134,034	38,405	21%	67,169	100%
10,000	10,000	106,881	165,774	210,996	58,893	25%	104,115	%26
20,000	2,500	106,475	160,482	214,488	54,007	51%	108,013	101%
20,000	5,000	157,749	235,387	313,502	77,638	49%	155,753	%66
20,000	10,000	246,836	363,150	482,952	116,314	47%	236,116	%96
30,000	2,500	220,913	320,636	445,062	99,723	45%	224,149	101%
30,000	5,000	310,217	446,371	617,059	136,154	44%	306,842	%66
30,000	10,000	463,847	660,987	909,774	197,140	43%	445,927	%96
				AVERAGE =	60,393	28%	123,253	101%

TABLE K.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

	TIRE P	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	TIRE LO	LOAD (POUNDS)	(S)	10	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
ıl	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
i	56,523	79,817	119,929	23,294	41%	63,406	112%
	68,262	96,154	143,906	27,892	41%	75,644	111%
	77,300	108,524	162,221	31,224	40%	84,921	110%
	100,343	140,949	211,243	40,606	40%	110,900	111%
	136,103	190,230	284,023	54,127	40%	147,920	109%
	178,442	248,051	369,227	609'69	39%	190,785	107%
	156,573	219,868	326,235	63,295	40%	169,662	108%
	214,488	299,454	441,813	84,966	40%	227,325	106%
-	292,548	406,284	595,563	113,736	39%	303,015	104%
l	314,746	437,954	648,031	123,208	39%	333,285	106%
	418,100	578,697	852,527	160,597	38%	434,427	104%
	566,007	779,206	1,140,932	213,199	38%	574,925	102%
	555,418	771,129	1,344,509	215,711	39%	789,091	142%
	716,321	988,594	1,451,912	272,273	38%	735,591	103%
	943,754	1,295,997	1,891,799	352,243	37%	948,045	100%
			AVERAGE =	123,065	39%	345,929	109%

TABLE K.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PRI TIRE L	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	70 PSI IDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	704	875	1,137	171	24%	433	62%
1,000	5,000	911	1,118	1,435	207	23%	524	28%
1,000	10,000	1,072	1,304	1,658	232	22%	586	25%
2,000	2,500	3,567	4,202	5,125	635	18%	1,558	44%
2,000	5,000	5,192	2,967	7,097	775	15%	1,905	37%
5,000	10,000	7,071	7,952	9,250	881	12%	2,179	31%
10,000	2,500	10,811	12,253	14,289	1,442	13%	3,478	32%
10,000	5,000	15,107	16,690	18,969	1,583	10%	3,862	76%
10,000	10,000	20,769	22,370	24,760	1,601	8%	3,991	19%
20,000	2,500	52,632	56,995	60,945	3,363	%9	8,313	16%
20,000	5,000	66,371	69,177	73,683	2,806	4%	7,312	11%
20,000	10,000	85,866	87,256	269'06	1,390	2%	4,831	%9
30,000	2,500	182,262	182,875	186,610	613	%0	4,348	2%
30,000	5,000	210,503	208,304	209,522	-2,199	-1%	-981	%0
30,000	10,000	252,678	245,029	241,759	-7,649	-3%	-10,919	-4%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	390	10%	2,095	26%

TABLE K.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR TIRE L	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	70 PSI IDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	7,612	13,378	14,857	5,766	%9/	7,245	%56
1,000	5,000	9,663	16,845	18,611	7,182	74%	8,948	93%
1,000	10,000	11,736	19,792	21,785	8,056	%69	10,049	%98
5,000	2,500	16,808	26,879	30,357	10,01	%09	13,549	81%
5,000	5,000	24,851	39,006	43,494	14,155	57%	18,643	75%
5,000	10,000	35,462	54,702	60,217	19,240	54%	24,755	%02
10,000	2,500	28,723	44,001	50,548	15,278	23%	21,825	%9/
10,000	5,000	42,107	63,350	71,715	21,243	20%	29,608	%02
10,000	10,000	61,982	91,440	101,761	29,458	48%	39,779	64%
20,000	2,500	65,290	93,544	109,044	28,254	43%	43,754	%29
20,000	5,000	90,288	127,426	146,023	37,138	41%	55,735	62%
20,000	10,000	129,233	178,839	201,187	49,606	38%	71,954	26%
30,000	2,500	125,653	170,920	202,118	45,267	36%	76,465	61%
30,000	5,000	165,234	221,964	258,058	56,730	34%	92,824	26%
30,000	10,000	226,776	299,454	341,031	72,678	32%	114,255	20%
			A	AVERAGE =	28,008	51%	41,959	71%

TABLE K.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	ဥ	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	44,810	64,970	93,374	20,160	45%	48,564	108%
1,000	5,000	53,530	77,034	110,200	23,504	44%	56,670	106%
1,000	10,000	60,121	960'98	122,686	25,975	43%	62,565	104%
5,000	2,500	78,037	108,836	154,418	30,799	39%	76,381	%86
5,000	5,000	103,985	142,417	200,032	38,432	37%	96,047	95%
5,000	10,000	134,307	180,238	251,123	45,931	34%	116,816	81%
10,000	2,500	120,165	161,522	226,776	41,357	34%	106,611	%68
10,000	5,000	159,621	211,986	294,446	52,365	33%	134,825	84%
10,000	10,000	210,012	276,170	379,651	66,158	32%	169,639	81%
20,000	2,500	225,965	297,901	411,547	71,936	32%	185,582	82%
20,000	5,000	290,293	379,121	517,932	88,828	31%	227,639	%82
20,000	10,000	377,010	487,337	658,804	110,327	29%	281,794	75%
30,000	2,500	375,960	490,288	666,485	114,328	30%	290,525	77%
30,000	5,000	468,007	604,237	814,074	136,230	29%	346,067	74%
30,000	10,000	591,760	756,602	1,007,307	164,842	28%	415,547	%02
			Ą	AVERAGE =	68,745	35%	174,351	87%

TABLE K.7 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	PERCENT 4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE L	RE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	70	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
4SE) PSI	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	415	529	702	114	27%	287	%69
1,000	5,000	519	655	860	136	26%	341	%99
1,000	10,000	598	749	975	151	25%	377	63%
5,000	2,500	1,799	2,174	2,717	375	21%	918	51%
5,000	5,000	2,456	2,912	3,566	456	19%	1,110	45%
5,000	10,000	3,156	3,683	4,439	527	17%	1,283	41%
10,000	2,500	4,887	5,685	6,834	798	16%	1,947	40%
10,000	5,000	6,394	7,299	8,607	902	14%	2,213	35%
10,000	10,000	8,226	9,216	10,659	066	12%	2,433	30%
20,000	2,500	19,985	21,939	24,730	1,954	10%	4,745	24%
20,000	2,000	23,875	25,799	28,649	1,924	%8	4,774	20%
20,000	10,000	29,018	30,814	33,644	1,796	%9	4,626	16%
30,000	2,500	58,983	61,485	65,612	2,502	4%	6,629	11%
30,000	5,000	65,612	65,699	71,474	2,087	3%	5,862	%6
30,000	10,000	74,949	76,374	79,540	1,425	2%	4,591	%9
				AVERAGE =	1,076	14%	2,809	35%

TABLE K.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

:		TIRE PRE TIRE LO	RE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	00 PSI IDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PS	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	5,672	9,779	10,878	4,107	72%	5,206	92%
1,000	5,000	7,085	12,073	13,371	4,988	%0 2	6,286	%68
1,000	10,000	8,258	13,984	15,429	5,726	%69	7,171	87%
5,000	2,500	11,444	18,684	21,158	7,240	63%	9,714	85%
5,000	5,000		26,121	29,279	9,874	61%	13,032	%08
5,000	10,000	22,291	35,342	39,142	13,051	29%	16,851	%9/
10,000	2,500		29,563	33,893	10,668	26%	14,998	%6/
10,000	5,000	26,530	40,922	46,281	14,392	54%	19,751	74%
10,000	10,000	37,256	56,612	63,043	19,356	52%	25,787	%69
20,000	2,500	40,147	59,312	69,004	19,165	48%	28,857	72%
20,000	5,000	53,242	77,768	89,075	24,526	46%	35,833	%29
20,000	10,000	~	104,378	117,596	31,933	44%	45,151	62%
30,000	2,500	73,371	102,913	120,998	29,542	40%	47,627	65%
30,000	5,000	92,780	128,973	149,119	36,193	36%	56,339	61%
30,000	10,000	121,237	166,678	189,156	45,441	37%	67,919	26%
				AVERAGE =	18,413	54%	26,701	74%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE K.9

TIRE LOAD (POUNDS) SSG) PSI 4,250 3,750 3,250 3. 2,500 36,295 49,940 71,654 5,000 42,563 58,471 83,465 10,000 47,284 64,758 92,190 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 95,537 128,455 180,842 5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012				4230 LD3	
E(SG) PSI 4,250 3,750 3,250 2,500 36,295 49,940 71,654 5,000 42,563 58,471 83,465 10,000 42,563 58,471 83,465 10,000 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	LINE LOAD (POUNDS)	T 0	INCREASE	م	INCREASE
2,500 36,295 49,940 71,654 5,000 42,563 58,471 83,465 10,000 47,284 64,758 92,190 2,500 59,359 80,939 115,773 5,000 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 95,537 128,455 180,842 2,500 86,557 117,022 165,954 5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	3,750	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
5,000 42,563 58,471 83,465 10,000 47,284 64,758 92,190 2,500 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 95,537 128,455 180,842 2,500 86,557 117,022 165,954 5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	95 49,940	13,645	38%	35,359	92%
10,000 47,284 64,758 92,190 2,500 59,359 80,939 115,773 5,000 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 95,537 128,455 180,842 2,500 86,557 117,022 165,954 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	58,471	15,908	37%	40,902	%96
2,500 59,359 80,939 115,773 5,000 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 95,537 128,455 180,842 2,500 86,557 117,022 165,954 5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	64,758	17,474	37%	44,906	95%
5,000 76,506 103,594 146,943 10,000 95,537 128,455 180,842 2,500 86,557 117,022 165,954 5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	80,939	21,580	36%	56,414	%56
10,000 95,537 128,455 180,842 2,500 86,557 117,022 165,954 5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 155,573 207,337 289,546 5,000 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	103,594	27,088	35%	70,437	95%
2,500 86,557 117,022 165,954 5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 155,573 207,337 289,546 5,000 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	128,455	32,918	34%	85,305	86%
5,000 111,802 149,907 210,750 10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 155,573 207,337 289,546 5,000 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	117,022	30,465	35%	79,397	95%
10,000 143,159 190,445 265,244 2,500 155,573 207,337 289,546 5,000 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	02 149,907	38,105	34%	98,948	%68
2,500 155,573 207,337 289,546 5,000 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	59 190,445	47,286	33%	122,085	85%
5,000 194,606 257,417 356,225 10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	207,337	51,764	33%	133,973	%98
10,000 245,629 322,345 441,813 2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	257,417	62,811	32%	161,619	83%
2,500 249,581 328,422 452,322 5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	322,345	76,716	31%	196,184	80%
5,000 302,987 395,460 540,012	328,422	78,841	32%	202,741	81%
	395,460	92,473	31%	237,025	78%
32 482,227 652,313	372,832 482,227 652,313	109,395	29%	279,481	75%
AVERAGE = 47,765	AVERAGE =	47,765	34%	122,985	88%

Appendix L: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE L.1

	0		Τ			T			Т			Т-			Г	-		1	
	SYNERGISTIC	FACTOR	1.80	1.81	1.81	1.91	1.78	1.66	1.90	1.77	1.65	1.94	1.85	1.75	2.02	1.96	1.89	1.83	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		493%	220%	280%	784%	%806	1034%	983%	1140%	1324%	1393%	1573%	1811%	1920%	2094%	2334%	1262%	AVERAGE
	COMBINED	EFFECT	2,048	2,855	3,531	14,112	22,304	32,646	48,029	72,870	108,910	278,304	375,528	525,529	1,132,379	1,374,162	1,749,519	382,848	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		205%	238%	263%	361%	464%	581%	478%	%809	774%	% E69	829%	1017%	941%	1057%	1226%	649%	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE	NCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	40 PSI	850	1,236	1,570	6,488	11,400	18,350	23,382	38,844	63,670	138,441	197,826	295,049	555,086	693,605	919,211	197,667	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		%69	%99	63%	21%	45%	41%	40%	35%	30%	24%	20%	16%	11%	% 6	%9	35%	AVERAGE
LOAD FROM	4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	287	341	377	918	1,110	1,283	1,947	2,213	2,433	4,745	4,774	4,626	6,629	5,862	4,591	2,809	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE L.2

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	NCREASE SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
5,206	95%	4,400	%82	15,049	265%	1.57
6,286	%68	6,105	%98	19,794	279%	1.60
7,171	87%	7,696	93%	24,026	291%	1.62
9,714	85%	11,906	104%	36,158	316%	1.67
13,032	%08	20,448	126%	57,436	354%	1.72
16,851	76%	33,704	151%	88,439	397%	1.75
14,998	%62	23,668	125%	67,740	329%	1.75
19,751	74%	40,335	152%	107,504	405%	1.79
25,787	%69	69,625	187%	173,740	466%	1.82
28,857	72%	66,328	165%	174,341	434%	1.83
35,833	%29	104,507	196%	260,260	489%	1.85
45,151	62%	174,391	241%	410,507	267%	1.87
47,627	%59	147,542	201%	371,691	207%	1.90
56,339	61%	217,437	234%	524,279	265%	1.91
67,919	26%	342,610	283%	788,537	650%	1.92
26,701	74%	84,713	161%	207,967	423%	1.77
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSITABLE L.3

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	NCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	NCREASE SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
35,359	%26	20,228	26%	83,634	230%	1.50
40,902	%96	25,699	%09	101,343	238%	1.52
44,906	82%	30,016	%89	114,937	243%	1.53
56,414	%56	40,984	%69	151,884	256%	1.56
70,437	85%	29,597	78%	207,517	271%	1.60
85,305	89%	82,905	87%	273,690	286%	1.63
79,397	95%	70,016	81%	239,678	277%	1.60
98,948	%68	102,686	95%	330,011	295%	1.64
122,085	85%	149,389	104%	452,404	316%	1.67
133,973	%98	159,173	102%	492,458	317%	1.68
161,619	83%	223,494	115%	657,921	338%	1.71
196,184	80%	320,378	130%	895,303	364%	1.73
202,741	81%	305,837	123%	1,094,928	439%	2.15
237,025	78%	413,334	136%	1,148,925	379%	1.77
279,481	75%	570,922	153%	1,518,967	407%	1.79
122,985	88%	171,644	%26	517,573	311%	1.67
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

Appendix M: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE M.1

		TIRE	IIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	O PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIR	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	DS)	01	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	443	286	692	143	32%	249	26%
1,000	2,000	577	759	856	182	32%	279	48%
1,000	10,000	676	888	972	212	31%	296	44%
5,000	2,500	16,864	23,213	30,845	6,349	38%	13,981	83%
5,000	2,000	21,326	29,438	38,061	8,112	38%	16,735	78%
5,000	10,000	25,496	35,305	44,752	608'6	38%	19,256	%9/
10,000	2,500	271,785	389,313	621,880	117,528	43%	350,095	129%
10,000	5,000	231,494	333,500	535,049	102,006	44%	303,555	131%
10,000	10,000	210,678	305,300	491,354	94,622	45%	280,676	133%
20,000	2,500	5,608,047,422 18,94	18,942,617,591	1,802,191,509	13,334,570,169	238%	-3,805,855,913	%89-
20,000	5,000	31,897,922	54,773,697	98,836,992	22,875,775	72%	66,939,070	210%
20,000	10,000	6,392,644	10,364,252	19,260,328	3,971,608	62%	12,867,684	201%
30,000	2,500		NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
30,000	2,000	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
30,000	10,000	1,034,832,653	2,83	17,815,543 2,700,170,360	1,802,982,890	174%	1,665,337,707	161%
				AVERAGE =	1,166,518,416	%89	-158,440,487	%66

NO TENSION: NO HORIZONTAL TENSILE STRAIN CALCULATED FOR THIS LOADING CONDITION.

TABLE M.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		%96	94%	95%	%56	85%	19%	87%	78%	%69	78%	74%	%02	%29	%02	71%	76%
4250 LBS	2	3250 LBS	890	1,177	1,404	6,930	9,613	4,419	27,896	35,484	43,434	230,282	250,915	274,780	1,463,632	1,319,120	1,236,364	327,089
PERCENT	INCREASE		36%	35%	34%	35%	33%	-10%	35%	34%	33%	34%	34%	34%	33%	34%	35%	31%
4250 LBS	5	3750 LBS	330	435	522	2,554	3,754	-2,454	11,081	15,343	20,769	101,734	115,893	135,622	726,510	644,125	602,023	158,549
PSI	(2)	3,250	1,818	2,432	2,922	14,259	20,861	28,070	59,835	80,800	106,064	526,016	589,674	669,164	3,638,624	3,205,962	2,971,580	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	1,258	1,690	2,040	9,883	15,002	21,197	43,020	69'09	83,399	397,468	454,652	530,006	2,901,502	2,530,967	2,337,239	
TIRE P	TIRE LO	4,250	928	1,255	1,518	7,329	11,248	23,651	31,939	45,316	62,630	295,734	338,759	394,384	2,174,992	1,886,842	1,735,216	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE M.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT NCREASE		104%	102%	101%	%66	%26	94%	%26	94%	95%	%68	%98	82%	74%	73%	72%	%06
4250 LBS P	BS	2,521	3,122	3,572	12,388	16,584	21,038	39,982	50,854	63,599	212,158	233,965	258,810	715,330	716,786	729,041	205,317
PERCENT A		38%	38%	37%	37%	36%	35%	36%	35%	34%	34%	34%	33%	33%	33%	33%	35%
4250 LBS TO	BS	931	1,157	1,324	4,582	6,146	7,796	14,777	18,796	23,521	81,407	92,066	105,313	316,463	320,296	330,604	88,345
IS .	3,250	4,948	6,179	7,107	24,873	33,753	43,325	81,320	104,722	132,418	449,358	506,170	573,829	1,682,180	1,695,809	1,742,293	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	3,358	4,214	4,859	17,067	23,315	30,083	56,115	72,664	92,340	318,607	364,271	420,332	1,283,313	1,299,319	1,343,856	A
TIRE PRE	4,250	2,427	3,057	3,535	12,485	17,169	22,287	41,338	53,868	68,819	237,200	272,205	315,019	966,850	979,023	1,013,252	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE M.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIREP	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	5	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	126	137	154	11	<u>%6</u>	28	22%
1,000	5,000	149	160	155	17	2%	9	4%
1,000	10,000	165	176	193	11	7%	28	17%
5,000	2,500	3,884	3,646	3,484	-238	%9-	-400	-10%
2,000	5,000	4,552	4,196	3,942	-356	%8-	-610	-13%
5,000	10,000	5,134	4,665	4,326	-469	%6-	-808	-16%
10,000	2,500	53,137	42,718	34,768	-10,419	-20%	-18,369	-35%
10,000	5,000	48,990	40,033	33,030	-8,957	-18%	-15,960	-33%
10,000	10,000	47,064	38,798	32,263	-8,266	-18%	-14,801	-31%
20,000	2,500	5,187,648	2,302,877	1,158,500	-2,884,771	-26%	-4,029,148	-78%
20,000	2,000	2,100,872	1,204,098	724,249	-896,774	-43%	-1,376,623	%99-
20,000	10,000	1,239,842	805,827	534,542	-434,015	-35%	-705,300	-57%
30,000	2,500	NO TENSION	528,706,548 47,221,462	47,221,462	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
30,000	5,000	125,848,909	29,889,260	9,889,260 10,196,706	-95,959,649	%9 /-	-115,652,203	-92%
30,000	10,000	18,779,876	8,817,011	4,498,637	-9,962,865	-53%	-14,281,239	-16%
			A	AVERAGE =	-7,869,053	-23%	-9,721,100	-33%

NO TENSION: NO HORIZONTAL TENSILE STRAIN CALCULATED FOR THIS LOADING CONDITION.

TABLE M.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE	64%	%09	28%	45%	39%	34%	31%	76%	22%	10%	8 %	%9	-10%	%8-	-7%	25%
4250 LBS	3250 LBS	345	412	459	1,454	1,722	1,946	3,786	4,043	4,192	8,463	7,517	6,215	-45,925	-33,395	-26,693	-4,364
PERCENT	USCARASIN TO THE PROPERTY OF T	24%	24%	23%	18%	16%	14%	13%	11%	%6	4%	3%	2%	%9 -	-5%	-4%	10%
4250 LBS	3750 LBS	128	166	185	595	402	791	1,575	1,655	1,694	3,114	2,622	1,877	-27,880	-20,545	-17,010	-3,355
0 PSI	3,250	884	1,098	1,256	4,718	6,194	7,679	15,900	19,586	23,582	94,477	101,520	110,034	403,029	377,807	363,964	AVERAGE =
FIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	3,750	299	852	982	3,859	5,181	6,524	13,689	17,198	21,084	89,128	96,625	105,696	421,074	390,657	373,647	AV
TIRE PR	4,250	539	989	797	3,264	4,472	5,733	12,114	15,543	19,390	86,014	94,003	103,819	448,954	411,202	390,657	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE M.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		82%	%62	78%	%29	62%	29%	25%	52%	48%	39%	37%	35%	25%	25%	24%	51%
4250 LBS	2	3250 LBS	1,456	1,719	1,911	5,022	6,052	7,042	11,871	13,587	15,274	37,515	39,315	41,068	78,518	78,602	79,106	27,871
PERCENT	INCREASE		32%	31%	30%	27%	72%	24%	22%	21%	50%	16%	15%	14%	10%	10%	10%	20%
4250 LBS	5	3750 LBS	568	670	749	2,028	2,406	2,841	4,797	5,503	6,201	15,394	16,077	16,843	32,030	32,046	32,352	11,367
l PSI	(S)	3,250	3,232	3,892	4,374	12,523	15,797	19,012	33,273	39,895	46,957	134,577	146,556	160,069	396,094	398,503	405,848	AVERAGE =
RESSURE = 70 PSI	OAD (POUNDS)	3,750	2,344	2,843	3,212	9,529	12,151	14,811	26,199	31,811	37,884	112,456	123,318	135,844	349,606	351,947	359,094	AVE
	TIRE LO	4,250	1,776	2,173	2,463	7,501	9,745	11,970	21,402	26,308	31,683	97,062	107,241	119,001	317,576	319,901	326,742	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE M.7

		TIRE PRE	RESSURE = 100 PSI	100 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	οt	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	52	69	69		13%		33%
1,000	5,000	59	29	7.7	∞	14%	18	31%
1,000	10,000	64	72	83	80	13%	19	30%
5,000	2,500	1,061	1,058	1,078	£-	%0	17	2%
2,000	5,000	1,187	1,171	1,180	-16	-1%	-7	-1%
5,000	10,000	1,297	1,266	1,264	-31	-5%	-33	-3%
10,000	2,500	6,663	8,539	669'2	-1,124	-12%	-1,964	-20%
10,000	5,000	9,243	8,246	7,462	-997	-11%	-1,781	-19%
10,000	10,000	990'6	8,116	7,367	-950	-10%	-1,699	-19%
20,000	2,500	255,847	172,210	120,225	-83,637	-33%	-135,622	-53%
20,000	5,000	172,674	126,130	94,055	-46,544	-27%	-78,619	-46%
20,000	10,000	133,326	102,279	79,604	-31,047	-23%	-53,722	-40%
30,000	2,500	5,868,570	2,407,994	1,152,959	-3,460,576	%69-	119'511'4-	%08-
30,000	5,000	1,916,002	1,086,531	650,491	-829,471	43%	-1,265,511	%99-
30,000	10,000	989,131	655,756	443,348	-333,375	-34%	-545,783	-55%
			A	AVERAGE =	-319,183	-14%	-453,352	-20%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE M.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	RESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE L	LOAD (POUNDS)	IDS)	70	INCREASE	70	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	324	414	551	06	28%	227	%0 2
1,000	5,000	400	206	999	106	27%	266	%29
1,000	10,000	455	572	749	117	76%	294	65%
2,000	2,500	1,662	2,008	2,519	346	21%	857	52%
2,000	5,000	2,154	2,566	3,161	412	19%	1,007	47%
5,000	10,000	2,644	3,105	3,778	461	17%	1,134	43%
10,000	2,500	5,429	6,286	7,521	857	16%	2,092	39%
10,000	5,000	6,605	7,540	8,894	935	14%	2,289	35%
10,000	10,000	7,883	8,870	10,313	286	13%	2,430	31%
20,000	2,500	30,527	32,883	36,350	2,356	%8	5,823	19%
20,000	5,000	32,657	34,970	38,435	2,313	2%	5,778	18%
20,000	10,000	35,202	37,437	40,873	2,235	%9	5,671	16%
30,000	2,500	123,019	122,870	125,745	-149	%0	2,726	2%
30,000	5,000	115,979	116,673	120,225	694	1%	4,246	4%
30,000	10,000	112,059	113,256	117,092	1,197	1%	5,033	4%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	864	14%	2,658	34%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE M.9 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE L	LOAD (POUNDS)	(DS)	01	INCREASE	<u>٥</u>	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PS	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1,223	1,632	2,285	409	33%	1,062	87%
1,000	5,000	1,466	1,943	2,700	477	33%	1,234	84%
1,000	10,000	1,640	2,169	2,997	529	32%	1,357	83%
2,000	2,500	4,603	5,915	7,925	1,312	73%	3,322	72%
5,000	5,000	5,761	7,329	9,718	1,568	27%	3,957	%69
5,000	10,000	6,893	8,720	11,449	1,827	27%	4,556	%99
10,000	2,500	11,970	14,954	19,471	2,984	25%	7,501	63%
10,000	5,000	14,259	17,624	22,670	3,365	24%	8,411	%69
10,000	10,000	16,669	20,424	26,040	3,755	23%	9,371	%95
20,000	2,500	46,787	55,688	68,784	8,901	19%	21,997	47%
20,000	5,000	50,714	60,040	73,764	9,326	18%	23,050	45%
20,000	10,000	55,160	64,943	79,350	9,783	18%	24,190	44%
30,000	2,500	133,409	152,327	183,552	18,918	14%	50,143	38%
30,000	5,000	134,242	153,218	181,183	18,976	14%	46,941	35%
30,000	10,000	136,525	155,627	183,804	19,102	14%	47,279	35%
			A.	AVERAGE =	6,749	23%	16,958	29%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE M.10 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		26%	49%	44%	84%	%08	77%	131%	149%	136%	7623%	260%	205%	111%	107%	1318%	%569
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	250	282	299	13,905	16,726	19,351	319,563	322,303	274,036	3,374,525,192	54,319,561	11,181,100	19,474,981	55,109,865	4,487,277,070	533,523,632
PERCENT INCREASE		32%	32%	32%	38%	38%	39%	44%	44%	45%	1911%	%0/	61%	39%	36%	29%	166%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	144	183	214	6,230	8,003	9,739	106,328	96,429	91,221	845,843,415	14,571,403	3,335,673	6,775,496	18,778,546	98,607,776	65,882,053
0 PSI DS)	3,250	969	861	926	30,393	37,690	44,551	562,826	539,107	476,206	3,418,795,691	75,240,464	16,642,507	36,951,133	106,777,575	4,827,693,385	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	589	762	891	22,718	28,967	34,939	349,591	313,233	293,391	890,113,914	35,492,306	8,797,080	24,251,648	70,446,256	439,024,091	
TIRE PRE TIRE LO	4,250	445	579	677	16,488	20,964	25,200	243,263	216,804	202,170	44,270,499	20,920,903	5,461,407	17,476,152	51,667,710	340,416,315	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE M.11 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

E(SG) PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS) TO INC 2,500 946 1,279 1,849 333 5,000 1,272 1,709 2,459 423 10,000 1,635 2,058 2,950 423 2,500 7,521 10,109 14,578 2,588 5,000 11,381 15,196 2,588 2,588 10,000 16,109 21,379 28,321 5,270 2,500 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 599,668 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 5995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487			TIRE PI	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI) PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
E(SG) PSI 4,250 3,750 3,250 3750 LBS 2,500 946 1,279 1,849 333 5,000 1,272 1,709 2,459 437 10,000 1,635 2,058 2,950 423 2,500 7,521 10,109 14,578 2,588 5,000 11,381 15,196 21,222 3,815 10,000 16,109 21,379 28,321 5,270 2,500 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,332,834 2,995,429 599,668 6,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,			TIRE	LOAD (POUNE)S)	5	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
2,500 946 1,279 1,849 333 5,000 1,272 1,709 2,459 437 10,000 1,635 2,058 2,950 423 2,500 7,521 10,109 14,578 2,588 5,000 16,109 21,379 28,321 5,270 2,500 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 20,871 2,500 45,601 61,047 81,364 20,871 2,500 45,601 61,047 81,364 20,871 2,500 45,601 61,047 81,364 20,871 2,500 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 599,668 5,000 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 5,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,	E(BASE) PSI		4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
5,000 1,272 1,709 2,459 437 10,000 1,635 2,058 2,950 423 2,500 7,521 10,109 14,578 2,588 5,000 11,381 15,196 21,222 3,815 10,000 16,109 21,379 28,321 5,270 2,500 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	1,000	2,500	946	1,279	1,849	333	35%	903	95%
10,000 1,635 2,058 2,950 423 2,500 7,521 10,109 14,578 2,588 5,000 11,381 15,196 21,222 3,815 10,000 16,109 21,379 28,321 5,270 2,500 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 113,895 10,000 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	1,000	5,000	1,272	1,709	2,459	437	34%	1,187	93%
2,500 7,521 10,109 14,578 2,588 5,000 11,381 15,196 21,222 3,815 10,000 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	1,000	10,000	1,635	2,058	2,950	423	26%	1,315	%08
5,000 11,381 15,196 21,222 3,815 10,000 16,109 21,379 28,321 5,270 2,500 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	5,000	2,500	7,521	10,109	14,578	2,588	34%	7,057	94%
10,000 16,109 21,379 28,321 5,270 2,500 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	2,000	5,000	11,381	15,196	21,222	3,815	34%	9,841	%98
2,500 32,577 43,846 60,987 11,269 5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	5,000	10,000	16,109	21,379	28,321	5,270	33%	12,212	%9/
5,000 45,601 61,047 81,364 15,446 10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	10,000	2,500	32,577	43,846	286'09	11,269	35%	28,410	87%
10,000 62,753 83,624 106,434 20,871 2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	10,000	5,000	45,601	61,047	81,364	15,446	34%	35,763	78%
2,500 287,907 387,309 515,243 99,402 5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	10,000	10,000	62,753	83,624	106,434	20,871	33%	43,681	%02
5,000 331,046 444,941 579,424 113,895 10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	20,000	2,500	287,907	387,309	515,243	99,402	35%	227,336	%62
10,000 387,976 520,593 661,746 132,617 2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	20,000	5,000	331,046	444,941	579,424	113,895	34%	248,378	75%
2,500 1,892,101 2,534,820 3,237,596 642,719 5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	20,000	10,000	387,976	520,593	661,746	132,617	34%	273,770	71%
5,000 1,737,571 2,337,239 2,995,429 599,668 10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	30,000	2,500	1,892,101	2,534,820	3,237,596	642,719	34%	1,345,495	71%
10,000 1,655,347 2,232,834 2,865,007 577,487	30,000	5,000	1,737,571	2,337,239	2,995,429	299,668	35%	1,257,858	72%
077 077	30,000	10,000	1,655,347	2,232,834	2,865,007	577,487	35%	1,209,660	73%
148,416					AVERAGE =	148,416	34%	313,524	80%

TABLE M.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)= 150,000 PSI.

		TIRE P	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PS) PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LO	LOAD (POUNDS)	(SC	2	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	2,552	3,535	5,205	983	39%	2,653	104%
1,000	5,000	3,093	4,279	6,271	1,186	38%	3,178	103%
1,000	10,000	3,506	4,837	7,089	1,331	38%	3,583	102%
2,000	2,500	13,354	18,229	26,479	4,875	37%	13,125	%86
2,000	5,000	17,767	24,109	34,840	6,342	36%	17,073	%96
5,000	10,000	22,711	30,661	44,099	7,950	35%	21,388	94%
10,000	2,500	44,237	59,835	86,578	15,598	35%	42,341	%96
10,000	5,000	55,847	75,160	108,246	19,313	35%	52,399	94%
10,000	10,000	70,238	94,055	134,829	23,817	34%	64,591	95%
20,000	2,500	246,017	329,963	464,220	83,946	34%	218,203	%68
20,000	5,000	277,097	370,801	514,760	93,704	34%	237,663	%98
20,000	10,000	317,834	423,683	578,861	105,849	33%	261,027	82%
30,000	2,500	947,354	1,258,234	1,653,136	310,880	33%	705,782	75%
30,000	5,000	962,473	1,278,561	1,673,173	316,088	33%	710,700	74%
30,000	10,000	1,001,671	1,328,793	1,725,837	327,122	33%	724,166	72%
				AVERAGE =	87,932	35%	205,191	%06

TABLE M.13 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

р ц		Т						Γ	•		Т	***		Γ			T
PERCENT INCREASE		22%	19%	17%	-10%	-13%	-15%	-33%	-32%	-31%	-81%	%29-	-56%	140%	%9 /-	-78%	/00c
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	28	29	28	-378	-586	-795	-16,915	-15,278	-14,486	-5,577,000	-1,614,815	-716,876	22,982,113	-37,653,069	-17,170,517	2 652 224
PERCENT INCREASE		%6	8%	%9	%9-	% 2-	%6-	-19%	-18%	-17%	-59%	-38%	-34%	%55	-21%	-55%	70V1"
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	11	12	10	-222	-339	-451	-9,487	-8,495	-8,016	-4,104,948	-899,723	-432,375	8,930,477	-10,208,447	-12,175,265	-1 261 151
70 PSI 4DS)	3,250	155	179	195	3,505	3,976	4,374	34,198	33,042	32,576	1,330,400	778,707	556,904	39,358,291	12,134,950	4,876,403	AVERAGE =
FIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	138	162	177	3,661	4,223	4,718	41,626	39,825	39,046	2,802,452	1,493,799	841,405	25,306,655 39,358,291	39,579,572 12,134,950	9,871,655	A
TIRE PF TIRE	4,250	127	150	167	3,883	4,562	5,169	51,113	48,320	47,062	6,907,400	2,393,522	1,273,780	16,376,178	49,788,019	22,046,920	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE M.14 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PR	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE I	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(DS)	၀	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
ASE) PSI	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	247	685	895	138	25%	348	64%
1,000	5,000	693	857	1,106	164	24%	413	%09
1,000	10,000	803	987	1,501	184	23%	869	87%
5,000	2,500	3,338	3,942	4,815	604	18%	1,477	44%
2,000	5,000	4,532	5,245	6,271	713	16%	1,739	38%
5,000	10,000	5,788	6,605	7,760	817	14%	1,972	34%
10,000	2,500	12,372	13,948	16,162	1,576	13%	3,790	31%
10,000	5,000	15,694	17,399	19,777	1,705	11%	4,083	76%
10,000	10,000	19,518	21,250	23,773	1,732	%6	4,255	22%
20,000	2,500	85,085	88,494	93,950	3,409	4%	8,865	10%
20,000	5,000	93,375	96,244	101,288	2,869	3%	7,913	8%
20,000	10,000	103,580	105,696	110,163	2,116	2%	6,583	%9
30,000	2,500	417,382	396,437	383,340	-20,945	-5%	-34,042	%8-
30,000	5,000	395,409	378,453	368,608	-16,956	-4%	-26,801	-1%
30,000	10,000	383,669	368,920	360,606	-14,749	-4%	-23,063	%9-
			A\	AVERAGE =	-2,442	10%	-2,785	27%

TABLE M.15 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)= 150,000 PSI.

		TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	IDS)	2	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1,856	2,445	3,365	589	32%	1,509	81%
1,000	5,000	2,197	2,877	3,942	680	31%	1,745	%62
1,000	10,000	2,450	3,193	4,355	743	30%	1,905	78%
5,000	2,500	7,925	966'6	13,150	2,070	26%	5,225	%99
2,000	5,000	9,995		16,215	2,490	25%	6,220	62%
5,000	10,000	12,151	15,002	19,290	2,851	23%	7,139	29%
10,000	2,500	22,580	27,683	34,840	5,103	23%	12,260	54%
10,000	5,000	27,061	32,683	40,855	5,622	21%	13,794	51%
10,000	10,000	32,211	38,484	47,579	6,273	19%	15,368	48%
20,000	2,500	99,853	115,565	138,076	15,712	16%	38,223	38%
20,000	5,000	108,880	125,209	148,639	16,329	15%	39,759	37%
20,000	10,000	120,008	137,040	161,445	17,032	14%	41,437	35%
30,000	2,500	314,004	346,132	393,024	32,128	10%	79,020	25%
30,000	5,000	317,063	349,315	396,437	32,252	10%	79,374	25%
30,000	10,000	325,411	357,890	405,141	32,479	10%	79,730	72%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	11,490	20%	28,181	51%

TABLE M.16 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI 4,250 3,7 1,000 2,500 60 1,000 10,000 65 5,000 2,500 1,067 5,000 5,000 1,199 5,000 10,000 1,311 10,000 2,500 9,268 10,000 2,500 9,166 20,000 2,500 2,8643 188	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	PRESSURE = 100 PSI RE LOAD (POUNDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
2,500 52 5,000 60 10,000 1,067 5,000 1,199 10,000 1,199 2,500 9,555 5,000 9,166 1,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
5,000 60 10,000 65 2,500 1,067 5,000 1,199 10,000 9,555 5,000 9,268 10,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18	29	69	7	13%	17	33%
2,500 1,067 5,000 1,199 10,000 1,311 2,500 9,268 5,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18	29	78	7	12%	18	30%
2,500 1,067 5,000 1,199 10,000 1,311 2,500 9,268 10,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18	72	83	7	11%	18	28%
5,000 1,199 10,000 1,311 2,500 9,555 5,000 9,268 10,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18	1,063	1,081	4-	%0	14	1%
2,500 9,555 5,000 9,268 10,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18	1,180	1,187	-19	-5%	-12	-1%
2,500 9,555 5,000 9,268 10,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18	1,279	1,274	-32	-2%	-37	-3%
5,000 9,268 10,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18 5,000 183,419 14	8,447	7,619	-1,108	-12%	-1,936	-20%
10,000 9,166 2,500 286,543 18 5,000 183,419 14	8,246	7,462	-1,022	-11%	-1,806	-19%
2,500 286,543 · 5 000 183,419 · ·	8,180	7,424	-986	-11%	-1,742	-19%
5 000 183 419	188,146	128,703	-98,397	-34%	-157,840	-55%
0111001	143,498	97,773	-39,921	-22%	-85,646	-47%
20,000 10,000 137,983 100	106,615	81,404	-31,368	-23%	-56,579	-41%
30,000 2,500 8,289,603 3,024,252	,024,252	1,347,178	-5,265,351	-64%	-6,942,425	-84%
30,000 5,000 2,193,962 1,20	1,201,130	700,635	-992,832	-45%	-1,493,327	%89-
30,000 10,000 1,056,372 68	689,925	460,844	-366,447	-35%	-595,528	-56%
	, I	AVERAGE =	-453,164	-15%	-622,454	-21%

TABLE M.17 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SO)	Ō	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	328	419	558	91	28%	230	%0 2
1,000	5,000	403	510	671	107	27%	268	%19
1,000	10,000	458	576	838	118	26%	380	83%
5,000	2,500	1,692	2,040	2,547	348	21%	855	51%
5,000	5,000	2,181	2,585	3,180	404	19%	666	46%
5,000	10,000	2,670	3,124	3,794	454	17%	1,124	42%
10,000	2,500	5,519	6,380	7,619	861	16%	2,100	38%
10,000	5,000	6,672	7,619	8,967	947	14%	2,295	34%
10,000	10,000	7,946	8,943	10,402	266	13%	2,456	31%
20,000	2,500	30,406	32,763	36,214	2,357	%8	5,808	19%
20,000	5,000	32,617	34,926	38,370	2,309	2%	5,753	18%
20,000	10,000	35,275	37,500	40,926	2,225	%9	5,651	16%
30,000	2,500	117,583	118,148	121,391	565	%0	3,808	3%
30,000	5,000	113,458	114,471	118,148	1,013	1%	4,690	4%
30,000	10,000	11,269	112,522	116,395	101,253	899%	105,126	933%
				AVERAGE =	7,603	73%	9,436	%26

TABLE M.18 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)= 150,000 PSI.

PERCENT INCREASE		86%	84%	83%	71%	%89	65%	61%	29%	26%	46%	45%	43%	35%	35%	35%	28%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	1,098	1,249	1,356	3,442	4,035	4,570	7,645	8,533	9,427	22,239	23,133	24,181	46,353	46,582	47,032	16,725
PERCENT INCREASE		33%	33%	32%	28%	27%	76%	25%	23%	22%	18%	18%	17%	14%	14%	14%	23%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	426	485	527	1,353	1,581	1,816	3,070	3,424	3,789	8,836	9,198	9,601	18,845	19,032	19,156	6,743
00 PSI IDS)	3,250	2,370	2,731	2,991	8,268	9:6'6	11,551	20,168	23,111	26,308	70,166	74,535	79,816	178,853	180,074	183,301	AVERAGE =
: PRESSURE = 100 PSI RE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	1,698	1,967	2,162	6,179	7,482	8,797	15,593	18,002	20,670	56,763	009'09	65,236	151,345	152,524	155,425	/
TIRE PRE TIRE L(4,250	1,272	1,482	1,635	4,826	5,901	6,981	12,523	14,578	16,881	47,927		55,635	132,500	133,492	136,269	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

Appendix N: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI **TABLE N.1**

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
10	PERCENT	2	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
17	33%	391	752%	640	1231%	1.57
18	31%	518	818%	797	1351%	1.49
19	30%	612	956%	806	1419%	1.44
17	2%	15,803	1489%	29,784	2807%	1.88
-7	-1%	20,139	1697%	36,874	3106%	1.83
-33	-3%	24,199	1866%	43,455	3350%	1.80
-1,964	-50%	262,122	2713%	612,217	6336%	2.35
-1,781	-19%	222,251	2405%	525,806	2689%	2.38
-1,699	-19%	201,612	2224%	482,288	5320%	2.41
-135,622	-53%	5,607,791,575	2191854%	1,801,935,662	704302%	0.32
-78,619	-46%	31,725,248	18373%	98,664,318	57139%	3.12
-53,722	-40%	6,259,318	4695%	19,127,002	14346%	3.08
-4,715,611	%08-	1,033,843,522	104520%	2,699,181,229	272884%	2.62
-1,265,511	%99 -	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
-545,783	-55%	1,033,843,522	104520%	2,699,181,229	272884%	2.61
-453,352	-20%	551,015,059	174210%	522,844,444	96583%	2.07
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI **TABLE N.2**

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
<u>و</u>	PERCENT	70	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
227	%02	604	186%	1,494	461%	1.80
266	%29	855	214%	2,032	208%	1.81
294	65%	1,063	234%	2,467	542%	1.82
857	52%	2,667	341%	12,597	758%	1.93
1,007	47%	9,094	422%	18,707	%898	1.85
1,134	43%	21,007	795%	25,426	962%	1.15
2,092	39%	26,510	488%	54,406	1002%	1.90
2,289	35%	38,711	286%	74,195	1123%	1.81
2,430	31%	54,747	694%	98,181	1245%	1.72
5,823	19%	265,207	%698	495,489	1623%	1.83
5,778	18%	306,102	937%	557,017	1706%	1.79
5,671	16%	359,182	1020%	633,962	1801%	1.74
2,726	7%	2,051,973	1668%	3,515,605	2858%	1.71
4,246	4%	1,770,863	1527%	3,089,983	2664%	1.74
5,033	4%	1,623,157	1448%	2,859,521	2552%	1.76
2,658	34%	435,649	762%	762,739	1378%	1.76
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI TABLE N.3

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
D	PERCENT	2	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
1,062	%28	1,204	%86	3,725	305%	1.64
1,234	84%	1,591	109%	4,713	321%	1.67
1,357	83%	1,895	116%	5,467	333%	1.68
3,322	72%	7,882	171%	20,270	440%	1.81
3,957	%69	11,408	198%	27,992	486%	1.82
4,556	99%	15,394	223%	36,432	259%	1.83
7,501	93%	29,368	245%	69,350	%629	1.88
8,411	29%	39,609	278%	90,463	634%	1.88
9,371	26%	52,150	313%	115,749	694%	1.88
21,997	47%	190,413	40 2%	402,571	%098	1.90
23,050	45%	221,491	437%	455,456	%868	1.86
24,190	44%	259,859	471%	518,669	940%	1.83
50,143	38%	833,441	625%	1,548,771	1161%	1.75
46,941	35%	844,781	629%	1,561,567	1163%	1.75
47,279	35%	876,727	642%	1,605,768	1176%	1.74
16,958	%69	225,814	331%	431,131	701%	1.79
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE N.4

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	PERCENT TIRE PRESSURE PERCENT	PERCENT		DEBCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	NCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED		SYNERGISTIC
O LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
17	33%	393	756%	643	1237%	1.57
18	30%	519	865%	801	1335%	1.49
18	28%	612	942%	911	1402%	1.45
14	1%	15,421	1445%	29,326	2748%	1.90
-12	-1%	19,765	1648%	36,491	3043%	1.85
-37	-3%	23,889	1822%	43,240	3298%	1.81
936	-20%	233,708	2446%	553,271	2790%	2.39
,806	-19%	207,536	2239%	529,839	5717%	2.58
-1,742	-19%	193,004	2106%	467,040	2095%	2.44
7,840	-55%	43,983,956	15350%	3,418,509,148	1193018%	78.00
5,646	-47%	20,737,484	11306%	75,057,045	40921%	3.63
-56,579	-41%	5,323,424	3858%	16,504,524	11961%	3.13
-6,942,425	-84%	9,186,549	111%	28,661,530	346%	12.77
1,493,327	%89-	49,473,748	2255%	104,583,613	4767%	2.18
-595,528	-56%	339,359,943	32125%	4,826,637,013	456907%	14.25
-622,454	-21%	31,250,663	5285%	564,774,296	115839%	8.76
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE N.5
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT
TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED.
E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

			_			_			_	_	_	-	_		_	_		_	
	SYNERGISTIC	FACTOR	1.79	1.81	1.60	1.93	1.87	1.76	1.90	1.81	1.72	1.84	1.80	1.75	1.75	1.77	1.63	1.78	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		464%	510%	544%	762%	873%	961%	1005%	1119%	1239%	1595%	1676%	1776%	2653%	2540%	25324%	7869%	AVERAGE
	COMBINED	EFFECT	1,521	2,056	2,492	12,886	19,041	25,651	55,468	74,692	98,488	484,837	546,807	626,471	3,120,013	2,881,971	2,853,738	720,409	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		188%	216%	257%	345%	422%	203%	490%	583%	%069	847%	915%	1000%	1509%	1431%	14589%	1599%	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO	40 PSI	618	869	1,177	5,829	9,200	13,439	27,058	38,929	54,807	257,501	298,429	352,701	1,774,518	1,624,113	1,644,078	406,884	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		%02	%29	83%	51%	46%	42%	38%	34%	31%	19%	18%	16%	3%	4%	933%	%16	AVERAGE
LOAD FROM	4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	230	268	380	855	666	1,124	2,100	2,295	2,456	5,808	5,753	5,651	3,808	4,690	105,126	9,436	AVERAGE

TABLE N.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
1,098	%98	1,280	101%	3,933	309%	1.65
1,249	84%	1,611	109%	4,789	323%	1.67
1,356	83%	1,871	114%	5,454	334%	1.69
3,442	71%	8,528	177%	21,653	449%	1.81
4,035	%89	11,866	201%	28,939	490%	1.82
4,570	65%	15,730	225%	37,118	532%	1.83
7,645	61%	31,714	253%	74,055	591%	1.88
8,533	26%	41,269	283%	93,668	643%	1.88
9,427	26%	53,357	316%	117,948	%669	1.88
22,239	46%	198,090	413%	416,293	%698	1.89
23,133	45%	225,695	439%	463,358	901%	1.86
24,181	43%	262,199	471%	523,226	940%	1.83
46,353	35%	814,854	615%	1,520,636	1148%	1.77
46,582	35%	828,981	621%	1,539,681	1153%	1.76
47,032	35%	865,402	635%	1,589,568	1166%	1.74
16,725	28%	224,163	332%	429,355	%E0 2	1.80
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE